Table 6
Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed
January 1 Through December 31, 1998\*

Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Phone Wire	Microphone Eavesdrop	Electronic		Number of Persons	
					Combination**	Arrested	Convicted***
TOTAL	1,245	494	50	576	125	3,450	911
FEDERAL	562	202	23	278	59	1,900	389
ARIZONA							
MARICOPA	4	-	-	-	4	56	5
PIMA YUMA	NP 1	-	-	-	1	-	-
CALIFORNIA							
EL DORADO	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
FRESNO	1	1	-	-	-	2	2
LOS ANGELES	37	8	-	22	7	148	85
MONTEREY	1	1	-	-	-	2	-
ORANGE	5	1	-	2	2	2	-
SAN BERNARDINO	3	-	-	3	-	2	-
SAN DIEGO	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
SONOMA	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	1	-	-	-	1	1	-
VENTURA	1	-	-	-	1	2	-
COLORADO ARAPAHOE	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT							
HARTFORD	11	8	-	3	-	14	-
LITCHFIELD	3	1	-	2	-	10	-
NEW BRITAIN	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAVEN	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
NEW LONDON	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
<b>DELAWARE</b> KENT	1	_	_	_	1	_	_
					·		
FLORIDA 2ND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT							
(LEON) 4TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT	4	2	1	1	-	24	-
(DUVAL)	2	2	-	-	-	14	-
5TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (LAKE/MARION)	3	3	-	-	-	6	3
6TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (PINELLAS)	NP	_	_	_	_	_	_
9TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT							
(ORANGE/OSCEOLA) 11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT	2	-	-	2	-	10	3
(DADE) 13TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT	6	2	-	4	-	-	-
(HILLSBOROUGH)	5	-	1	-	4	34	-
15TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (PALM BEACH)	1	-	-	1	-	3	-
17TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BROWARD)	2	-	-	-	2	5	4
•							

Table 6
Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed
January 1 Through December 31, 1998 (Continued)\*

	<del> </del>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	, ,		
	.						
	Orders for Which					Numba	r of Doroono
Reporting	Intercepts	Phone	Microphone			Numbe	r of Persons
Jurisdiction	Installed	Wire	Eavesdrop	Electronic	Combination**	Arrested	Convicted***
ELOPIDA (Continued)			-				
FLORIDA (Continued) 18TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT							
(BREVARD/SEMINOLE)	15	7	_	8	_	55	11
19TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT		-		_			
(SAINT LUCIE)	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
GEORGIA							
CORDELE	5	3	-	2	-	-	-
GWINNETT	4	-	-	-	4	8	1
HAWAII							
HONOLULU	1	1	_	_	_	_	_
HONOLOLO	'	'	_	_	_	_	_
ILLINOIS							
FORD	1	1	-	-	-	3	3
JERSEY	11	-	11	-	-	4	2
KENDALL	2		1	1	-	2	2
MOULTRIE	4	1	3	-	-	2	1
WASHINGTON	5	-	5	-	-	1	-
WINNEBAGO	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS							
JOHNSON	4	2	-	2	-	7	1
LOUISIANA							
ORLEANS	1	_	-	-	1	33	-
ST. TAMMANY	2	2	-	-	-	8	-
MARYLAND							
BALTIMORE	7	7	_	_	_	_	_
BALTIMORE CITY	3	3	_	_	_	15	_
CECIL	3	2	_	1	_	-	_
HARFORD	10	5	_	4	1	71	23
HOWARD	1	-	-	-	1	-	-
SOMERSET	5	3	-	-	2	_	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	2	2	-	-	-	6	4
MINNESOTA							
ROSEAU	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI							
COPIAH	1	1	_	_	-	1	_
HINDS	1	1	_	_	_		_
LEAKE	1	1	_	_	_	2	_
PONTOTOC	1	1	-	-	-	41	41
NEBRASKA							
DOUGLAS	2	2	_	-	-	22	8
NEVADA CLARK	40	^			A	0	2
CLARK HUMBOLDT	10 1	9 1	-	-	1	8 13	2
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	1	1	-	-	-	13	-
SHIER TORNET SEINERAL	'	•	2	_	_	-	_

Table 6
Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed
January 1 Through December 31, 1998 (Continued)\*

		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
	Orders for Which					Numbo	r of Persons
Reporting	Intercepts	Phone	Microphone			Numbe	er or Persons
Jurisdiction	Installed	Wire	Eavesdrop	Electronic	Combination**	Arrested	Convicted***
NEW HAMPSHIRE							
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	1	1	-	-	-	2	-
NEW JERSEY							
BERGEN	7	6	-	1	-	17	-
BURLINGTON	4	4	-	-	-	24	-
CAMDEN	6	-	-	6	-	11	-
ESSEX	2	1	-	-	1	19	2
GLOUCESTER	2	2	-	-	-	30	-
HUDSON	1	-	-	1	-	-	-
HUNTERDON	1	1	-	-	-	12	3
MERCER	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIDDLESEX	8	8	-	-	-	24	-
OCEAN	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
PASSAIC	4	2	-	2	-	17	-
SOMERSET	2	-	-	2	-	5	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	1	1	-	_	-	_	-
UNION	15	7	-	5	3	48	34
NEW YORK							
ERIE	3	_	_	_	3	_	-
FRANKLIN	NP	_	_	_	-	_	_
HERKIMER	3	_	_	3	_	6	6
KINGS	18	14	2	2	_	87	25
MONROE	9	4	-	4	1	6	4
NASSAU	13	2	_	3	8	62	5
NEW YORK	23	13	2	8	-	31	-
NY ORGANIZED CRIME	23	13	2	0	-	31	-
TASK FORCE	21	6	_	8	7	103	89
NYC SPECIAL NARCOTICS	21	O	-	0	,	103	09
	100	24		156		07	26
BUREAU	180	24	-	156	-	87	26
ONONDAGA	5	5	-	-	-	12	4
QUEENS	13	3	-	5	5	145	60
RENSSELAER	2	1	-	-	1	1	-
ROCKLAND	2	-	-	-	2	8	6
SCHENECTADY	1	1	-	-	-	8	5
SUFFOLK	28	23	-	5	-	50	20
WESTCHESTER	23	14	-	8	1	27	7
ОНЮ							
LORAIN	1	1	-	-	-	13	-
SUMMIT	1	1	-	-	-	1	-
OKLAHOMA							
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	1	-	-	-	1	-	-
OREGON							
MULTNOMAH	1	1	-	-	-	2	-
PENNSYLVANIA							
CHESTER	4	2	-	2	-	9	6
DAUPHIN	NP	_	-	-	-	-	-
LACKAWANNA	NP	_	-	_	-	-	-

Table 6
Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed
January 1 Through December 31, 1998 (Continued)\*

-							
	Orders for Which					Number of Persons	
Reporting Jurisdiction	Intercepts Installed	Phone Wire	Microphone Eavesdrop	Electronic	Combination**	Arrested	Convicted***
PENNSYLVANIA (Continued)							
LEHIGH	3	2	-	1	-	11	3
MCKEAN	5	5	-	-	-	2	-
MONTGOMERY	6	5	-	1	-	11	-
NORTHUMBERLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	43	26	1	16	-	22	16
TEXAS							
NACOGDOCHES	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
POTTER	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH							
SALT LAKE	5	4	-	1	-	-	-

<sup>\*</sup> NR = Not reported. NP = No prosecutor's report.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Combination refers to the number of installed intercepts for which more than one type of surveillance was used.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Convictions resulting from interceptions often do not occur within the same year in which an intercept was first reported. See Tables 8 and 9.