Table 6
Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed
January 1 Through December 31, 2013

			1		ī			
	Orders for Which Intercepts	Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell,	Oral (Incl. Microphone,	Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax,		Number of Persons		
Reporting Jurisdiction	Installed ¹	Mobile)	Eavesdrop)	Computer)	Combination ²	Arrested	Convicted ³	
OTAL	2,331	2,158	4	21	117	3,744	709	
EDERAL JURISDICTIONS								
EDERAL TOTAL	377	331	-	7	9	632	58	
LABAMA, MIDDLE	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LABAMA, NORTHERN	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	
LABAMA, SOUTHERN	3	3	-	-	-	5	-	
LASKA	2	2	-	-	-	9	-	
RIZONA	9	9	-	-	-	1	-	
RKANSAS, EASTERN	3	2	-	-	-	10	-	
RKANSAS, WESTERN	1	1	-	-	-	6	-	
ALIFORNIA, CENTRAL	23	20	-	-	-	14	2	
ALIFORNIA, EASTERN	6	6	-	-	-	15	2	
ALIFORNIA, NORTHERN	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ALIFORNIA, SOUTHERN	17	15	-	-	-	33	1	
OLORADO	23	22	-	-	-	12	8	
ONNECTICUT	9	9	-	-	-	-	-	
ELAWARE	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	4	4	-	-	-	8	8	
LORIDA, MIDDLE	5	5	-	-	-	26	16	
LORIDA, NORTHERN	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	
LORIDA, SOUTHERN	8	8	-	-	-	4	-	
EORGIA, MIDDLE	4	4	-	-	-	4	-	
EORGIA, NORTHERN	12	11	-	-	-	23	-	
EORGIA, SOUTHERN	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	
OHAO	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LINOIS, NORTHERN	34	20	-	7	3	21	-	
LINOIS, SOUTHERN	3	2	-	-	-	-	-	
NDIANA, NORTHERN	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	
ndiana, southern	10	7	-	-	3	28	-	
DWA, NORTHERN	5	5	-	-	-	5	-	
DWA, SOUTHERN	1	1	-	-	-	19	-	
ANSAS	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ENTUCKY, EASTERN	4	4	-	-	-	-	-	
ENTUCKY, WESTERN	12	10	-	-	-	10	-	
OUISIANA, EASTERN	4	4	-	-	-	12	-	
OUISIANA, MIDDLE	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	
OUISIANA, WESTERN	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	
IAINE	4	4	-	-	-	17	-	
IARYLAND	9	9	-	-	-	56	7	
IASSACHUSETTS	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	
IICHIGAN, EASTERN	2	2	-	-	-	4	-	

Table 6
Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed
January 1 Through December 31, 2013 (Continued)

Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed ¹	Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell, Mobile)	Oral (Incl. Microphone, Eavesdrop)	Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax, Computer)	Combination ²	Number Arrested	of Persons Convicted ³
FEDERAL JURISDICTIONS (Con	tinued)	-	-	-	-	•	
MISSISSIPPI, NORTHERN	3	3				5	
MISSISSIPPI, SOUTHERN	3 1	3 1	-	-	_	J -	-
MISSOURI, EASTERN	23	21	-	-	_	26	-
MISSOURI, WESTERN	4	4	_	_	-	13	-
NEBRASKA	NP	• -	-	-	-	-	-
NEVADA	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO	6	6	-	-	-	1	-
NEW YORK, EASTERN	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK, NORTHERN	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK, SOUTHERN	7	7	-	-	-	47	-
NEW YORK, WESTERN	5	4	-	-	1	29	-
NORTH CAROLINA, EASTERN	4	3	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA, MIDDLE	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA, WESTERN	2	2	-	-	-	3	-
OHIO, NORTHERN	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
OHIO, SOUTHERN	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA, WESTERN	8	8	-	-	-	44	-
OREGON	6	6	-	-	-	30	-
PENNSYLVANIA, EASTERN	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA, MIDDLE	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA, WESTERN	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
PUERTO RICO	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND	1	1	-	-	-	7	-
SOUTH CAROLINA	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE, EASTERN	4	3	-	-	1	20	3
TENNESSEE, MIDDLE	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE, WESTERN	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
TEXAS, EASTERN TEXAS, NORTHERN	NP	-	-	-	-	- 27	-
TEXAS, NORTHERN	13	13	-	-	-	16	2
TEXAS, WESTERN	32 18	25 17	-	-	-	19	6
UTAH	NP	17	-	-	_	- 17	0
VIRGINIA, EASTERN	6	2	-	-	_	3	3
VIRGINIA, WESTERN	NP	-	- -	- -	-	-	-
WASHINGTON, WESTERN	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA, NORTHERN	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA, SOUTHERN	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN, EASTERN	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
·	•	· ·					

Table 6
Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed
January 1 Through December 31, 2013 (Continued)

		January 1 11	ii ougii Decembei	01/2010 (00110	uou,		
Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed ¹	Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell, Mobile)	Oral (Incl. Microphone, Eavesdrop)	Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax, Computer)	Combination ²	Number Arrested	of Persons Convicted ³
			-1	<u>!</u>	<u>!</u>	<u>.</u>	
FEDERAL JURISDICTIONS (Contin							
WISCONSIN, WESTERN	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
WYOMING	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA							
MARICOPA	5	5	-	-	-	34	7
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	21	10	-	-	11	201	44
YUMA	3	3	-	-	-	5	-
CALIFORNIA							
ALAMEDA	19	19	-	-	-	49	14
CONTRA COSTA	4	4	-	-	-	31	-
FRESNO	5	5	-	-	-	12	-
MPERIAL	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
KERN	10	10	-	-	-	5	-
KINGS	15	15	-	-	-	34	-
LOS ANGELES	144	141	-	-	2	49	-
MERCED	1	1	-	-	-	10	6
MONTEREY	11	11	-	-	-	59	32
DRANGE	4	4	-	-	-	5	-
RIVERSIDE	330	330	-	-	-	250	11
SACRAMENTO	8	8	-	-	-	4	-
SAN BERNARDINO	43	43	-	-	-	100	1
SAN DIEGO	18	18	-	-	-	20	14
SAN JOAQUIN	8	8	-	-	-	4	-
SAN LUIS OBISPO SAN MATEO	3	3	-	-	-	13	1
SANTA BARBARA	1 5	1 5	-	-	-	5	1
SANTA CLARA			-	-	-	10	
SHASTA	14 2	14 2	-	- -	_	-	- -
STANISLAUS	13	13	_	_	-	9	3
/ENTURA	13	13	-	-	-	25	5
COLORADO IST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (JEFFERSON)	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON) 4TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (EL PASO)	12	12	-	-	-	36	16
THEO) BTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (JACKSON/LARIMER)	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
IOTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT PUEBLO)	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
17TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (ADAMS)	26	26	-	-	-	123	8
18TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (ARAPAHOE)	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 6
Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed
January 1 Through December 31, 2013 (Continued)

	_	January i in		31, 2013 (COIII			
Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed ¹	Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell, Mobile)	Oral (Incl. Microphone, Eavesdrop)	Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax, Computer)	Combination ²	Number of Persons Arrested Convicted ³	
201.00400 (0					•	-	
COLORADO (Continued) 19TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (WELD)	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
20TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (BOULDER)	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
21ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (MESA)	15	15	-	-	-	52	35
CONNECTICUT							
HARTFORD	3	3	-	-	-	13	-
FLORIDA							
4TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DUVAL)	60	60	-	-	-	26	9
5TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (LAKE/MARION)	8	8	-	-	-	50	6
6TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (PINELLAS)	1	-	-	-	1	3	1
7TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (VOLUSIA)	5	5	-	-	-	23	-
8TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ALACHUA)	1	1	-	-	-	1	-
9TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ORANGE/OSCEOLA)	3	2	-	-	1	52	-
12TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (SARASOTA)	1	1	-	-	-	2	2
15TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (PALM BEACH)	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
17TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BROWARD)	2	2	-	-	-	12	-
18TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BREVARD/SEMINOLE)	9	9	-	-	-	54	22
19TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (SAINT LUCIE)	7	5	-	-	2	54	5
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	24	19	-	-	5	96	5
GEORGIA							
BELL-FORSYTH	4	4	-	-	-	6	-
CARROLL	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
CHATHAM	5	-	-	-	5	24	4
CHEROKEE	38	38	-	-	-	-	-
COBB	46	45	-	-	1	14	2
DEKALB	3	3	-	-	-	1	1
DOUGLAS	3	3	-	-	-	35	15
FULTON	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
GWINNETT	5	5	-	-	-	16	-
HOUSTON	5	5	-	-	-	19	7
OCONEE	2	2	-	-	-	5	5
ROCKDALE	2	2	_	_	_	4	3
NOONDALL	۷	۷	-	-	-	4	J

Table 6
Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed
January 1 Through December 31, 2013 (Continued)

				•			
Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed ¹	Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell, Mobile)	Oral (Incl. Microphone, Eavesdrop)	Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax, Computer)	Combination ²	Number of Persons Arrested Convicted ³	
GEORGIA (Continued)		-	•		-	<u> </u>	
SUMTER	2	2			_	_	_
WAYCROSS	4	4	_	_	_	-	-
WHITE	1	1	-	-	-	61	13
ILLINOIS							
COOK	24	22	-	1	1	-	-
DUPAGE	21	-	-	-	21	35	6
LAKE	12	12	-	-	-	32	8
WINNEBAGO	3	3	-	-	-	6	-
KANSAS							
FORD	1	1	-	-	-	3	3
GEARY	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
JOHNSON	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOUISIANA							
4TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (OUACHITA AND MOREHOUSE)	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
24TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (JEFFERSON)	1	1	-	-	-	13	-
MARYLAND							
BALTIMORE	9	9	-	-	-	30	-
BALTIMORE CITY	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
FREDERICK	4	4	-	-	-	6	5
HARFORD	19	19	-	-	-	58	-
HOWARD	8	8	-	-	-	5	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	2	2	-	-	-	10	1
WICOMICO	1	1	-	-	-	8	-
MASSACHUSETTS							
BERKSHIRE	10	10	-	-	-	12	-
MIDDLESEX	1	1	-	-	-	10	3
MINNESOTA							
RAMSEY	4	4	-	-	-	23	12
MISSISSIPPI							
COVINGTON	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
LEE	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
NEWTON	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
OKTIBBEHA	2	2	-	-	-	-	-

Table 6
Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed
January 1 Through December 31, 2013 (Continued)

Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders for Which Intercepts	Which Telephone: Intercepts Standard, Cell,	Oral (Incl. Microphone,	Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax,		Number of Persons	
	Installed ¹	Mobile)	Eavesdrop)	Computer)	Combination ²	Arrested	Convicted ³
NEBRASKA							
DOUGLAS	7	7	-	-	-	8	4
NEVADA CLARK	170	151			27	134	78
CLARK	178	151	-	-	21	134	78
NEW JERSEY							
ATLANTIC	6	5	-	1	-	-	-
CAMDEN	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAPE MAY	5	3	-	2	-	7	-
CUMBERLAND	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
ESSEX	9	8	-	-	1	24	5
GLOUCESTER	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
HUDSON	19	10	-	2	7	55	23
MERCER	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
MIDDLESEX	1	-	-	-	1	-	-
MONMOUTH	3	3	-	-	-	24	3
OCEAN	1	1	-	-	-	28	-
SALEM	11	11	-	-	-	82	-
SOMERSET	13	10	-	-	3	15	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	18	4	-	-	14	-	-
UNION	1	1	-	-	-	1	-
NEW MEXICO							
	_						
8TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (TAOS, COLFAX, UNION)	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK							
BRONX	11	0		2			
ERIE		9	-	2	-	-	-
	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
KINGS	6	6	-	-	-	2	-
MONROE	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
NASSAU	1	1	-	-	-	13	2
NEW YORK	35	34	1	-	-	136	36
NY ORGANIZED CRIME TASK FORCE	7	6	-	-	1	46	3
NYC SPECIAL NARCOTICS BUREAU	134	134	-	-	-	132	23
ONEIDA	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
QUEENS	168	162	1	3	2	105	29
RICHMOND	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
SUFFOLK	18	18	-	-	-	24	4
WAYNE	3	3	-	-	-	9	9

Table 6
Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed
January 1 Through December 31, 2013 (Continued)

			1	I		Ī	
Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed ¹	Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell, Mobile)	Oral (Incl. Microphone, Eavesdrop)	Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax, Computer)	Combination ²	Number Arrested	of Persons Convicted ³
		•	•		•	•	•
IORTH CAROLINA STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	10	10				45	E
STATE ATTURNEY GENERAL	19	19	-	-	-	45	5
OHIO							
CUYAHOGA	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
RANKLIN	1	1	-	-	-	4	-
IAMILTON	3	3	-	-	-	2	-
OKLAHOMA							
TATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	9	9	-	-	-	99	51
DREGON							
ANE	1	1	_	-	-	9	-
MARION	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
ENNSYLVANIA							
SERKS	7	5	2	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	9	7	-	-	2	9	2
PHILADELPHIA	17	14	-	3	-	4	-
OTTER	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
TATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	25	25	-	-	-	48	11
ENNESSEE							
0TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT BRADLEY)	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
1TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT HAMILTON)	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
6TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT RUTHERFORD)	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
8TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT SUMNER)	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
9TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT MONTGOMERY)	11	11	-	-	-	7	-
0TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT SHELBY)	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
EXAS							
URNET	3	3	-	-	-	21	-
L PASO	1	1	-	-	-	24	-
IARRIS	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
VISCONSIN							
DANE	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
VYOMING							
REMONT	1	1	_		_	10	9
ILLIVIOIVI	I	į	-	-	-	10	7

Table 6 Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed January 1 Through December 31, 2013 (Continued)

	Orders for Which Intercepts	Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell,	Oral (Incl. Microphone,	Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax,		Number	of Persons
Reporting Jurisdiction	Installed ¹	Mobile)	Eavesdrop)	Computer)	Combination ²	Arrested	Convicted ³

 $^{{}^{1}}NP$ = No prosecutor's report. NI = Never installed.

²Combination refers to installed intercepts for which more than one type of surveillance was used.
³Convictions resulting from an intercept often do not occur within the same year in which the intercept was first reported. See Tables 8 and 9.