Table 5
Average Cost per Order
January 1 Through December 31, 1998\*

Reporting Jurisdiction	Authorized Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Intercept Orders for Which Cost Reported**	Average Cost per Order in \$
OTAL	1,245	1,184	57,669
EDERAL	562	548	73,404
RIZONA			
1ARICOPA	4	4	525,970
IMA	NP	NP	NP
UMA	1	1	2,000
ALIFORNIA			
L DORADO	1	1	6,760
RESNO	1	1	193,165
OS ANGELES	37	35	40,643
MONTEREY	1	1	5,400
PRANGE	5	5	26,486
AN BERNARDINO	3	3	34,605
AN DIEGO	NP	NP	NP
ONOMA	1	1	17,780
TATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	1	1	84,460
ENTURA	1	1	31,600
2	ı	ı	31,000
OLORADO			
RAPAHOE	NP	NP	NP
ONNECTICUT			
IARTFORD	11	11	22,059
ITCHFIELD	3	3	20,728
IEW BRITAIN	2	2	4,550
IEW HAVEN	1	1	7,995
IEW LONDON	4	4	16,203
ELAWARE			
ENT	1	1	5,050
LORIDA			
ND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT			
(LEON)	4	4	110,500
TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT			
(DUVAL)	2	2	14,035
TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT	2	2	7 000
(LAKE/MARION)	3	3	7,993
TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT	ND	ND	ND
(PINELLAS)	NP	NP	NP
TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT	•	2	05 500
(ORANGE/OSCEOLA)	2	2	65,500
1TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT		-	.=
(DADE)	6	6	47,332
3TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT			
(HILLSBOROUGH)	5	5	123,552
5TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT			
(PALM BEACH)	1	1	18,000
7TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT			
(BROWARD)	2	2	72,063
BTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT BREVARD/SEMINOLE)	15	15	10,980

Table 5
Average Cost per Order
January 1 Through December 31, 1998 (Continued)\*

Reporting Jurisdiction	Authorized Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Intercept Orders for Which Cost Reported**	Average Cost per Order in \$
FLORIDA (Continued)			
19TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT			
(SAINT LUCIE)	NP	NP	NP
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	1	1	4,500
GEORGIA			
ORDELE	5	5	11,800
WINNETT	4	4	100,130
AWAII			
HONOLULU	1	1	29,299
LLINOIS			
ORD	1	NP	NP
ERSEY	11	NP NP	NP
ENDALL	2	2	1,259
IOULTRIE	4	NP	1,259 NP
VASHINGTON	5	5 5	20
VINNEBAGO	NP	NP	NP
VIIVILDAGO	INP	INP	INP
ANSAS			
OHNSON	4	4	16,499
OUISIANA			
RLEANS	1	1	38,400
T. TAMMANY	2	2	99,530
MARYLAND			
SALTIMORE	7	NP	NP
ALTIMORE CITY	3	3	15,227
ECIL	3	3	27,112
ARFORD	10	10	13,404
OWARD	1	1	71,340
OMERSET	5	5	10,460
TATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	2	2	29,242
UNINES OTA			
IINNESOTA OSEAU	1	1	1,520
			·
IISSISSIPPI	A	4	00.404
COPIAH	1	1	20,134
IINDS	1	1	14,038
EAKE	1	1	22,454
ONTOTOC	1	1	38,558
EBRASKA			
OUGLAS	2	2	5,586
EVADA			
LARK	10	10	16,577
UMBOLDT	1	1	55,915
TATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	1	1	72,735
EW HAMPSHIRE			
TATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	1	1	17,000
THE TOTAL	•	ı	17,000

Table 5
Average Cost per Order
January 1 Through December 31, 1998 (Continued)\*

Reporting Jurisdiction	Authorized Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Intercept Orders for Which Cost Reported**	Average Cost per Order in \$
EW JERSEY			
ERGEN	7	7	31,783
URLINGTON	4	4	4,785
AMDEN	6	6	39,167
SSEX	2	2	25,909
SLOUCESTER	2	2	13,469
UDSON	1	1	3,000
UNTERDON	1	1	39,900
IERCER	NP	NP	NP
IIDDLESEX	8	8	11,064
CEAN	1	1	19,100
ASSAIC	4	4	30,125
OMERSET	2	2	24,375
TATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	1	1	11,861
NION	15	15	29,354
EW YORK	0	0	40.000
RIE	3	3	10,000
RANKLIN	NP	NP	NP
ERKIMER INGS	3 18	3 17	7,500 136,044
ONROE	9	6	41,915
ASSAU	13	13	160,042
EW YORK	23	23	59,691
Y ORGANIZED CRIME	23	23	39,091
TASK FORCE	21	20	116,345
YC SPECIAL NARCOTICS	21	20	110,545
BUREAU	180	165	8,507
NONDAGA	5	5	25,997
UEENS	13	13	95,738
ENSSELAER	2	NP	NP
OCKLAND	2	2	70,151
CHENECTADY	1	1	97,040
UFFOLK	28	28	26,633
/ESTCHESTER	23	23	38,663
PHIO			_
ORAIN	1	1	35,000
UMMIT	1	1	42,300
KLAHOMA TATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	4	4	444.000
	1	1	111,000
REGON	A	4	0.400
IULTNOMAH	1	1	9,120
ENNSYLVANIA HESTER	А	4	9F 000
	4 NP	4 NP	85,929
AUPHIN ACKAWANNA			NP ND
EHIGH	NP 3	NP	NP 74.027
	3	3	74,037 12,155
ICKEAN	5	5	12,155
IONTGOMERY	6	6	15,629

## Table 5 Average Cost per Order January 1 Through December 31, 1998 (Continued)\*

Reporting Jurisdiction	Authorized Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Intercept Orders for Which Cost Reported**	Average Cost per Order in \$
TEXAS NACOGDOCHES POTTER	3 2	3 2	25,266 153,939
UTAH SALT LAKE	5	5	34,783

<sup>\*</sup> NR = Not reported or no response or not available. NP = No prosecutor's report.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Includes costs for orders for which intercepts were installed but not used.