

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application and (Amendment)	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
MARICOPA									
1	SHELDON	ROMLEY	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	01/16/2001	30	3	120
2	SHELDON	ROMLEY	MURDER	WS,WC	H,D	01/26/2001	30	1	60
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL									
1	CRUIKSHANK	NAPOLITANO	RACKETEERING	WS	H	04/20/2001	30	2	90
2	CRUIKSHANK	NAPOLITANO	RACKETEERING	WC	D	05/11/2001	30	1	60
3	BALLINGER	NAPOLITANO	RACKETEERING	WS,WC,ED	H,D	05/16/2001	30	3	120
4	CRUIKSHANK	NAPOLITANO	RACKETEERING	WC	D	05/17/2001	30	0	30
5	CRUIKSHANK	NAPOLITANO	RACKETEERING	WC	D	06/22/2001	30	0	30
6	ACUNA	NAPOLITANO	RACKETEERING	WS	H	07/10/2001	30	0	30
7	KAUFMAN	NAPOLITANO	ASSAULT	WC,ED,EO	D,O	07/11/2001	6	0	6
8	MCVEY	NAPOLITANO	NARCOTICS	WS,WC,ED	H,D	08/24/2001	30	2	90

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
MARICOPA													
1	90	68	100	6,106	914	686,774	23,294	21	-	-	-	1	4
2	60	-	30	4	380	236,820	15,300	-	-	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL													
1	90	51	811	4,629	176	82,710	14,180	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	60	51	91	3,066	379	41,355	7,090	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	120	230	50	27,604	2,231	452,028	12,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	30	26	30	773	156	RELATED TO NO. 2		-	-	-	-	-	-
5	30	66	26	1,975	79	RELATED TO NO. 2		-	-	-	-	-	-
6	30	24	38	721	73	47,396	22,216	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	5	41	52	207	44	26,900	2,500	4	-	-	-	-	4
8	70	112	50	7,855	500	180,373	27,135	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE B-1
STATE CALIFORNIA

CALENDAR YEAR 2001

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application and (Amendment)	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
LOS ANGELES									
1	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	R	11/14/2000	30	2	86
2	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	ED	D	12/08/2000	30	0	30
3	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	R	12/15/2000	30	1	56
4	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/15/2000	30	1	58
5	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/20/2000	30	0	30
6	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/21/2000	30	1	60
7	FIDLER	COOLEY	MURDER	WC	D	01/05/2001	30	0	30
8	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	ED	D	01/05/2001	30	0	30
9	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/08/2001	30	2	90
10	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/18/2001	30	1	60
11	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/19/2001	30	0	30
12	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/23/2001	30	1	60
13	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	ED	D	02/01/2001	30	1	59
14	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	02/02/2001	30	1	60
15	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/02/2001	30	0	30
16	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WS	H	02/07/2001	30	1	60
17	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/13/2001	30	1	56
18	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/13/2001	30	0	30
19	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/13/2001	30	0	30
20	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/14/2001	30	2	90
21	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/15/2001	30	2	90
22	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/15/2001	30	0	30
23	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/15/2001	30	1	60
24	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/28/2001	30	0	30
25	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/01/2001	30	0	30
26	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/01/2001	30	0	30
27	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/01/2001	30	0	30
28	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/05/2001	30	1	60
29	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/08/2001	30	1	60
30	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/09/2001	30	0	30
31	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WS,WC,ED	H,D	03/09/2001	30	0	30
32	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/12/2001	30	0	30

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
LOS ANGELES													
1	86	30	NR	2,565	951	98,000	8,000	20	-	-	-	-	-
2	30	4	-	130	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	56	10	NR	577	244	72,000	7,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	58	24	77	1,408	237	31,350	1,350	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	30	22	31	666	193	45,000	5,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	54	17	NR	933	173	39,000	2,000	6	1	-	-	-	4
7	30	60	54	1,807	134	1,725	1,725	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	27	-	NR	6	NR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	90	38	NR	3,465	1,274	98,000	3,000	3	-	-	-	-	-
10	57	50	252	2,868	303	40,600	4,000	RELATED TO NO. 6					-
11	3	55	19	164	113	2,200	2,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	36	10	50	345	85	53,048	5,060	2	-	-	-	-	-
13	59	11	NR	620	NR	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 6					-
14	60	11	41	688	228	59,826	2,986	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	30	26	32	778	163	33,495	2,775	-	-	-	-	-	-
16	49	119	143	5,832	354	36,600	4,000	RELATED TO NO. 6					-
17	56	53	NR	2,972	827	85,000	5,000	3	-	-	-	-	-
18	23	46	52	1,052	264	17,560	2,200	RELATED TO NO. 44					-
19	22	1	7	31	6	2,463	1,005	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	74	19	1,378	1,421	549	104,000	4,000	8	-	-	-	-	-
21	90	24	44	2,143	361	112,440	15,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	30	11	41	339	273	54,990	2,550	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	45	61	112	2,729	598	25,040	2,000	RELATED TO NO. 6					-
24	27	19	84	500	120	39,300	3,700	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	30	42	379	1,266	401	52,070	15,350	-	-	-	-	-	-
26	9	8	55	73	29	25,160	5,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
27	7	-	-	-	-	42,570	5,850	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	50	25	12	1,255	416	35,120	4,400	RELATED TO NO. 44					-
29	60	10	29	583	135	85,300	5,300	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	30	55	104	1,649	219	11,000	1,000	RELATED TO NO. 6					-
31	30	10	33	296	225	59,589	549	-	-	-	-	-	-
32	29	9	33	268	25	37,480	5,000	2	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application and (Amendment)	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
33	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/12/2001	30	0	30
34	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/26/2001	30	0	30
35	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/28/2001	30	2	90
36	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/30/2001	30	1	60
37	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/03/2001	30	0	30
38	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/06/2001	30	0	30
39	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/16/2001	30	0	30
40	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/16/2001	30	0	30
41	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/19/2001	30	0	30
42	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/19/2001	30	1	60
43	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/24/2001	30	2	64
44	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/25/2001	30	0	30
45	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/26/2001	30	0	30
46	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WS	H	04/26/2001	30	1	60
47	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/30/2001	30	1	60
48	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/01/2001	30	0	30
49	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/08/2001	30	1	60
50	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/11/2001	30	4	150
51	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/14/2001	30	0	30
52	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/17/2001	30	1	60
53	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/04/2001	30	1	60
54	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	06/06/2001	30	0	30
55	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/18/2001	30	0	30
56	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WS	H	06/18/2001	30	0	30
57	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/18/2001	30	0	30
58	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/20/2001	15	0	15
59	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/20/2001	30	1	60
60	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/25/2001	30	0	30
61	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/03/2001	30	2	90
62	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/10/2001	30	1	60
63	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/10/2001	30	0	30
64	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/12/2001	30	0	30

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
33	30	8	6	225	24	17,560	2,200	RELATED TO NO. 44					
34	30	25	31	750	158	17,560	2,200	RELATED TO NO. 44					
35	90	20	493	1,782	310	85,350	9,750	12	-	-	-	-	-
36	60	39	152	2,362	586	70,245	4,965	3	-	-	-	-	-
37	28	27	27	755	158	33,670	2,950	RELATED TO NO. 44					
38	19	6	9	107	2	36,360	5,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
39	30	8	NR	233	39	66,000	6,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
40	15	64	16	960	202	17,500	500	-	-	-	-	-	-
41	30	48	158	1,452	209	71,074	7,950	-	-	-	-	-	-
42	60	22	108	1,319	124	74,960	10,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
43	64	28	NR	1,800	650	105,000	5,000	14	-	-	-	-	-
44	30	29	31	879	183	37,320	6,600	11	-	-	-	-	-
45	30	3	91	96	25	52,000	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
46	60	72	2,941	4,323	52	53,400	2,400	-	-	-	-	-	-
47	58	8	117	466	240	69,545	6,750	-	-	-	-	-	-
48	30	-	-	-	-	16,110	750	-	-	-	-	-	-
49	60	29	NR	1,738	661	75,000	5,000	8	-	-	-	-	-
50	150	15	NR	2,295	803	256,000	6,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
51	30	-	-	-	-	37,480	5,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
52	60	31	904	1,854	406	56,900	6,500	RELATED TO NO. 35					
53	40	23	61	929	215	47,400	6,600	17	-	-	-	-	-
54	30	25	312	741	58	39,000	4,050	-	-	-	-	-	-
55	7	-	-	-	-	37,600	3,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
56	24	14	65	346	15	30,460	4,732	-	-	-	-	-	-
57	24	3	3	68	12	15,606	2,550	1	-	-	-	-	-
58	15	19	NR	284	79	28,000	3,000	1	-	-	-	-	-
59	60	16	87	953	176	28,000	3,000	4	-	-	-	-	-
60	28	8	119	216	102	37,600	3,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
61	90	14	359	1,260	73	RELATED TO NO. 35		RELATED TO NO. 35					
62	50	11	119	563	114	14,250	5,500	3	-	-	-	-	2
63	30	18	90	529	120	36,744	750	-	-	-	-	-	-
64	30	5	48	143	82	37,600	3,000	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application and (Amendment)	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
65	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	07/12/2001	30	0	30
66	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/16/2001	30	2	90
67	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/19/2001	30	0	30
68	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/19/2001	30	2	90
69	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/25/2001	30	0	30
70	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/31/2001	30	0	30
71	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/03/2001	30	0	30
72	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/03/2001	30	1	60
73	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/07/2001	30	0	30
74	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/13/2001	30	0	30
75	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/14/2001	30	1	60
76	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WS	H	08/15/2001	30	2	90
77	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/16/2001	30	0	30
78	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/21/2001	30	0	30
79	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/22/2001	30	0	30
80	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/22/2001	30	1	60
81	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	08/28/2001	30	0	30
82	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/28/2001	30	0	30
83	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/30/2001	30	0	30
84	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/06/2001	30	0	30
85	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/06/2001	30	1	60
86	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/06/2001	30	1	60
87	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/18/2001	30	0	30
88	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/26/2001	30	0	30
89	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/28/2001	30	0	30
90	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/02/2001	30	0	30
91	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	10/05/2001	30	0	30
92	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/05/2001	30	0	30
93	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/10/2001	30	0	30
94	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	10/12/2001	30	0	30
95	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/15/2001	30	0	30

LOS ANGELES (CONTINUED)

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
LOS ANGELES (CONTINUED)													
65	30	149	279	4,471	129	46,360	15,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
66	90	17	NR	1,570	325	195,000	5,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
67	30	23	58	691	115	27,200	2,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
68	62	21	237	1,308	213	60,150	9,750	RELATED TO NO. 35					
69	30	14	51	421	283	38,100	4,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
70	30	13	12	377	57	14,710	1,750	-	-	-	-	-	-
71	28	4	50	106	21	37,600	3,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
72	60	19	172	1,119	434	76,700	9,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
73	30	1	7	22	-	36,744	750	18	-	-	-	-	-
74	30	15	36	448	48	25,750	750	4	-	-	-	-	-
75	30	2	8	67	33	38,100	4,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
76	90	80	NR	7,169	558	206,000	6,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
77	29	55	28	1,589	55	11,400	3,700	-	-	-	-	-	-
78	30	3	19	81	125	57,000	6,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
79	2	8	4	15	7	28,700	3,700	4	-	-	-	-	-
80	55	8	57	461	172	56,400	4,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
81	30	62	38	1,869	97	28,020	2,100	-	-	-	-	-	-
82	30	2	NR	72	20	20,050	3,250	-	-	-	-	-	-
83	30	3	25	83	17	38,100	4,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
84	19	-	-	-	-	2,000	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
85	30	1	4	33	24	37,600	3,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
86	30	58	94	1,727	705	35,994	2,250	RELATED TO NO. 73					
87	30	62	35	1,853	448	23,746	4,650	3	-	-	-	-	-
88	30	4	27	111	42	38,350	4,750	-	-	-	-	-	-
89	30	10	8	297	145	19,396	300	RELATED TO NO. 87					
90	17	21	72	350	137	18,800	1,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
91	30	94	300	2,826	57	66,622	9,622	-	-	-	-	-	-
92	30	10	68	307	2	38,350	4,750	-	-	-	-	-	-
93	29	3	18	81	44	12,840	2,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
94	20	3	11	51	15	12,440	2,500	RELATED TO NO. 87					
95	8	29	12	234	72	5,735	1,775	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE B-1
STATE CALIFORNIA

CALENDAR YEAR 2001

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application and (Amendment)	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
LOS ANGELES (CONTINUED)									
96	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/17/2001	30	0	30
97	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/19/2001	30	0	30
98	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/25/2001	30	1	60
99	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/02/2001	30	0	30
100	FIDLER	COOLEY	MURDER	WS	H,O	11/05/2001	30	0	30
101	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	11/06/2001	30	0	30
102	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/16/2001	30	0	30
103	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/30/2001	30	1	60
79*	FIDLER	GARCETTI	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/16/2000	30	0	30
MONTEREY									
1	O'FARRELL	FLIPPO	MURDER	WS	O	03/16/2001	30	0	30
2	SILLMAN	FLIPPO	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	07/19/2001	9	0	9
3	SILLMAN	FLIPPO	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	09/11/2001	8	0	8
ORANGE									
1*	TOOHEY	RACKAUCKAS	NARCOTICS	WC	R	11/30/1999	30	2	90
2*	TOOHEY	RACKAUCKAS	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/26/2000	30	0	30
3*	JAMESON	RACKAUCKAS	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/10/2000	30	0	30
4*	TOOHEY	RACKAUCKAS	MURDER	WS,WC	H,D	02/22/2000	30	0	30
5*	TOOHEY	RACKAUCKAS	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/19/2000	30	0	30
6*	TOOHEY	RACKAUCKAS	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/01/2000	30	0	30
7*	TOOHEY	RACKAUCKAS	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/05/2000	30	1	60
8*	TOOHEY	RACKAUCKAS	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/18/2000	30	0	30
9*	TOOHEY	RACKAUCKAS	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/19/2000	30	0	30
10*	TOOHEY	RACKAUCKAS	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/26/2000	30	0	30
11*	TOOHEY	RACKAUCKAS	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/31/2000	30	0	30
RIVERSIDE									
1	HANKS	TRASK	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/31/2001	30	1	60
2	HANKS	TRASK	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/07/2001	30	2	90
3	HANKS	TRASK	NARCOTICS	WS	H	04/30/2001	30	1	60
4	HANKS	TRASK	NARCOTICS	WS	B	05/15/2001	30	0	30
5	HANKS	TRASK	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/11/2001	30	2	90

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
LOS ANGELES (CONTINUED)													
96	30	6	11	190	110	16,385	1,025	-	-	-	-	-	
97	30	-	4	15	3	16,860	1,500	-	-	-	-	-	
98	60	34	NR	2,014	116	184,000	4,000	2	-	-	-	-	
99	30	49	148	1,464	320	36,744	1,500	RELATED TO NO. 73					
100	12	10	22	120	4	10,000	1,500	-	-	-	-	-	
101	30	88	200	2,634	194	57,510	13,700	-	-	-	-	-	
102	27	-	-	-	-	19,500	2,700	-	-	-	-	-	
103	58	32	129	1,878	511	76,836	3,000	RELATED TO NO. 73					
79*	30	7	31	211	103	27,003	1,620	-	-	-	-	-	
MONTEREY													
1	11	4	10	42	12	800	50	3	1	-	-	-	3
2	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	8	4	3	29	3	7,300	1,000	3	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE													
1*	84	2	19	203	73	90,000	3,000	54	-	-	-	-	3
2*	27	7	7	177	3	35,000	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
3*	28	-	NR	9	-	16,335	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
4*	6	112	75	672	46	22,327	2,700	1	-	-	-	-	-
5*	3	7	3	22	11	17,360	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
6*	21	5	NR	106	15	12,450	1,700	-	-	-	-	-	-
7*	45	44	NR	1,993	984	41,660	3,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
8*	9	7	NR	64	4	10,500	1,300	-	-	-	-	-	-
9*	30	31	NR	930	292	20,750	1,750	-	-	-	-	-	-
10*	30	29	NR	857	341	17,100	1,750	-	-	-	-	-	-
11*	30	9	NR	270	132	16,750	1,750	-	-	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE													
1	60	26	48	1,530	360	110,000	4,000	1	-	-	-	-	-
2	90	56	300	5,042	283	141,900	7,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	60	16	18	956	54	107,114	1,274	52	-	-	-	-	-
4	30	87	120	2,618	13	106,540	21,868	RELATED TO NO. 3					
5	90	3	85	258	107	111,000	3,000	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application and (Amendment)	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
SACRAMENTO									
1	PARK	SCULLY	MURDER	WS	H	08/29/2001	30	0	30
SAN BERNARDINO									
1	FULLER	FOURNIER	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	D	10/26/2000	30	2	90
1*	WELCH	STOUT	MURDER	WS	H	12/14/1999	30	0	30
2*	WELCH	STOUT	MURDER	WS,WC	H,D	02/24/2000	30	0	30
3*	WELCH	STOUT	MURDER	WS	H	03/23/2000	30	0	30
4*	FIDLER	FOURNIER	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H	10/05/2000	30	1	60
SAN DIEGO									
1	SO	PFINGST	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/03/2001	30	0	30
2	SO	PFINGST	NARCOTICS	WS	H	08/29/2001	30	0	30
SAN LUIS OBISPO									
1	PIQUET	SHEA	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/28/2001	30	3	120
SANTA BARBARA									
1	MELVILLE	SNEDDON	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	08/31/2001	30	0	30
2	ANDERSON	SNEDDON	MURDER	WS,WC	H,B,D	09/28/2001	20	0	20
SANTA CLARA									
1	TURRONE	KENNEDY	NARCOTICS	WS	H	05/02/2001	30	0	30
2	TURRONE	KENNEDY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/15/2001	30	1	60
3	TURRONE	KENNEDY	MURDER	WS	H	11/06/2001	30	0	30
4	TURRONE	KENNEDY	MURDER	WC	D	11/07/2001	30	0	30
SHASTA									
1	RUGGIERO	SCOTT	NARCOTICS	WS	H	07/23/2001	30	0	30
2	RUGGIERO	SCOTT	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/29/2001	30	0	30
TEHAMA									
1	MURRAY	COHEN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	07/24/2001	30	0	30
2	MURRAY	COHEN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/14/2001	30	0	30
3	MURRAY	COHEN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/15/2001	30	0	30
VENTURA									
1	CLONINGER	BRADBURY	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	10/12/2000	30	5	180
2	FIDLER	BRADBURY	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	03/20/2001	30	0	30
3	CLARK	BRADBURY	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	07/13/2001	30	0	30

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
SACRAMENTO													
1	2	1	3	2	-	6,172	5,000	1	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO													
1	72	124	20	8,954	894	61,616	6,320	11	-	-	-	-	-
1*	30	35	8	1,053	56	44,550	3,750	1	-	-	-	-	-
2*	10	26	6	260	22	11,450	1,850	1	-	-	-	-	-
3*	2	141	6	282	14	2,241	666	1	-	-	-	-	-
4*	56	112	3,227	6,246	196	25,528	2,728	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO													
1	30	11	35	324	76	29,740	1,950	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	25	19	25	473	85	25,506	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN LUIS OBISPO													
1	101	16	66	1,599	216	189,040	17,500	2	-	-	-	-	2
SANTA BARBARA													
1	24	17	5	417	95	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1
2	14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA CLARA													
1	15	33	23	490	16	2,600	50	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	55	42	100	2,333	608	102,117	-	17	-	-	-	5	2
3	2	20	14	39	-	12,548	3,168	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SHASTA													
1	30	28	43	847	18	30,000	15,000	3	-	-	-	-	1
2	12	-	3	4	-	15,000	5,000	RELATED TO NO. 1					-
TEHAMA													
1	30	13	23	391	6	55,000	30,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	30	11	8	332	1	30,000	20,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	30	44	45	1,318	71	65,000	30,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
VENTURA													
1	180	24	228	4,379	245	216,620	23,660	4	1	-	-	-	1
2	29	12	65	346	15	30,460	4,732	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	25	32	4	792	324	28,950	2,700	4	-	-	-	-	3

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application and (Amendment)	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
1ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (JEFFERSON)									
1	MUNCH	THOMAS	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/14/2001	30	1	60
21ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (MESA)									
1	BOTTGER	DANIELS	NARCOTICS	ED	D	08/23/2001	30	1	60

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
1ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (JEFFERSON)													
1	46	78	100	3,600	800	-	-	34	2	-	10	-	26
21ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (MESA)													
1	60	11	155	653	NR	20,700	-	3	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application and (Amendment)	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
HARTFORD									
1	MULCAHY	THOMAS	GAMBLING	WS	H	10/03/2001	15	0	15
2	MULCAHY	THOMAS	GAMBLING	WS	H	10/04/2001	15	0	15
3	MULCAHY	THOMAS	RACKETEERING	WS	H	10/30/2001	15	0	15
4	MULCAHY	THOMAS	EXTORTION	WS	H	10/30/2001	15	0	15
LITCHFIELD									
1	MULCAHY	MACO	NARCOTICS	WS	H	06/11/2001	15	2	45
2	MULCAHY	MACO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/11/2001	15	1	30
3	MULCAHY	MACO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/11/2001	15	2	45
NEW BRITAIN									
1	MULCAHY	MURPHY	RACKETEERING	WC	D	07/10/2001	15	0	15
2	MULCAHY	MURPHY	RACKETEERING	WC	D	07/10/2001	15	1	30

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
HARTFORD													
1	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	5	116	19	582	552	4,150	300	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	5	55	18	274	218	4,460	300	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LITCHFIELD													
1	45	32	52	1,451	103	86,250	3,000	3	-	-	-	-	-
2	16	7	13	118	1	38,000	3,000	3	-	-	-	-	-
3	45	74	110	3,352	1,011	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
NEW BRITAIN													
1	I	-	-	-	-	3,720	3,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	17	62	78	1,050	942	118,348	124	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application and (Amendment)	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
4TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DUVAL)									
1	HADDOCK	SHORSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/05/2001	30	1	57
2	HADDOCK	SHORSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	01/05/2001	30	1	57
3	HADDOCK	SHORSTEIN	NARCOTICS	ED	D	01/05/2001	30	0	30
4	HADDOCK	SHORSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/01/2001	30	0	30
5	HADDOCK	SHORSTEIN	NARCOTICS	ED	D	02/01/2001	30	0	30
10*	MATHIS	SHORSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/01/2000	30	0	30
5TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (LAKE/MARION)									
1	MUSLEH	KING	NARCOTICS	WS	H	10/04/2001	30	0	30
2	MUSLEH	KING	NARCOTICS	WC	R	10/05/2001	30	0	30
3	MUSLEH	KING	NARCOTICS	WC	R	10/16/2001	30	0	30
8TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ALACHUA)									
1	LOTT	CERVONE	GAMBLING	WS,WC	H,D	10/11/2001	30	0	30
9TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ORANGE/OSCEOLA)									
1	WELLS	HINES	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/29/2001	30	0	30
2	SMITH	HINES	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	08/24/2001	30	0	30
3	SMITH	HINES	NARCOTICS	WS	H	09/07/2001	30	0	30
4	SMITH	HINES	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/07/2001	30	0	30
5	SMITH	HINES	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/12/2001	30	0	30
6	SMITH	HINES	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/13/2001	30	1	60
7	SMITH	HINES	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/10/2001	30	0	30
8	WELLS	HINES	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/18/2001	30	0	30
9	SMITH	HINES	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/23/2001	30	0	30
10	SMITH	HINES	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/15/2001	30	0	30
11	WELLS	HINES	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/26/2001	30	0	30
17*	WELLS	HINES	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	10/27/2000	30	1	60
11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DADE)									
1	CRESPO	RUNDLE	NARCOTICS	WS	H	11/29/2000	30	1	60
2	DRESNICK	RUNDLE	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/28/2000	30	0	30
3	CRESPO	RUNDLE	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/23/2001	30	0	30
4	CRESPO	RUNDLE	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/30/2001	30	0	30

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
4TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DUVAL)													
1	57	22	40	1,259	63	131,000	1,000	8	2	-	2	-	7
2	57	37	46	2,122	25	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
3	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	30	16	25	479	20	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
5	14	2	NR	25	NR	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
10*	14	63	30	885	41	160,000	25,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
5TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (LAKE/MARION)													
1	24	41	215	986	3	181,000	11,000	6	-	-	-	-	-
2	23	8	29	182	30	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
3	12	16	14	193	18	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
8TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ALACHUA)													
1	25	66	40	1,653	250	27,863	4,062	1	-	-	-	-	-
9TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ORANGE/OSCEOLA)													
1	24	123	256	2,948	183	56,327	2,700	4	-	-	-	-	-
2	24	196	75	4,714	229	37,000	25,000	14	-	-	-	-	-
3	1	97	12	97	7	264,419	30,859	22	-	-	-	-	-
4	I	-	-	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 3		-	-	-	-	-	-
5	30	62	124	1,872	462	RELATED TO NO. 3		RELATED TO NO. 3					
6	51	34	42	1,718	261	RELATED TO NO. 3		RELATED TO NO. 3					
7	I	-	-	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 3		-	-	-	-	-	-
8	16	14	45	225	3	16,586	2,600	3	-	-	-	-	-
9	30	31	18	930	105	RELATED TO NO. 3		RELATED TO NO. 3					
10	16	177	32	2,837	68	RELATED TO NO. 3		RELATED TO NO. 3					
11	I	-	-	-	-	10,084	2,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
17*	37	48	180	1,785	65	30,714	300	2	-	-	-	-	1
11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DADE)													
1	60	76	NR	4,584	NR	49,899	1,500	1	-	-	-	-	-
2	29	134	239	3,884	360	177,903	31,700	6	-	-	-	-	2
3	30	37	NR	1,123	126	27,247	1,000	RELATED TO NO. 1					
4	30	32	NR	972	NR	28,447	2,200	RELATED TO NO. 1					

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application and (Amendment)	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
17TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BROWARD)									
1	COHN	SATZ	NARCOTICS	WS	H	12/22/2000	30	1	60
2	COHN	SATZ	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	04/05/2001	30	0	30
18TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BREVARD/SEMINOLE)									
1	LESTER	WOLFINGER	NARCOTICS	WS	B	04/06/2001	30	0	30
2	LESTER	WOLFINGER	NARCOTICS	OM	B	04/09/2001	30	0	30
3	LESTER	WOLFINGER	NARCOTICS	WS	H	07/05/2001	30	0	30
4	LESTER	WOLFINGER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/05/2001	10	0	10
5	LESTER	WOLFINGER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/24/2001	30	1	52
6	LESTER	WOLFINGER	NARCOTICS	WS	H	09/17/2001	30	0	30
7	LESTER	WOLFINGER	NARCOTICS	WC	R	09/17/2001	30	0	30
19TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (SAINT LUCIE)									
1	LEVIN	COLTON	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/12/2000	30	0	30
2	CIANCA	TOWNSEND	MURDER	WS	O	02/15/2001	30	1	40
3	CIANCA	TOWNSEND	MURDER	WS	O	02/15/2001	30	1	40
4	CIANCA	TOWNSEND	MURDER	WS	H	03/09/2001	30	0	30
5	CIANCA	TOWNSEND	MURDER	WS	O	04/03/2001	30	0	30
6	CIANCA	TOWNSEND	MURDER	WS	O	04/03/2001	30	0	30
7	CIANCA	TOWNSEND	MURDER	WS	O	04/03/2001	30	0	30
8	CIANCA	TOWNSEND	MURDER	WS	O	04/03/2001	30	0	30
9	VAUGHN	COLTON	RACKETEERING	WC	D	06/29/2001	30	0	30
10	VAUGHN	COLTON	RACKETEERING	WS	H	06/29/2001	30	0	30
11	CIANCA	COLTON	BRIBERY	WS	O	07/12/2001	30	0	30
12	LEVIN	COLTON	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/17/2001	30	2	64
13	VAUGHN	COLTON	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/01/2001	30	0	30
14	VAUGHN	COLTON	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/01/2001	30	0	30
15	VAUGHN	COLTON	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/23/2001	30	0	30
16	VAUGHN	COLTON	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/26/2001	30	0	30
17	VAUGHN	COLTON	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/20/2001	30	0	30
18	VAUGHN	COLTON	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/22/2001	30	0	30

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

TABLE B-1
STATE FLORIDA

CALENDAR YEAR 2001

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
17TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BROWARD)													
1	28	19	28	541	288	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	30	26	24	776	116	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BREVARD/SEMINOLE)													
1	19	66	25	1,253	58	RELATED TO NO. 2		RELATED TO NO. 2					
2	11	18	25	193	24	33,000	3,000	8	-	-	-	-	6
3	30	63	455	1,903	12	120,000	20,000	20	-	-	-	-	1
4	10	263	538	2,628	298	RELATED TO NO. 3		RELATED TO NO. 3					
5	52	120	463	6,224	449	RELATED TO NO. 3		RELATED TO NO. 3					
6	16	104	585	1,656	-	14,541	2,000	1	-	-	-	-	-
7	14	57	489	796	94	RELATED TO NO. 6		RELATED TO NO. 6					
19TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (SAINT LUCIE)													
1	25	32	40	795	80	44,947	-	18	-	-	-	-	7
2	32	1	4	31	11	3,110	244	2	-	-	-	-	-
3	32	1	4	31	11	RELATED TO NO. 2		RELATED TO NO. 2					
4	4	-	-	-	-	244	244	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	8	2	4	19	8	1,564	244	2	-	-	-	-	-
6	8	2	4	19	8	RELATED TO NO. 5		RELATED TO NO. 5					
7	8	-	-	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 5		RELATED TO NO. 5					
8	8	-	-	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 5		RELATED TO NO. 5					
9	22	23	434	511	205	109,000	9,000	12	-	-	-	-	-
10	22	19	347	408	11	RELATED TO NO. 9		RELATED TO NO. 9					
11	30	2	10	66	7	6,938	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
12	64	80	90	5,150	927	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	22	NR	NR	NR	NR	-	-	10	-	-	-	-	-
14	25	NR	NR	NR	NR	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 13					
15	30	NR	NR	NR	NR	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 13					
16	25	NR	NR	NR	NR	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 13					
17	10	NR	NR	NR	NR	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 13					
18	8	NR	NR	NR	NR	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 13					

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application and (Amendment)	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
19TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (SAINT LUCIE) (CONTINUED)									
1*	ANGELOS	HERSCHAFFT	BRIBERY	WS	O	07/28/2000	30	1	60
2*	LEVIN	COLTON	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/03/2000	30	1	40
3*	LEVIN	COLTON	NARCOTICS	WS	H	11/22/2000	30	0	30

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
19TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (SAINT LUCIE) (CONTINUED)													
1*	60	-	5	18	6	6,683	283	1	-	-	-	-	-
2*	40	18	40	712	167	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
3*	25	32	40	795	80	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application and (Amendment)	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
BIBB									
1	WILCOX	SIMMS	NARCOTICS	WS	H	06/26/2001	20	0	20
2	WILCOX	SIMMS	NARCOTICS	WS	H	06/27/2001	20	0	20
3	WILCOX	SIMMS	NARCOTICS	WS	H	07/13/2001	20	0	20
4	WILCOX	SIMMS	NARCOTICS	WS	B	09/05/2001	20	0	20
5	WILCOX	SIMMS	NARCOTICS	WS	B	09/05/2001	20	0	20
6	WILCOX	SIMMS	NARCOTICS	WS	H	09/05/2001	20	0	20
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL									
1	WEEKS	BAKER	RACKETEERING	WS,WC	H,B,D	09/20/2001	20	0	20

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
BIBB													
1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2	17	157	40	2,672	1,900	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
3	15	89	30	1,341	900	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
4	13	35	10	455	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
5	14	60	15	834	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
6	14	19	15	269	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL													
1	10	258	272	2,581	295	28,154	3,994	-	-	-	-	-	

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE B-1
STATE ILLINOIS

CALENDAR YEAR 2001

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application and (Amendment)	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
COOK									
1	TOOMIN	DEVINE	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/21/2000	30	1	60
DE WITT									
1	PETERS	JOHNSON	NARCOTICS	OM	R	07/10/2001	10	0	10
2	PETERS	JOHNSON	NARCOTICS	OM	R	08/31/2001	10	0	10
3	PETERS	JOHNSON	NARCOTICS	OM	R	10/11/2001	30	0	30
DEKALB									
1*	ENGEL	JOHNSON	ASSAULT	WS	O	02/07/2000	10	0	10
2*	ENGEL	JOHNSON	BURGLARY	OM	A	02/09/2000	10	0	10
3*	ENGEL	JOHNSON	BURGLARY	OO	O	02/09/2000	10	0	10
4*	ENGEL	JOHNSON	NARCOTICS	OM	A	02/09/2000	10	1	20
5*	ENGEL	JOHNSON	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/15/2000	3	0	3
6*	ENGEL	JOHNSON	ASSAULT	WS	B	03/15/2000	10	0	10
7*	ENGEL	JOHNSON	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/10/2000	7	0	7
8*	ENGEL	JOHNSON	LARCENY	WS	B	06/15/2000	10	0	10
9*	ENGEL	JOHNSON	ASSAULT	WS	B	07/26/2000	10	0	10
10*	COUNTRYMAN	JOHNSON	BURGLARY	OM	A	08/09/2000	10	0	10
11*	ENGEL	JOHNSON	NARCOTICS	WS	B	08/29/2000	10	0	10
12*	ENGEL	JOHNSON	NARCOTICS	WC,WO	A,D	09/26/2000	10	0	10
13*	ENGEL	MATEKAITIS	NARCOTICS	WC,OM	A	11/13/2000	10	0	10
14*	ENGEL	JOHNSON	ASSAULT	WS	H	11/27/2000	10	0	10
15*	ENGEL	JOHNSON	NARCOTICS	OO	H	11/28/2000	10	0	10
16*	ENGEL	MATEKAITIS	NARCOTICS	WC,OM	A	12/05/2000	10	0	10
17*	ENGEL	MATEKAITIS	ARSON	WS,OM	A	12/19/2000	10	0	10
EDGAR									
1	ANDREWS	SULLIVAN	NARCOTICS	OM	B	03/02/2001	3	0	3
2	ANDREWS	SULLIVAN	NARCOTICS	OM	H	07/11/2001	9	0	9
3	GLENN	SULLIVAN	NARCOTICS	OM	H	07/25/2001	9	0	9
4	GLENN	SULLIVAN	NARCOTICS	OM	O	08/15/2001	9	0	9
5	GLENN	SULLIVAN	NARCOTICS	OM	H	08/23/2001	9	0	9
6	GLENN	SULLIVAN	NARCOTICS	OM	H	10/10/2001	9	0	9

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
COOK													
1	56	NR	NR	NR	NR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DE WITT													
1	2	1	1	2	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1
2	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	4	2	1	6	6	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
DEKALB													
1*	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2*	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3*	1	1	1	1	1	96	-	2	-	-	-	-	2
4*	1	1	1	1	1	192	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5*	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6*	2	1	2	2	-	96	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7*	7	NR	NR	NR	NR	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
8*	1	1	1	1	-	24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9*	1	1	1	1	-	24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10*	1	1	1	1	1	96	-	2	1	-	-	-	1
11*	1	1	1	1	1	48	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
12*	8	2	1	15	15	1,200	-	1	-	-	-	1	-
13*	1	3	1	3	3	240	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
14*	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15*	1	1	2	1	-	220	100	-	-	-	-	-	-
16*	10	2	3	15	15	720	-	3	-	-	-	-	-
17*	2	1	2	2	2	240	-	2	-	-	-	-	-
EDGAR													
1	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	1	1	5	1	1	90	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
3	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	1	-	-	-	-	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	1	1	2	1	1	170	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
6	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE B-1
STATE ILLINOIS

CALENDAR YEAR 2001

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application and (Amendment)	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
FAYETTE									
1	SCHWARM	FRIEDEL	NARCOTICS	OM	B	01/18/2001	10	0	10
2	SCHWARM	FRIEDEL	NARCOTICS	OM	B	05/15/2001	10	0	10
3	SCHWARM	FRIEDEL	MURDER	OM	B	06/06/2001	10	0	10
4	BECKER	FRIEDEL	NARCOTICS	OM	H	08/06/2001	10	0	10
HAMILTON									
1	UNDERWOOD	MORRIS	ASSAULT	OM	O	07/09/2001	1	1	11
HENRY									
1	MCREYNOLDS	DARROW	NARCOTICS	OO	O	02/02/2001	10	0	10
2	MCREYNOLDS	DARROW	NARCOTICS	OO	O	02/13/2001	10	0	10
3	HAMER	DARROW	NARCOTICS	OO	O	02/27/2001	10	0	10
4	HAMER	DARROW	NARCOTICS	OO	A	05/04/2001	10	0	10
5	HAMER	DARROW	NARCOTICS	OO	O	05/04/2001	10	0	10
6	HAMER	DARROW	NARCOTICS	OO	O	05/04/2001	10	0	10
7	HAMER	DARROW	NARCOTICS	WS	A	05/09/2001	10	0	10
8	BLACKWOOD	PATTON	NARCOTICS	WS	H	05/16/2001	10	0	10
9	BLACKWOOD	PATTON	NARCOTICS	OO	O	05/16/2001	10	0	10
10	BLACKWOOD	PATTON	NARCOTICS	OO	O	05/16/2001	10	1	20
11	HAMER	DARROW	NARCOTICS	OO	O	05/18/2001	10	0	10
12	HAMER	DARROW	NARCOTICS	OO	O	05/18/2001	10	2	30
13	HAMER	DARROW	NARCOTICS	OO	O	05/18/2001	10	1	20
14	HAMER	DARROW	NARCOTICS	WS	H	05/23/2001	10	0	10
15	HAMER	DARROW	NARCOTICS	OO	O	05/23/2001	10	0	10
16	HAMER	DARROW	NARCOTICS	OO	O	05/30/2001	10	1	20
17	BLACKWOOD	DARROW	NARCOTICS	WS	H	05/30/2001	10	0	10
18	BLACKWOOD	DARROW	NARCOTICS	WS	H	06/08/2001	10	0	10
19	HAMER	DARROW	NARCOTICS	EO	O	06/14/2001	10	0	10
20	BLACKWOOD	DARROW	NARCOTICS	OO	O	06/19/2001	10	0	10
21	BLACKWOOD	DARROW	NARCOTICS	OO	O	06/19/2001	10	0	10
22	HAMER	DARROW	NARCOTICS	WS	H	06/20/2001	10	0	10
23	HAMER	DARROW	NARCOTICS	OO	O	06/20/2001	10	0	10
24	MCREYNOLDS	DARROW	NARCOTICS	OO	O	07/05/2001	10	0	10
25	BLACKWOOD	DARROW	NARCOTICS	OO	O	07/06/2001	10	0	10

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

TABLE B-1
STATE ILLINOIS

CALENDAR YEAR 2001

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of						
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted	
										G	D	P		
FAYETTE														
1	I	-	-	-	-	35	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	I	-	-	-	-	320	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	2	1	2	2	1	1,050	50	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAMILTON														
1	2	1	3	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HENRY														
1	1	4	1	4	1	1,210	60	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	1	5	1	5	1	RELATED TO NO. 1		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	I	-	-	-	-	450	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	1	1	1	1	1	700	500	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	1	3	1	3	1	4,020	3,300	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	1	1	1	1	-	400	300	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	I	-	-	-	-	240	200	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	I	-	-	-	-	200	200	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	I	-	-	-	-	240	200	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	I	-	-	-	-	300	200	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	I	-	-	-	-	200	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	1	1	1	1	1	600	400	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
16	I	-	-	-	-	280	200	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	1	1	1	1	-	500	400	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	1	1	1	1	NR	600	400	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	1	1	1	1	1	740	500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	I	-	-	-	-	240	200	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	I	-	-	-	-	240	200	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	2	-	4	1	4	1,200	800	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	1	1	1	1	1	740	500	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	1	1	1	1	1	2,400	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	I	-	-	-	-	240	200	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application and (Amendment)	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
HENRY (CONTINUED)									
26	BLACKWOOD	DARROW	NARCOTICS	OO	O	07/06/2001	10	0	10
27	BLACKWOOD	DARROW	NARCOTICS	OO	O	07/06/2001	10	0	10
28	HAMER	DARROW	NARCOTICS	OO	O	07/12/2001	10	0	10
29	MCREYNOLDS	DARROW	NARCOTICS	OO	O	08/07/2001	10	0	10
30	HAMER	DARROW	NARCOTICS	OO	O	08/21/2001	10	0	10
31	BLACKWOOD	DARROW	NARCOTICS	WS	B	08/28/2001	10	0	10
32	HAMER	DARROW	NARCOTICS	OO	O	08/28/2001	10	0	10
33	HAMER	DARROW	NARCOTICS	OO	O	10/25/2001	30	0	30
34	HAMER	DARROW	NARCOTICS	OO	O	12/04/2001	10	0	10
35	HAMER	DARROW	NARCOTICS	WS	H	12/04/2001	10	0	10
LEE									
1	MAGDICH	GIESEN	NARCOTICS	WC,OM	D,O	01/11/2001	10	0	10
2	MAGDICH	GIESEN	NARCOTICS	WC,OM	D,O	01/23/2001	10	0	10
3	MAGDICH	GIESEN	NARCOTICS	WS,WC,OM	D,O	01/31/2001	10	0	10
4	MAGDICH	GIESEN	NARCOTICS	WC,OM	D,O	02/06/2001	10	0	10
5	MAGDICH	GIESEN	NARCOTICS	WC,OM	D,O	02/20/2001	10	0	10
6	MAGDICH	GIESEN	NARCOTICS	WC,OM	D,O	03/06/2001	10	0	10
7	MAGDICH	GIESEN	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	D,O	03/27/2001	10	0	10
8	MAGDICH	GIESEN	OTHER	OM	O	03/30/2001	10	0	10
9	MAGDICH	GIESEN	ASSAULT	WS,OM	D,O	04/03/2001	10	0	10
10	MAGDICH	GIESEN	NARCOTICS	WS,OM	A,O	04/16/2001	10	0	10
11	MAGDICH	GIESEN	NARCOTICS	WS,WC,OM	D,O	05/18/2001	10	0	10
12	MAGDICH	GIESEN	NARCOTICS	EO	R	08/24/2001	10	0	10
13	MAGDICH	GIESEN	NARCOTICS	OM	O	10/01/2001	30	0	30
14	MAGDICH	GIESEN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	10/02/2001	30	1	60
15	MAGDICH	GIESEN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	10/02/2001	30	0	30
16	MAGDICH	GIESEN	NARCOTICS	OM	O	10/05/2001	30	0	30
WASHINGTON									
1	HATCH	TRENTMAN	NARCOTICS	OM	O	01/31/2001	10	0	10
2	KARMEIER	TRENTMAN	NARCOTICS	OM	O	02/08/2001	10	0	10
3	KARMEIER	TRENTMAN	NARCOTICS	WS	O	02/22/2001	10	0	10
4	KARMEIER	TRENTMAN	NARCOTICS	OM	O	02/22/2001	10	0	10

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

TABLE B-1
STATE ILLINOIS

CALENDAR YEAR 2001

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
HENRY (CONTINUED)													
26	I	-	-	-	-	240	200	-	-	-	-	-	-
27	I	-	-	-	-	240	200	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	1	1	1	1	1	660	500	1	-	-	-	-	-
29	1	1	1	1	1	700	400	1	-	-	-	-	-
30	I	-	-	-	-	240	200	-	-	-	-	-	-
31	1	2	2	2	2	1,300	700	2	-	-	-	-	-
32	I	-	-	-	-	240	200	-	-	-	-	-	-
33	1	2	1	2	1	980	30	-	-	-	-	-	-
34	I	-	-	-	-	240	200	-	-	-	-	-	-
35	1	1	1	1	1	580	400	-	-	-	-	-	-
LEE													
1	10	-	3	3	3	580	100	3	-	-	-	-	3
2	10	1	8	7	7	1,920	-	6	-	-	-	-	6
3	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	10	-	5	3	3	1,100	-	2	1	-	-	-	2
5	10	-	6	4	4	1,160	-	4	-	-	1	-	4
6	10	-	3	2	2	760	200	4	-	-	-	-	4
7	10	-	1	2	1	720	320	1	-	-	-	-	-
8	10	-	2	1	-	125	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	10	-	2	1	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	10	-	1	2	2	1,000	-	1	-	-	-	-	1
11	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	1	1	1	1	1	800	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	30	-	1	1	1	80	40	1	-	-	-	-	1
14	60	-	4	4	-	720	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	30	-	2	2	-	RELATED TO NO. 14		-	-	-	-	-	-
16	30	-	1	1	1	80	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON													
1	10	-	2	2	2	106	6	1	-	-	-	-	1
2	10	-	3	1	1	113	13	2	-	-	-	-	2
3	10	-	3	3	3	2,050	50	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application and (Amendment)	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
5	KARMEIER	TRENTMAN	NARCOTICS	OM	O	03/14/2001	10	0	10
6	KARMEIER	TRENTMAN	NARCOTICS	OM	O	03/30/2001	10	0	10
7	KARMEIER	TRENTMAN	NARCOTICS	OM	O	04/06/2001	10	0	10
8	KARMEIER	TRENTMAN	NARCOTICS	OM	A	04/11/2001	10	0	10
9	CAMPANELLA	TRENTMAN	NARCOTICS	OM	O	04/20/2001	10	0	10
10	KARMEIER	TRENTMAN	NARCOTICS	OM	O	05/24/2001	10	0	10
11	HATCH	TRENTMAN	NARCOTICS	OM	A	05/26/2001	10	0	10
12	HATCH	TRENTMAN	NARCOTICS	OM	O	05/29/2001	10	0	10
13	KARMEIER	TRENTMAN	BURGLARY	OM	A	06/26/2001	10	0	10
14	KARMEIER	TRENTMAN	NARCOTICS	OM	O	06/29/2001	10	0	10
15	KARMEIER	TRENTMAN	OTHER	WS	H	06/29/2001	10	0	10
16	KARMEIER	TRENTMAN	NARCOTICS	OM	O	08/01/2001	10	0	10
17	KARMEIER	TRENTMAN	NARCOTICS	OM	O	08/01/2001	10	0	10
18	HATCH	TRENTMAN	THEFT	OM	H	08/02/2001	10	0	10
19	KARMEIER	TRENTMAN	NARCOTICS	OM	H	08/10/2001	10	0	10
20	KARMEIER	TRENTMAN	NARCOTICS	OM	H	08/20/2001	10	0	10
21	HATCH	TRENTMAN	NARCOTICS	OM	O	08/25/2001	10	0	10
22	HATCH	TRENTMAN	NARCOTICS	OM	H	08/25/2001	10	0	10
23	HATCH	TRENTMAN	NARCOTICS	OM	O	09/07/2001	30	0	30
24	KARMEIER	TRENTMAN	NARCOTICS	OM	H	09/10/2001	10	0	10
25	KARMEIER	TRENTMAN	NARCOTICS	OM	O	09/21/2001	10	0	10
26	HATCH	TRENTMAN	NARCOTICS	OM	H	09/23/2001	30	0	30
27	HATCH	TRENTMAN	NARCOTICS	OM	O	10/04/2001	30	0	30
28	KARMEIER	TRENTMAN	NARCOTICS	OM	O	10/09/2001	20	0	20
29	HATCH	TRENTMAN	NARCOTICS	OM	O	10/12/2001	20	0	20
30	HATCH	TRENTMAN	NARCOTICS	OM	H	10/12/2001	30	0	30
31	KARMEIER	TRENTMAN	NARCOTICS	OM	O	10/26/2001	20	0	20
32	KARMEIER	TRENTMAN	NARCOTICS	OM	O	10/26/2001	20	0	20
33	KARMEIER	TRENTMAN	OTHER	OM	H	11/09/2001	10	0	10
34	KARMEIER	TRENTMAN	OTHER	OM	O	11/19/2001	10	0	10
35	KARMEIER	TRENTMAN	NARCOTICS	OM	O	12/05/2001	20	0	20
36	KARMEIER	TRENTMAN	NARCOTICS	OM	A	12/05/2001	20	0	20

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
5	10	-	2	1	1	113	13	1	-	-	-	-	1
6	10	-	2	2	2	106	26	1	-	-	-	-	1
7	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	10	-	2	2	2	106	26	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	10	-	5	1	-	73	13	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	10	-	2	1	1	93	13	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	I	-	-	-	-	1,550	1,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	10	-	3	1	1	53	13	1	-	-	-	-	1
15	10	-	2	1	1	53	13	1	-	-	-	-	-
16	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	10	-	3	1	1	73	13	2	-	-	-	-	2
19	10	-	3	3	3	439	39	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	10	-	2	1	1	63	3	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	10	-	2	1	-	103	3	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	10	-	3	1	1	93	13	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	30	-	4	2	-	231	6	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	10	-	3	1	1	143	3	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
26	30	-	2	1	1	43	3	1	-	-	-	-	-
27	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
29	20	-	4	3	3	406	6	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	30	-	4	2	2	186	6	-	-	-	-	-	-
31	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
32	20	-	4	1	-	103	3	-	-	-	-	-	-
33	10	-	3	1	1	43	3	1	-	-	-	-	1
34	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
35	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
36	20	-	5	1	1	78	3	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE B-1
STATE ILLINOIS

CALENDAR YEAR 2001

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application and (Amendment)	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
WHITE									
1	SUTTON	SUTTON	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	04/04/2001	11	0	11
2	SUTTON	SUTTON	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	04/04/2001	11	0	11
3	SUTTON	SUTTON	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	04/04/2001	11	0	11
4	TIMBERLAKE	SUTTON	ASSAULT	WS	H,O	05/30/2001	11	0	11
5	SUTTON	SUTTON	ASSAULT	WS	H,O	09/12/2001	30	0	30
WILL									
1	KINNEY	TOMCZAK	NARCOTICS	WC,OM	D	02/06/2001	10	0	10
2	WHITE	TOMCZAK	NARCOTICS	WC,OM	D	03/05/2001	10	0	10
3	WHITE	TOMCZAK	NARCOTICS	WC,OM	D	03/15/2001	10	0	10
4	WHITE	TOMCZAK	NARCOTICS	WC,OM	D	03/19/2001	10	0	10
5	LORZ	TOMCZAK	NARCOTICS	WC,OM	D	03/24/2001	10	0	10
6	KINNEY	TOMCZAK	NARCOTICS	WC,OM	D	04/02/2001	10	0	10
7	KINNEY	TOMCZAK	NARCOTICS	WC,OM	D	04/26/2001	10	0	10
8	WHITE	TOMCZAK	NARCOTICS	WC,OM	D	04/30/2001	10	0	10
9	KINNEY	TOMCZAK	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/23/2001	10	0	10
10	KINNEY	TOMCZAK	NARCOTICS	WC,OM	D	06/05/2001	10	0	10
11	KINNEY	TOMCZAK	NARCOTICS	WC,OM	D	06/05/2001	10	0	10
12	KINNEY	TOMCZAK	NARCOTICS	WC,OM	D	06/22/2001	10	0	10
13	WHITE	TOMCZAK	NARCOTICS	WC,OM	D	07/05/2001	10	0	10
14	KUHAR	TOMCZAK	NARCOTICS	WC,OM	D	07/26/2001	10	0	10
15	WHITE	TOMCZAK	NARCOTICS	WC,OM	D	07/28/2001	10	0	10
16	LECHWAR	TOMCZAK	NARCOTICS	WC,OM	D	08/20/2001	10	0	10
17	WHITE	TOMCZAK	NARCOTICS	WC,OM	D	08/20/2001	10	0	10
18	WHITE	TOMCZAK	NARCOTICS	WC,OM	D	10/19/2001	10	0	10
19	WHITE	TOMCZAK	NARCOTICS	WC,OM	D	10/30/2001	30	0	30
20	ROZAK	TOMCZAK	NARCOTICS	WC,OM	D	12/06/2001	30	0	30
21	ROZAK	TOMCZAK	NARCOTICS	WC,OM	D	12/20/2001	30	0	30

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

TABLE B-1
STATE ILLINOIS

CALENDAR YEAR 2001

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					Persons Convicted
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			
										G	D	P	
WHITE													
1	1	2	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	1	1	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	1	2	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	1	2	2	2	2	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
5	1	2	2	2	2	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
WILL													
1	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	1	1	1	1	1	RELATED TO NO. 16		RELATED TO NO. 16					
3	I	-	-	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 16		-	-	-	-	-	-
4	10	-	2	5	5	14,000	4,000	3	-	-	-	-	-
5	I	-	-	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 16		-	-	-	-	-	-
6	1	1	1	1	1	20,800	800	1	-	-	-	-	-
7	2	-	1	1	1	RELATED TO NO. 6		RELATED TO NO. 6					
8	10	-	2	5	5	RELATED TO NO. 4		RELATED TO NO. 4					
9	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	2	-	1	1	1	RELATED TO NO. 6		RELATED TO NO. 6					
12	1	1	1	1	1	RELATED TO NO. 6		RELATED TO NO. 6					
13	10	-	1	1	1	RELATED TO NO. 15		-	-	-	-	-	-
14	10	1	2	6	6	RELATED TO NO. 4		RELATED TO NO. 4					
15	10	-	1	1	1	1,400	400	-	-	-	-	-	-
16	1	1	2	1	1	700	200	2	-	-	-	-	-
17	7	1	1	4	4	2,100	600	1	-	-	-	-	-
18	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	I	-	-	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 16		-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE B-1
STATE MARYLAND

CALENDAR YEAR 2001

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application and (Amendment)	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
BALTIMORE									
1	TURNBULL	O'CONNOR	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/09/2001	14	0	14
2	TURNBULL	O'CONNOR	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/09/2001	30	1	60
3	TURNBULL	O'CONNOR	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/15/2001	25	1	43
4	TURNBULL	O'CONNOR	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/29/2001	30	1	42
5	TURNBULL	O'CONNOR	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/29/2001	15	0	15
6	TURNBULL	O'CONNOR	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/29/2001	1	0	1
7	TURNBULL	O'CONNOR	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/08/2001	30	0	30
8	TURNBULL	O'CONNOR	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/18/2001	16	0	16
9	TURNBULL	O'CONNOR	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/27/2001	11	0	11
10	TURNBULL	O'CONNOR	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/27/2001	15	0	15
11	WRIGHT	TRIMBLE	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	08/03/2001	30	0	30
12	COX	MEYERS	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/17/2001	30	0	30
13	COX	MEYERS	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/17/2001	30	0	30
14	COX	MEYERS	NARCOTICS	WS	H	09/17/2001	30	0	30
15	COX	MEYERS	NARCOTICS	WS	H	09/17/2001	30	0	30
16	LEVITZ	O'CONNOR	NARCOTICS	WS	H	09/21/2001	30	0	30
17	LEVITZ	O'CONNOR	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/21/2001	30	0	30
18	COX	MEYERS	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/01/2001	30	1	60
19	COX	MEYERS	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/16/2001	30	0	30
20	COX	MEYERS	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/16/2001	30	0	30
21	COX	MEYERS	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/16/2001	30	0	30
22	COX	MEYERS	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/22/2001	30	0	30
23	COX	MEYERS	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/01/2001	30	0	30
24	COX	MEYERS	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/05/2001	30	0	30
BALTIMORE CITY									
1	PREVAS	JESSAMY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/14/2001	30	0	30
2	PREVAS	JESSAMY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/14/2001	30	0	30
3	PREVAS	JESSAMY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/28/2001	30	0	30
4	PREVAS	JESSAMY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/06/2001	30	1	60
5	PREVAS	JESSAMY	NARCOTICS	ED	D	03/27/2001	30	1	60
6	PREVAS	JESSAMY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/05/2001	30	0	30

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
BALTIMORE													
1	14	43	200	600	40	69,260	20,000	18	-	-	-	-	2
2	60	51	1,200	3,039	1,000	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
3	43	93	2,150	4,017	1,350	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
4	42	52	958	2,200	850	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
5	15	30	300	452	100	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
6	1	-	-	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
7	30	77	1,600	2,300	1,800	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
8	16	19	220	300	150	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
9	11	55	458	600	400	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
10	15	20	215	300	100	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
11	23	98	17	2,250	210	204,000	17,000	2	-	-	-	-	-
12	29	93	64	2,708	998	2,200	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	27	54	34	1,463	95	2,000	-	1	-	-	-	1	-
14	8	50	17	396	-	300	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
15	8	34	20	271	1	RELATED TO NO. 14		-	-	-	-	-	-
16	23	30	179	682	22	51,000	1,000	7	-	-	-	1	-
17	30	55	167	1,648	302	RELATED TO NO. 16		RELATED TO NO. 16					
18	37	18	49	656	157	850	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	16	1	3	9	-	RELATED TO NO. 12		-	-	-	-	-	-
20	18	9	11	170	51	RELATED TO NO. 12		-	-	-	-	-	-
21	21	54	59	1,144	603	575	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	25	25	31	631	163	445	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	15	29	41	442	185	RELATED TO NO. 12		-	-	-	-	-	-
24	10	56	53	562	247	RELATED TO NO. 12		-	-	-	-	-	-
BALTIMORE CITY													
1	30	76	177	2,275	160	710,500	435,000	28	-	-	-	-	10
2	11	-	-	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
3	10	70	47	705	121	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
4	37	49	128	1,799	337	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
5	49	5	NR	221	221	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
6	4	46	17	183	19	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE B-1
STATE MARYLAND

CALENDAR YEAR 2001

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application and (Amendment)	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
BALTIMORE CITY (CONTINUED)									
7	PREVAS	JESSAMY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/05/2001	30	0	30
8	PREVAS	JESSAMY	NARCOTICS	ED	D	04/28/2001	30	0	30
9	PREVAS	JESSAMY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/28/2001	30	0	30
10	PREVAS	JESSAMY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/01/2001	30	0	30
11	QUARLES	JESSAMY	MURDER	OM,EO	B	05/02/2001	30	1	60
12	QUARLES	JESSAMY	MURDER	OM,EO	B	05/02/2001	30	1	60
13	QUARLES	JESSAMY	MURDER	OM,EO	B	05/02/2001	30	1	60
14	QUARLES	JESSAMY	MURDER	WC	D	05/29/2001	30	1	60
15	PREVAS	JESSAMY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/12/2001	30	0	30
16	PREVAS	JESSAMY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/12/2001	30	0	30
17	PREVAS	JESSAMY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/12/2001	30	0	30
18	PREVAS	JESSAMY	NARCOTICS	ED	D	10/29/2001	30	0	30
19	PREVAS	JESSAMY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/29/2001	30	0	30
20	PREVAS	JESSAMY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/29/2001	30	0	30
21	PREVAS	JESSAMY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/09/2001	30	0	30
22	PREVAS	JESSAMY	NARCOTICS	ED	D	11/09/2001	30	0	30
23	PREVAS	JESSAMY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/15/2001	30	0	30
HOWARD									
1	DUDLEY	MCLENDON	NARCOTICS	WC	R	06/11/2001	30	0	30
2	DUDLEY	MCLENDON	NARCOTICS	ED	R	06/25/2001	30	0	30
QUEEN ANNE'S									
1*	SAUSE	GREGORY	NARCOTICS	WS	H	02/04/2000	30	1	60
2*	SAUSE	GREGORY	NARCOTICS	ED	D	02/04/2000	30	1	60
3*	SAUSE	GREGORY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/04/2000	30	0	30
4*	SAUSE	GREGORY	NARCOTICS	WS	H	02/24/2000	30	0	30
5*	SAUSE	GREGORY	NARCOTICS	WS	H	03/14/2000	30	0	30

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

TABLE B-1
STATE MARYLAND

CALENDAR YEAR 2001

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
BALTIMORE CITY (CONTINUED)													
7	30	33	120	977	155	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
8	17	2	NR	27	9	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
9	7	56	48	393	29	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
10	14	21	34	299	5	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
11	43	-	-	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 12		RELATED TO NO. 12					
12	43	16	4	689	25	204,000	17,000	2	-	-	-	-	
13	43	12	4	496	17	RELATED TO NO. 12		RELATED TO NO. 12					
14	32	66	25	2,106	530	RELATED TO NO. 12		RELATED TO NO. 12					
15	12	21	30	247	11	57,659	9,685	7	-	-	-	-	
16	25	16	40	400	117	RELATED TO NO. 15		RELATED TO NO. 15					
17	29	19	75	564	48	RELATED TO NO. 15		RELATED TO NO. 15					
18	8	2	NR	12	-	RELATED TO NO. 15		RELATED TO NO. 15					
19	1	-	-	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 15		RELATED TO NO. 15					
20	8	-	-	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 15		RELATED TO NO. 15					
21	26	25	95	655	74	RELATED TO NO. 15		RELATED TO NO. 15					
22	12	14	NR	172	24	RELATED TO NO. 15		RELATED TO NO. 15					
23	6	34	35	202	34	RELATED TO NO. 15		RELATED TO NO. 15					
HOWARD													
1	22	44	24	962	81	98,926	11,800	-	-	-	-	-	
2	29	18	NR	508	NR	RELATED TO NO. 1		-	-	-	-	-	
QUEEN ANNE'S													
1*	41	25	75	1,008	274	102,000	10,000	21	-	-	1	5	
2*	41	29	26	1,190	1,190	RELATED TO NO. 1*		RELATED TO NO. 1*					
3*	27	-	2	2	-	RELATED TO NO. 1*		RELATED TO NO. 1*					
4*	17	38	64	645	246	RELATED TO NO. 1*		RELATED TO NO. 1*					
5*	11	28	20	305	58	RELATED TO NO. 1*		RELATED TO NO. 1*					

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application and (Amendment)	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
BARNSTABLE									
1	CONNON	WELSH	MURDER	OM	H	10/26/2001	15	1	30
HAMPDEN									
1	CARHART	DOLAN	GAMBLING	WC	D	08/15/2001	15	2	45
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL									
1	BALL	BLOOMER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/21/2001	15	1	30
2	BALL	BLOOMER	NARCOTICS	WS	H	03/21/2001	15	0	15
3	BALL	BLOOMER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/02/2001	15	0	15
4	MUSE	BLOOMER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/21/2001	15	1	30
5	MUSE	BLOOMER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/21/2001	15	2	45
6	MUSE	BLOOMER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/05/2001	15	1	30
7	MUSE	BLOOMER	NARCOTICS	WS	H	10/11/2001	15	1	30
8	MUSE	BLOOMER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/22/2001	15	0	15
9	BALL	BLOOMER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/09/2001	15	0	15

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
BARNSTABLE													
1	30	NR	NR	NR	NR	62,000	5,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAMPDEN													
1	45	16	44	710	178	83,000	3,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL													
1	30	26	35	781	110	61,400	1,000	5	-	-	-	-	1
2	15	9	10	135	2	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
3	15	23	20	342	12	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
4	30	32	44	967	227	206,626	3,000	8	-	-	-	-	-
5	45	85	97	3,808	817	RELATED TO NO. 4		RELATED TO NO. 4					
6	28	32	69	884	258	RELATED TO NO. 4		RELATED TO NO. 4					
7	22	34	36	743	46	RELATED TO NO. 4		RELATED TO NO. 4					
8	14	40	82	563	165	RELATED TO NO. 4		RELATED TO NO. 4					
9	15	42	38	624	247	31,000	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application and (Amendment)	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
HENNEPIN									
1	OLEISKY	KLOBUCHAR	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/28/2001	30	0	30

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
HENNEPIN													
1	27	81	50	2,174	279	20,816	2,000	3	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application and (Amendment)	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
15TH CIRCUIT COURT DISTRICT									
1	EUBANKS	MCDONALD	NARCOTICS	WS	H	05/16/2001	30	0	30
2	EUBANKS	MCDONALD	NARCOTICS	WS	H	06/28/2001	30	1	60
3	EUBANKS	MCDONALD	NARCOTICS	WC	R	07/12/2001	30	0	30
22ND CIRCUIT COURT DISTRICT									
1	PATRICK	MARTIN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	09/05/2001	30	1	60
2	PATRICK	MARTIN	NARCOTICS	WS,WC,EF	H,D	10/11/2001	30	2	75
HARRISON									
1	WALKER	CARANNA	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/16/2001	30	1	38

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
15TH CIRCUIT COURT DISTRICT													
1	30	30	98	886	102	16,485	3,045	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	50	56	260	2,804	32	27,240	5,400	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	27	5	35	127	6	14,849	2,669	-	-	-	-	-	-
22ND CIRCUIT COURT DISTRICT													
1	45	86	167	3,886	97	24,060	4,320	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	75	216	83	16,234	695	74,280	10,440	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARRISON													
1	38	73	121	2,783	371	21,560	6,054	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application and (Amendment)	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
DOUGLAS									
1	TROIA	JANSEN	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,B,D	04/26/2001	30	1	60
1*	MCGILL	JANSEN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/14/2000	30	0	30
2*	MCGILL	JANSEN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/14/2000	30	0	30
3*	MCGILL	JANSEN	MURDER	WS	B	03/14/2000	30	0	30
4*	MCGILL	JANSEN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/11/2000	30	0	30
LINCOLN									
1	ROWLANDS	MEYER	NARCOTICS	WS	H	01/04/2001	30	2	90

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
DOUGLAS													
1	57	159	32	9,045	108	88,049	3,335	3	-	-	1	-	-
1*	30	19	18	563	299	149,515	5,795	55	1	-	2	-	31
2*	24	69	38	1,656	446	RELATED TO NO. 1*		RELATED TO NO. 1*					
3*	27	98	28	2,641	56	6,250	100	-	-	-	-	-	-
4*	14	89	22	1,246	252	RELATED TO NO. 1*		RELATED TO NO. 1*					
LINCOLN													
1	86	63	350	5,403	589	205,000	105,000	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application and (Amendment)	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL									
1	MCGUIRE	MCLAUGHLIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/21/2001	10	2	30
1*	MCGUIRE	MCLAUGHLIN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	03/17/2000	10	1	20

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL													
1	29	152	NR	4,419	876	78,030	2,725	14	-	-	-	-	-
1*	13	42	32	544	48	31,800	1,800	4	-	-	-	-	4

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE B-1
STATE NEW JERSEY

CALENDAR YEAR 2001

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application and (Amendment)	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
BERGEN									
1	CLARK	SCHMIDT	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/03/2001	30	0	30
2	CLARK	SCHMIDT	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/03/2001	30	0	30
BURLINGTON									
1	FEINBERG	BERNARDI	MURDER	WO	O	05/21/2001	10	0	10
2	FEINBERG	BERNARDI	MURDER	WO	B	08/09/2001	20	0	20
CAMDEN									
1	NATAL	SOLOMON	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/08/2001	30	0	30
2	NATAL	SOLOMON	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/25/2001	30	0	30
3	NATAL	SOLOMON	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/24/2001	30	0	30
4	NATAL	SOLOMON	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/30/2001	30	0	30
5	NATAL	SOLOMON	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/03/2001	30	0	30
CAPE MAY									
1	GAROFALO	BLAKER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/22/2001	20	0	20
CUMBERLAND									
1	GAROFALO	MARCHAND	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/12/2001	30	0	30
2	GAROFALO	MARCHAND	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/12/2001	30	0	30
3	GAROFALO	MARCHAND	NARCOTICS	WS	H	07/12/2001	30	0	30
4	GAROFALO	MARCHAND	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/12/2001	30	0	30
5	NATALE	MARCHAND	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/23/2001	30	1	60
6	NATALE	MARCHAND	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/30/2001	30	0	30
7	GAROFALO	MARCHAND	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/21/2001	30	0	30
8	GAROFALO	MARCHAND	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/27/2001	30	0	30
GLOUCESTER									
1	NATAL	YURICK	NARCOTICS	WS	H	11/07/2001	20	1	30
2	NATAL	YURICK	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/07/2001	20	1	30
3	NATAL	YURICK	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/07/2001	20	1	30
4	NATAL	YURICK	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/30/2001	20	0	20
5	NATAL	DONOVAN	GAMBLING	WS	H	12/13/2001	20	0	20
HUDSON									
1	D'ITALIA	THEEMLING	GAMBLING	ED	D	12/20/2000	30	1	60
2	D'ITALIA	THEEMLING	GAMBLING	WC	D	12/20/2000	30	1	60
3	D'ITALIA	THEEMLING	GAMBLING	WC	D	01/18/2001	30	0	30

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
BERGEN													
1	10	32	15	316	55	92,457	12,201	4	-	-	-	-	-
2	4	28	10	114	15	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
BURLINGTON													
1	1	18	18	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	1	15	5	15	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
CAMDEN													
1	27	43	25	1,148	89	81,760	4,000	2	-	-	-	-	-
2	28	67	45	1,872	277	84,640	4,000	14	-	-	-	-	-
3	21	43	25	910	57	RELATED TO NO. 2		RELATED TO NO. 2					
4	15	-	-	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 2		RELATED TO NO. 2					
5	7	5	10	36	2	24,160	4,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAPE MAY													
1	15	22	103	323	145	34,069	2,500	11	-	-	-	-	-
CUMBERLAND													
1	9	25	153	227	50	800,000	-	30	-	-	-	-	-
2	10	16	83	165	15	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
3	30	11	111	342	6	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
4	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	60	5	151	302	44	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
6	30	40	554	1,191	11	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
7	30	40	554	1,191	11	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
8	30	8	106	253	56	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
GLOUCESTER													
1	30	39	85	1,176	414	46,500	2,500	55	-	-	-	-	-
2	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	30	37	60	1,110	662	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
4	19	4	8	77	67	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
5	5	146	80	732	307	10,700	500	2	-	-	-	-	-
HUDSON													
1	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application and (Amendment)	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
HUDSON (CONTINUED)									
4	CALLAHAN	THEEMLING	GAMBLING	WC	D	02/13/2001	30	1	60
5	CALLAHAN	THEEMLING	GAMBLING	WC	D	02/13/2001	30	1	60
6	CALLAHAN	THEEMLING	GAMBLING	WC	D	02/13/2001	30	1	60
7	CALLAHAN	THEEMLING	GAMBLING	WC	D	02/13/2001	30	0	30
8	CALLAHAN	THEEMLING	GAMBLING	WC	D	02/22/2001	30	0	30
9	CALLAHAN	THEEMLING	GAMBLING	WC	D	03/09/2001	30	0	30
10	CALLAHAN	THEEMLING	GAMBLING	WC	D	03/09/2001	30	0	30
11	CALLAHAN	THEEMLING	GAMBLING	WC	D	03/09/2001	30	0	30
12	CALLAHAN	THEEMLING	GAMBLING	WC	D	03/09/2001	30	0	30
7*	CALLAHAN	THEEMLING	MURDER	WC	D	02/22/2000	30	0	30
8*	CALLAHAN	THEEMLING	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/16/2000	30	2	90
9*	CALLAHAN	THEEMLING	NARCOTICS	WS	B	06/15/2000	30	0	30
10*	CALLAHAN	THEEMLING	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/05/2000	30	0	30
HUNTERDON									
1	FEINBERG	RUBIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/18/2001	20	0	20
2	FEINBERG	RUBIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/26/2001	20	0	20
3	FEINBERG	RUBIN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	11/26/2001	20	0	20
MERCER									
1	FEINBERG	GIAQUINTO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/29/2000	20	1	50
MIDDLESEX									
1	LONGHI	BERMAN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/29/2001	20	0	20
2	LONGHI	BERMAN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/29/2001	20	0	20
3	LONGHI	BERMAN	GAMBLING	WC	D	11/30/2001	20	0	20
MORRIS									
1	FALCONE	DANGLER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/14/2001	20	1	30
PASSAIC									
1	CLARK	FAVA	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/09/2000	30	1	60
2	CLARK	FAVA	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/17/2001	30	0	30
3	CLARK	FAVA	GAMBLING	WS	B	02/02/2001	20	2	40
4	CLARK	FAVA	GAMBLING	OM	B	03/23/2001	20	1	30
5	CLARK	MOCZULA	GAMBLING	WC	D	05/16/2001	20	2	40
6	CLARK	MOCZULA	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/11/2001	20	1	30

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
HUDSON (CONTINUED)													
4	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
5	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
6	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
7	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
8	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
9	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
10	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
11	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
12	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
7*	3	1	2	2	-	320	20	-	-	-	-	-	
8*	72	18	136	1,279	149	55,250	650	4	-	-	-	-	
9*	8	144	181	1,149	13	RELATED TO NO. 8*		RELATED TO NO. 8*					
10*	6	-	-	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 8*		RELATED TO NO. 8*					
HUNTERDON													
1	20	12	43	243	81	52,450	850	-	-	-	-	-	
2	13	4	19	58	45	24,800	2,650	-	-	-	-	-	
3	13	16	36	214	125	RELATED TO NO. 2		-	-	-	-	-	
MERCER													
1	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MIDDLESEX													
1	17	36	29	608	202	14,640	120	14	-	-	-	-	
2	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
3	8	4	5	31	2	1,730	30	-	-	-	-	-	
MORRIS													
1	28	43	68	1,199	345	133,627	3,075	31	-	-	-	-	
PASSAIC													
1	59	25	32	1,461	336	43,800	1,800	-	-	-	-	-	
2	30	69	22	2,060	457	150,500	22,500	3	-	-	-	2	
3	40	29	9	1,171	116	28,000	18,000	-	-	-	-	-	
4	30	83	13	2,500	151	25,500	18,000	-	-	-	-	-	
5	40	29	16	1,175	133	RELATED TO NO. 3		-	-	-	-	-	
6	30	32	25	960	456	770,500	90,500	19	-	-	-	-	

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE B-1
STATE NEW JERSEY

CALENDAR YEAR 2001

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application and (Amendment)	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
PASSAIC (CONTINUED)									
7	CLARK	MOCZULA	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/03/2001	30	0	30
8	CLARK	MOCZULA	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/13/2001	20	0	20
9	CLARK	MOCZULA	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/02/2001	30	1	60
10	CLARK	MOCZULA	NARCOTICS	WS	H	08/02/2001	30	0	30
11	CLARK	MOCZULA	FRAUD	WS,WC	B,D	08/09/2001	30	0	30
SOMERSET									
1	FEINBERG	FORREST	NARCOTICS	ED	D	02/16/2001	20	2	40
2	FEINBERG	FORREST	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/12/2001	20	0	20
3	D'ITALIA	FORREST	RACKETEERING	WS	H	06/25/2001	30	0	30
4	D'ITALIA	FORREST	RACKETEERING	WS	H	06/25/2001	30	0	30
5	D'ITALIA	FORREST	RACKETEERING	WS	H	07/06/2001	30	0	30
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL									
1	LONGHI	FARMER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/31/2000	20	2	80
2	LONGHI	FARMER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/15/2000	20	2	80
3	LONGHI	ZOUBEK	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/09/2001	30	0	30
4	GAROFALO	FARMER	GAMBLING	WS	H	02/06/2001	20	1	30
5	GAROFALO	FARMER	GAMBLING	WC	D	02/06/2001	20	1	30
6	FALCONE	FARMER	GAMBLING	WC	D	03/12/2001	20	0	20
7	FALCONE	FARMER	GAMBLING	WS	H	03/12/2001	20	0	20
8	GAROFALO	FARMER	GAMBLING	EF	B	03/13/2001	20	0	20
9	FALCONE	FARMER	NARCOTICS	ED	D	04/09/2001	20	2	80
10	FALCONE	FARMER	NARCOTICS	ED	D	05/17/2001	20	1	50
11	FALCONE	FARMER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/17/2001	20	1	50
12	NATAL	FARMER	RACKETEERING	WS	H	06/27/2001	30	0	30
13	NATAL	FARMER	RACKETEERING	WS	H	06/27/2001	30	0	30
14	NATAL	FARMER	RACKETEERING	WS	H	06/27/2001	30	0	30
15	NATAL	FARMER	RACKETEERING	WC	D	06/27/2001	30	0	30
16	FALCONE	FARMER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/06/2001	30	0	30
17	FALCONE	FARMER	RACKETEERING	WC	D	07/06/2001	30	0	30
18	FALCONE	FARMER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/13/2001	30	0	30
19	NATAL	FARMER	RACKETEERING	WC	D	07/17/2001	30	1	60

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
PASSAIC (CONTINUED)													
7	30	42	22	1,247	658	RELATED TO NO. 6		RELATED TO NO. 6					
8	20	22	18	430	185	RELATED TO NO. 6		RELATED TO NO. 6					
9	30	71	42	2,124	1,256	RELATED TO NO. 6		RELATED TO NO. 6					
10	30	53	8	1,592	26	RELATED TO NO. 6		RELATED TO NO. 6					
11	21	104	35	2,193	95	75,500	23,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOMERSET													
1	38	7	43	271	-	8,000	-	2	-	-	-	-	-
2	13	20	27	257	21	22,170	500	2	-	-	-	-	-
3	7	78	20	543	10	19,500	13,500	1	-	-	-	-	-
4	10	71	20	712	10	RELATED TO NO. 3		RELATED TO NO. 3					
5	25	96	48	2,399	20	RELATED TO NO. 3		RELATED TO NO. 3					
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL													
1	58	45	150	2,611	957	79,520	448	54	-	-	-	-	-
2	58	65	120	3,797	774	78,456	448	RELATED TO NO. 1					
3	I	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	30	19	30	582	200	14,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	30	41	33	1,244	60	RELATED TO NO. 4		-	-	-	-	-	-
6	20	36	40	723	20	26,040	1,120	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	20	39	35	773	15	74,028	1,120	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	19	2	NR	39	6	5,712	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	80	2	NR	181	NR	33,600	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	50	5	NR	258	NR	RELATED TO NO. 9		-	-	-	-	-	-
11	50	31	80	1,533	530	42,000	-	15	-	-	-	-	-
12	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
16	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	25	28	40	691	211	22,400	-	4	-	-	-	-	-
18	28	18	20	501	97	23,800	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
19	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application and (Amendment)	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL (CONTINUED)									
20	NATAL	FARMER	RACKETEERING	WC	D	07/17/2001	30	1	60
21	CALLAHAN	FARMER	\$LAUNDERING	ED	D	08/10/2001	20	0	20
22	D'ITALIA	FARMER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/16/2001	20	0	20
23	D'ITALIA	FARMER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/16/2001	20	0	20
24	D'ITALIA	FARMER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/16/2001	20	0	20
25	D'ITALIA	FARMER	NARCOTICS	ED	D	08/16/2001	20	1	50
26	D'ITALIA	FARMER	NARCOTICS	ED	D	10/03/2001	30	0	30
1*	CLARK	FARMER	RACKETEERING	ED	D	12/09/1999	30	0	30
2*	GAROFALO	FARMER	GAMBLING	WS	H	01/21/2000	20	0	20
3*	GAROFALO	FARMER	RACKETEERING	ED	D	03/14/2000	30	2	90
4*	GAROFALO	FARMER	RACKETEERING	WS	H	03/14/2000	30	2	90
5*	GAROFALO	FARMER	RACKETEERING	WC	D	03/15/2000	30	2	90
6*	FALCONE	FARMER	RACKETEERING	WC	D	03/27/2000	30	1	60
7*	FALCONE	FARMER	RACKETEERING	WC	D	03/27/2000	30	1	60
8*	FALCONE	FARMER	RACKETEERING	WC	D	03/27/2000	30	1	60
9*	GAROFALO	FARMER	RACKETEERING	WC	D	03/29/2000	16	2	76
10*	GAROFALO	FARMER	RACKETEERING	WC	D	04/14/2000	30	1	60
11*	GAROFALO	FARMER	NARCOTICS	WS	O	04/14/2000	30	1	60
12*	GAROFALO	FARMER	GAMBLING	WS	H	10/19/2000	20	0	20
13*	GAROFALO	FARMER	GAMBLING	WS	H	10/19/2000	20	0	20
14*	GAROFALO	FARMER	GAMBLING	WC	D	10/19/2000	20	0	20
15*	GAROFALO	FARMER	GAMBLING	WS	H	11/20/2000	10	0	10
16*	GAROFALO	FARMER	GAMBLING	WC	D	11/20/2000	10	0	10
38**	WILLIAMS	VERNIERO	RACKETEERING	EO	B	02/27/1998	30	1	60
39**	WILLIAMS	VERNIERO	RACKETEERING	WS	B	04/08/1998	30	0	30
40**	WILLIAMS	VERNIERO	RACKETEERING	EO	O	06/24/1998	30	0	30
41**	NATAL	VERNIERO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/23/1998	30	0	30
13**	FALCONE	VERNIERO	RACKETEERING	ED	D	09/29/1998	30	2	90
14**	FALCONE	VERNIERO	BRIBERY	ED	D	03/22/1999	20	0	20
15**	NATAL	FARMER	NARCOTICS	ED	D	04/19/1999	30	2	90
16**	NATAL	VERNIERO	MURDER	ED	D	04/19/1999	30	2	90
17**	VOLKERT	VERNIERO	RACKETEERING	ED	D	05/11/1999	30	0	30

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

TABLE B-1
STATE NEW JERSEY

CALENDAR YEAR 2001

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of						
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted	
										G	D	P		
20	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
26	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1*	10	26	66	256	104	18,144	224	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2*	10	26	66	256	2	34,650	3,465	2	-	-	-	-	-	2
3*	89	-	-	-	-	3,115	311	7	-	-	-	-	-	-
4*	89	26	228	2,332	121	130,620	13,062	6	-	-	-	-	-	-
5*	89	28	225	2,454	309	146,440	14,644	6	-	-	-	-	-	-
6*	60	23	223	1,398	498	29,344	140	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
7*	60	51	150	3,058	80	23,940	140	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8*	60	46	127	2,784	270	RELATED TO NO. 6*		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9*	76	15	107	1,152	232	119,525	11,952	7	-	-	-	-	-	-
10*	60	8	91	504	17	50,400	5,040	4	-	-	-	-	-	-
11*	60	8	91	504	17	28,560	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-
12*	3	52	20	156	2	22,400	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13*	20	26	75	517	69	RELATED TO NO. 12*		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14*	20	19	45	383	72	RELATED TO NO. 12*		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15*	10	28	55	285	37	RELATED TO NO. 12*		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
16*	10	28	40	276	62	RELATED TO NO. 12*		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
38**	60	NR	NR	NR	NR	28,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
39**	30	50	79	1,486	86	26,208	2,688	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
40**	27	1	14	17	-	9,520	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
41**	15	6	67	90	2	15,820	1,582	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13**	51	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14**	20	7	57	140	-	6,300	168	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15**	76	8	95	590	-	5,750	2,915	8	-	-	-	-	-	-
16**	76	2	41	190	-	RELATED TO NO. 15**		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17**	27	25	71	678	13	28,910	2,891	2	-	-	-	-	-	2

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE B-1
STATE NEW JERSEY

CALENDAR YEAR 2001

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application and (Amendment)	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL (CONTINUED)									
18**	VOLKERT	VERNIERO	RACKETEERING	ED	D	05/11/1999	30	0	30
19**	VOLKERT	VERNIERO	RACKETEERING	WC	D	05/11/1999	30	0	30
20**	VOLKERT	FARMER	RACKETEERING	ED	D	06/14/1999	30	0	30
21**	VOLKERT	FARMER	RACKETEERING	WC	D	06/14/1999	30	0	30
22**	NATAL	FARMER	MURDER	WC	D	06/18/1999	30	1	60
23**	NATAL	FARMER	MURDER	WS	H	06/18/1999	30	1	60
24**	NATAL	FARMER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/18/1999	30	0	30
25**	NATAL	FARMER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/28/1999	30	0	30
26**	NATAL	FARMER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/28/1999	30	0	30
27**	NATAL	FARMER	RACKETEERING	WC	D	09/07/1999	30	0	30
28**	NATAL	FARMER	RACKETEERING	WC	D	09/07/1999	30	2	90
29**	NATAL	FARMER	RACKETEERING	WS	H	10/25/1999	30	0	30
30**	NATAL	FARMER	RACKETEERING	WC	D	10/25/1999	30	0	30
31**	NATAL	FARMER	RACKETEERING	WC	D	11/08/1999	30	0	30
32**	CLARK	FARMER	NARCOTICS	ED	D	11/24/1999	30	0	30
UNION									
1	FALCONE	KEEFE	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/27/2001	20	0	20
2	FALCONE	MANAHAN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/14/2001	30	0	30
3	FALCONE	MANAHAN	NARCOTICS	ED	D	03/14/2001	30	1	60
4	FALCONE	MANAHAN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/15/2001	30	0	30
5	FALCONE	MANAHAN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/23/2001	30	1	60
6	FALCONE	MANAHAN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/25/2001	30	0	30
7	FALCONE	MANAHAN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/25/2001	30	0	30
8	FALCONE	MANAHAN	MURDER	WC,WO	D	07/18/2001	2	0	2
9	FALCONE	MANAHAN	MURDER	WC,WO	D	07/18/2001	2	0	2
10	FALCONE	MANAHAN	MURDER	WC	D	10/15/2001	30	0	30
11	FALCONE	MANAHAN	MURDER	WS	H	10/26/2001	20	0	20
12	FALCONE	MANAHAN	MURDER	WC	D	10/26/2001	20	0	20
13	FALCONE	MANAHAN	MURDER	WC	D	10/26/2001	30	0	30
14	FALCONE	MANAHAN	MURDER	WC	D	11/05/2001	20	0	20

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

TABLE B-1
STATE NEW JERSEY

CALENDAR YEAR 2001

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL (CONTINUED)													
18**	25	13	15	317	-	23,100	2,310	2	-	-	-	-	2
19**	28	35	97	969	286	31,360	3,136	2	-	-	-	-	2
20**	25	13	15	317	12	22,750	2,275	2	-	-	-	-	2
21**	25	25	20	620	242	RELATED TO NO. 20**		RELATED TO NO. 20**					
22**	55	47	496	2,596	338	65,880	8,928	10	-	-	-	-	-
23**	57	103	1,288	5,844	310	61,680	8,508	RELATED TO NO. 22**					
24**	1	-	-	-	-	2,600	2,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
25**	25	64	226	1,604	111	32,100	5,540	4	-	-	-	-	-
26**	25	34	67	838	83	31,055	5,445	2	-	-	-	-	-
27**	30	27	45	805	212	38,360	3,836	7	-	-	-	-	-
28**	90	27	20	2,403	1,403	113,400	11,340	RELATED TO NO. 27**					
29**	30	22	426	652	153	31,640	3,164	-	-	-	-	-	-
30**	30	22	356	649	157	RELATED TO NO. 29**		-	-	-	-	-	-
31**	30	21	7	633	38	19,320	1,932	7	-	-	-	-	-
32**	30	-	-	-	-	1,214	374	-	-	-	-	-	-
UNION													
1	20	8	1	169	-	251,600	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	30	3	7	78	-	329,300	7,700	7	-	-	-	-	6
3	56	8	NR	452	-	RELATED TO NO. 2		RELATED TO NO. 2					
4	30	5	7	144	-	RELATED TO NO. 2		RELATED TO NO. 2					
5	35	12	7	416	353	RELATED TO NO. 2		RELATED TO NO. 2					
6	20	9	7	184	156	RELATED TO NO. 2		RELATED TO NO. 2					
7	30	20	7	593	474	RELATED TO NO. 2		RELATED TO NO. 2					
8	1	-	-	-	-	1,180	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	1	-	-	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 8		-	-	-	-	-	-
10	30	117	41	3,509	2,982	314,050	5,050	41	-	-	-	-	-
11	20	60	5	1,190	-	RELATED TO NO. 10		RELATED TO NO. 10					
12	20	110	41	2,205	41	RELATED TO NO. 10		RELATED TO NO. 10					
13	20	72	41	1,450	1,232	RELATED TO NO. 10		RELATED TO NO. 10					
14	20	19	41	374	317	RELATED TO NO. 10		RELATED TO NO. 10					

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE B-1
STATE NEW YORK

CALENDAR YEAR 2001

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application and (Amendment)	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
BRONX									
14*	BENITEZ	JOHNSON	LARCENY	WS	H	02/22/2000	30	6	210
15*	BERNSTEIN	JOHNSON	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/08/2000	30	0	30
16*	BENITEZ	JOHNSON	THEFT	OM	B	03/28/2000	30	1	60
17*	BERNSTEIN	JOHNSON	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/19/2000	30	0	30
18*	BERNSTEIN	JOHNSON	NARCOTICS	WS	B	04/19/2000	30	3	120
19*	BERNSTEIN	JOHNSON	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/27/2000	23	1	53
20*	MARCUS	JOHNSON	NARCOTICS	WS	H	05/18/2000	30	1	60
21*	BENITEZ	JOHNSON	LARCENY	WS	B	05/22/2000	30	3	120
22*	BERNSTEIN	JOHNSON	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/09/2000	11	2	71
23*	BENITEZ	JOHNSON	LARCENY	WS,WC	B,D	06/12/2000	30	2	90
24*	MOORE	JOHNSON	GAMBLING	WS,WC	B,D	06/29/2000	30	5	180
DUTCHESS									
1	HAYES	GRADY	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	05/21/2001	30	4	150
KINGS									
1	FIRETOG	HYNES	USURY	WC	D	10/13/2000	30	7	240
2	FIRETOG	HYNES	LARCENY	WS	B	11/27/2000	30	1	60
3	FIRETOG	HYNES	LARCENY	WS	B	11/27/2000	30	5	180
4	FIRETOG	HYNES	LARCENY	WS	B	11/27/2000	30	5	180
5	FIRETOG	HYNES	LARCENY	WS	B	11/27/2000	30	5	180
6	JUVILER	HYNES	GAMBLING	WS	B	12/07/2000	30	1	34
7	JUVILER	HYNES	GAMBLING	WS	B	12/07/2000	30	1	34
8	JUVILER	HYNES	GAMBLING	WS	B	12/07/2000	30	1	34
9	JUVILER	HYNES	GAMBLING	WS	B	12/07/2000	30	1	34
10	JUVILER	HYNES	GAMBLING	WS	H	12/07/2000	30	1	34
11	JUVILER	HYNES	GAMBLING	WS	H	12/07/2000	30	1	34
12	JUVILER	HYNES	GAMBLING	WC	D	12/15/2000	24	0	24
13	JUVILER	HYNES	GAMBLING	WC	D	12/15/2000	24	0	24
14	JUVILER	HYNES	GAMBLING	WC	D	12/15/2000	24	0	24
15	JUVILER	HYNES	GAMBLING	WC	D	12/15/2000	24	0	24
16	FIRETOG	HYNES	USURY	WS	H	02/08/2001	30	1	60
17	FIRETOG	HYNES	USURY	WS	H	02/08/2001	30	1	60
18	FIRETOG	HYNES	LARCENY	WC	D	02/16/2001	30	0	30

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
BRONX													
14*	180	82	300	14,763	150	498,000	170,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
15*	25	47	37	1,176	764	27,269	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
16*	41	NR	15	NR	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17*	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18*	112	57	9	6,411	73	103,576	2,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
19*	26	43	37	1,111	630	52,038	1,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
20*	16	14	64	216	6	6,600	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
21*	90	97	200	8,729	75	RELATED TO NO. 14*		-	-	-	-	-	-
22*	62	52	40	3,250	220	80,807	5,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
23*	78	78	200	6,080	3	RELATED TO NO. 14*		-	-	-	-	-	-
24*	171	123	135	21,070	848	894,820	24,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
DUTCHESS													
1	120	60	12	7,178	580	283,700	8,700	9	-	-	-	-	-
KINGS													
1	235	11	50	2,550	1,000	443,000	20,000	14	-	-	-	-	14
2	38	4	28	147	5	11,400	-	RELATED TO NO. 3					
3	117	38	145	4,504	2,702	105,300	-	25	-	-	-	-	-
4	117	103	145	12,035	7,221	RELATED TO NO. 3		RELATED TO NO. 3					
5	117	29	100	3,420	2,052	70,200	-	RELATED TO NO. 3					
6	31	31	30	970	730	10,300	-	RELATED TO NO. 8					
7	31	11	30	327	245	RELATED TO NO. 6		RELATED TO NO. 8					
8	31	60	-	1,875	-	6,400	-	10	-	-	-	-	9
9	31	45	-	1,405	-	RELATED TO NO. 8		RELATED TO NO. 8					
10	31	-	-	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 8		RELATED TO NO. 8					
11	19	47	30	894	670	RELATED TO NO. 8		RELATED TO NO. 8					
12	19	44	30	844	635	3,900	-	RELATED TO NO. 8					
13	19	41	30	770	580	RELATED TO NO. 12		RELATED TO NO. 8					
14	19	28	30	535	400	RELATED TO NO. 12		RELATED TO NO. 8					
15	24	102	NR	2,445	-	RELATED TO NO. 12		RELATED TO NO. 8					
16	59	49	35	2,863	150	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
17	59	31	15	1,836	400	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
18	3	3	4	9	-	1,800	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE B-1
STATE NEW YORK

CALENDAR YEAR 2001

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application and (Amendment)	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
KINGS (CONTINUED)									
19	FIRETOG	HYNES	LARCENY	WS	H	02/26/2001	30	2	90
20	FIRETOG	HYNES	GAMBLING	WC	D	03/01/2001	30	2	90
21	FIRETOG	HYNES	LARCENY	WC	D	03/09/2001	30	0	30
22	FIRETOG	HYNES	USURY	WS	H	03/16/2001	30	2	90
23	FIRETOG	HYNES	LARCENY	WC	D	03/16/2001	30	4	150
24	FIRETOG	HYNES	LARCENY	OM	B	03/28/2001	30	1	60
25	FIRETOG	HYNES	GAMBLING	WS	H	04/03/2001	30	2	90
26	FIRETOG	HYNES	GAMBLING	WS	H	04/03/2001	30	0	30
27	FIRETOG	HYNES	GAMBLING	WS	H	04/03/2001	30	0	30
28	FIRETOG	HYNES	GAMBLING	WS	H	04/03/2001	30	0	30
29	FIRETOG	HYNES	GAMBLING	WC	D	04/03/2001	30	2	90
30	FIRETOG	HYNES	LARCENY	WC	D	04/07/2001	30	0	30
31	FIRETOG	FEINSTEIN	GAMBLING	WC	D	04/10/2001	30	0	30
32	FIRETOG	HYNES	GAMBLING	WC	D	04/10/2001	30	1	60
33	FIRETOG	HYNES	USURY	WS	H	04/10/2001	30	1	60
34	FIRETOG	HYNES	NARCOTICS	WS	H	04/10/2001	30	1	60
35	CRANE	HYNES	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/04/2001	30	1	60
36	FIRETOG	HYNES	USURY	WS	H	05/09/2001	30	0	30
37	MARRUS	HYNES	NARCOTICS	WS	H	05/11/2001	30	3	120
38	FIRETOG	HYNES	USURY	WS	H	07/13/2001	30	0	30
39	FIRETOG	HYNES	USURY	WC	D	07/13/2001	30	0	30
40	FIRETOG	HYNES	USURY	WS	H	07/13/2001	30	0	30
41	FIRETOG	HYNES	USURY	WC	D	07/13/2001	30	0	30
42	ADAMS	HYNES	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/27/2001	30	0	30
43	FIRETOG	HYNES	LARCENY	WC	D	08/06/2001	30	0	30
44	FIRETOG	HYNES	LARCENY	WC	D	08/06/2001	30	0	30
45	MARRUS	HYNES	NARCOTICS	WS	H	08/24/2001	30	2	90
MONROE									
1	MARKS	KEENAN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/16/2000	30	1	60
2	MARKS	RELIN	NARCOTICS	OM	R	12/15/2000	30	0	30
3	KOHOUT	RELIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/17/2001	30	3	120
4	KOHOUT	RELIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/14/2001	30	0	30

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

TABLE B-1
STATE NEW YORK

CALENDAR YEAR 2001

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of						
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted	
										G	D	P		
KINGS (CONTINUED)														
19	83	42	50	3,465	104	99,600	-	RELATED TO NO. 3						
20	89	19	25	1,732	1,600	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1						
21	8	10	5	82	-	4,800	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	89	34	25	3,057	1,800	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1						
23	146	17	20	2,528	380	87,600	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	31	15	15	465	100	27,900	-	RELATED TO NO. 3						
25	90	53	100	4,796	958	36,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
26	30	26	25	767	76	12,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
27	30	3	-	76	-	RELATED TO NO. 26		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	30	14	16	420	13	RELATED TO NO. 26		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
29	90	11	30	975	98	RELATED TO NO. 25		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	21	4	5	76	2	12,600	-	RELATED TO NO. 3						
31	13	-	-	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1						
32	58	28	40	1,605	1,500	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1						
33	58	84	40	4,863	3,250	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1						
34	58	54	20	3,124	2,550	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1						
35	30	16	7	479	77	10,000	5,000	4	-	-	-	-	-	-
36	28	19	15	530	100	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1						
37	98	20	1,000	2,000	800	42,000	2,000	2	-	-	-	1	1	
38	30	42	60	1,275	550	12,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
39	30	42	30	1,253	125	RELATED TO NO. 38		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
40	30	12	30	372	20	RELATED TO NO. 38		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
41	30	17	25	515	17	RELATED TO NO. 38		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
42	I	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43	I	-	-	-	-	200	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44	30	91	10	2,733	410	18,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
45	72	7	250	500	200	31,000	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONROE														
1	50	39	20	1,938	478	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	21	15	10	309	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	89	57	NR	5,034	5,034	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 4						
4	6	7	NR	40	17	-	-	5	-	-	-	1	1	

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE B-1
STATE NEW YORK

CALENDAR YEAR 2001

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application and (Amendment)	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
MONROE (CONTINUED)									
5	KOHOUT	RELIN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	06/19/2001	30	0	30
6	KOHOUT	RELIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/22/2001	30	0	30
7	KOHOUT	RELIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/29/2001	30	0	30
8	KOHOUT	RELIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/09/2001	30	0	30
9	CONNELL	RELIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/13/2001	30	2	90
10	CONNELL	RELIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/24/2001	30	1	60
11	CONNELL	RELIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/04/2001	30	0	30
12	CONNELL	RELIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/04/2001	30	1	60
13	CONNELL	RELIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/10/2001	30	1	60
14	CONNELL	RELIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/12/2001	30	0	30
15	CONNELL	RELIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/18/2001	30	0	30
16	CONNELL	RELIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/18/2001	30	1	60
17	CONNELL	RELIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/18/2001	30	1	60
18	CONNELL	RELIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/24/2001	30	0	30
19	CONNELL	RELIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/09/2001	30	0	30
20	CONNELL	RELIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/16/2001	30	0	30
NASSAU									
1	MCGINITY	LEVINSON	NARCOTICS	WS,WC,ED	H,D	01/22/2001	30	2	90
2	LAPERA	DILLON	LARCENY	WS,WC	H,D	04/05/2001	30	1	60
3	WEXNER	DILLON	LARCENY	WS,WC	H,D	04/19/2001	30	0	30
4	WEXNER	DILLON	FRAUD	ED	D	08/09/2001	30	0	30
5	COTTER	DILLON	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/17/2001	30	0	30
6	WEXNER	DILLON	GAMBLING	WS	H	10/22/2001	30	0	30
NEW YORK									
1	MILLER	HAMILTON	NARCOTICS	WS,WC,ED	H,D	02/11/2000	30	15	480
2	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	\$LAUNDERING	WC	D	02/18/2000	30	15	420
3	SCHMIDT	MORGENTHAU	\$LAUNDERING	WS	H	04/13/2000	30	10	330
4	LUCIANO	MORGENTHAU	\$LAUNDERING	WS	H	02/14/2001	30	6	210
5	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	\$LAUNDERING	WC	D	03/23/2001	30	3	120
6	SOLOMON	MORGENTHAU	BURGLARY	WC	D	05/07/2001	30	0	30
7	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	\$LAUNDERING	WC	D	05/10/2001	30	3	120

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

TABLE B-1
STATE NEW YORK

CALENDAR YEAR 2001

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
MONROE (CONTINUED)													
5	28	34	NR	963	NR	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 4					
6	26	14	NR	353	157	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 4					
7	19	9	NR	165	76	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 4					
8	9	7	NR	65	46	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 4					
9	76	NR	NR	NR	NR	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 4					
10	55	8	NR	420	NR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	15	NR	NR	NR	NR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	55	46	88	2,505	NR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	29	NR	NR	NR	NR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	27	NR	NR	NR	NR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	11	8	9	86	NR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
16	41	40	87	1,624	NR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	41	NR	NR	NR	NR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	8	NR	NR	NR	NR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	19	NR	NR	NR	NR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	12	19	12	227	NR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NASSAU													
1	72	85	150	6,151	2,844	38,000	3,000	50	-	-	-	-	33
2	60	29	45	1,763	418	111,760	9,160	2	-	-	-	-	-
3	28	26	160	718	142	16,272	300	8	-	-	-	-	3
4	28	2	NR	43	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	18	32	20	574	561	15,500	300	3	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK													
1	431	30	40	13,051	3	52,400	52,400	9	-	-	-	-	9
2	383	25	125	9,546	6,000	172,362	36,977	3	-	-	-	-	-
3	238	38	120	9,140	6,000	RELATED TO NO. 2		RELATED TO NO. 2					
4	162	46	120	7,448	2,500	RELATED TO NO. 2		RELATED TO NO. 2					
5	59	26	100	1,541	500	RELATED TO NO. 2		RELATED TO NO. 2					
6	11	38	70	418	51	35,286	3,750	2	-	-	-	1	-
7	87	10	80	854	400	RELATED TO NO. 2		RELATED TO NO. 2					

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE B-1
STATE NEW YORK

CALENDAR YEAR 2001

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application and (Amendment)	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
NEW YORK (CONTINUED)									
8	SOLOMON	MORGENTHAU	BURGLARY	WC	D	05/15/2001	20	0	20
9	SOLOMON	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/24/2001	30	4	150
NY ORGANIZED CRIME TASK FORCE									
1	MCCARTHY	QUINLAN	NARCOTICS	WS,WC,ED	H,B,D	10/02/2000	30	4	150
2	MCCARTHY	QUINLAN	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	10/20/2000	30	1	60
3	MILLER	QUINLAN	LARCENY	WS,WC	H,D	10/30/2000	30	3	120
4	SAXE	QUINLAN	LARCENY	WS,WC	H,D	10/30/2000	30	3	120
5	AVERY	QUINLAN	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,B,D	11/06/2000	30	2	90
6	MCCARTHY	QUINLAN	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	11/06/2000	30	0	30
7	MCCARTHY	QUINLAN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/14/2000	30	0	30
8	MCCARTHY	QUINLAN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/17/2000	30	0	30
9	MCCARTHY	QUINLAN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/29/2000	30	0	30
10	MILLER	QUINLAN	LARCENY	WS,WC	H,D	12/06/2000	30	2	90
11	SAXE	QUINLAN	LARCENY	WS	H	12/06/2000	30	2	90
12	MCCARTHY	QUINLAN	NARCOTICS	WS,WC,ED	H,D	12/13/2000	30	0	30
13	AVERY	QUINLAN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	12/14/2000	30	0	30
14	MCCARTHY	QUINLAN	NARCOTICS	WS,WC,ED	H,D	12/26/2000	30	1	60
15	MCCARTHY	QUINLAN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/28/2000	30	0	30
16	MILLER	QUINLAN	GAMBLING	WS	H,B,A	12/29/2000	30	13	330
17	MCCARTHY	QUINLAN	NARCOTICS	ED	D	01/12/2001	30	0	30
18	MCCARTHY	QUINLAN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/12/2001	30	0	30
19	MCCARTHY	QUINLAN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/19/2001	30	0	30
20	SAXE	QUINLAN	LARCENY	WS,WC	H,D	02/01/2001	30	0	30
21	MCCARTHY	QUINLAN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/01/2001	30	0	30
22	MILLER	QUINLAN	LARCENY	OM	O	02/27/2001	30	3	120
23	TERESI	QUINLAN	NARCOTICS	WS,WC,ED	H,D	05/07/2001	30	1	60
24	MARK	QUINLAN	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	05/31/2001	30	2	90
25	WALSH	QUINLAN	NARCOTICS	WS,WC,ED	H,B,D	06/01/2001	30	6	210
26	MARK	QUINLAN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	06/13/2001	30	0	30
27	ALOI	QUINLAN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/26/2001	30	1	60
28	ALOI	QUINLAN	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	09/17/2001	30	0	30

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
NEW YORK (CONTINUED)													
8	3	24	12	71	6	RELATED TO NO. 6		RELATED TO NO. 6					
9	107	7	15	724	200	RELATED TO NO. 2		RELATED TO NO. 2					
NY ORGANIZED CRIME TASK FORCE													
1	130	128	177	16,653	190	299,389	91,389	12	-	-	1	-	9
2	41	18	35	742	65	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
3	118	63	221	7,462	88	147,266	9,116	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	74	17	119	1,280	147	75,694	7,844	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	87	94	125	8,188	300	RELATED TO NO. 13		RELATED TO NO. 13					
6	25	8	17	212	NR	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
7	30	1	9	20	3	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
8	26	2	6	65	NR	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
9	27	-	NR	8	NR	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
10	54	30	10	1,614	178	62,094	5,994	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	39	13	7	510	45	42,043	1,443	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	29	24	27	689	79	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
13	23	83	35	1,918	65	255,699	70,099	13	-	-	-	-	12
14	45	64	55	2,874	211	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
15	21	1	NR	12	-	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
16	330	24	1,000	8,000	200	265,000	25,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	18	5	NR	89	NR	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
18	24	1	3	22	7	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
19	16	2	3	27	1	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
20	19	10	29	193	15	25,864	2,014	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	7	12	10	82	1	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
22	24	3	4	80	10	6,250	1,250	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	58	29	39	1,662	498	61,602	20,002	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	69	176	75	12,163	1,000	246,939	26,139	23	-	-	-	-	-
25	178	151	170	26,827	725	776,836	492,036	-	-	-	-	-	-
26	27	20	10	545	39	RELATED TO NO. 24		RELATED TO NO. 24					
27	36	19	12	669	143	RELATED TO NO. 24		RELATED TO NO. 24					
28	22	37	24	821	54	RELATED TO NO. 24		RELATED TO NO. 24					

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE B-1
STATE NEW YORK

CALENDAR YEAR 2001

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application and (Amendment)	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
NY ORGANIZED CRIME TASK FORCE (CONTINUED)									
22*	AVERY	QUINLAN	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	10/02/2000	30	1	60
23*	MCCARTHY	QUINLAN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/04/2000	30	0	30
NYC SPECIAL NARCOTICS BUREAU									
1	ALLEN	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	ED	D	11/21/2000	30	1	60
2	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	ED	D	11/29/2000	30	0	30
3	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/29/2000	30	1	60
4	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	ED	D	12/07/2000	30	1	60
5	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/11/2000	30	3	96
6	ALLEN	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/15/2000	30	0	30
7	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/19/2000	30	0	30
8	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/17/2001	30	0	30
9	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/17/2001	30	0	30
10	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/18/2001	30	0	30
11	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	ED	D	01/25/2001	30	1	60
12	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/25/2001	30	0	30
13	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/25/2001	30	3	120
14	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/08/2001	30	0	30
15	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/15/2001	30	0	30
16	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/15/2001	30	2	90
17	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/07/2001	30	0	30
18	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	ED	D	03/07/2001	30	2	90
19	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/08/2001	30	0	30
20	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/08/2001	30	0	30
21	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	ED	D	03/08/2001	30	0	30
22	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/09/2001	30	0	30
23	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	ED	D	03/09/2001	30	0	30
24	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/09/2001	30	0	30
25	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	ED	D	03/09/2001	30	4	150
26	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/12/2001	30	0	30
27	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/14/2001	30	0	30
28	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/16/2001	30	0	30

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
NY ORGANIZED CRIME TASK FORCE (CONTINUED)													
22*	56	77	50	4,319	40	RELATED TO NO. 13		RELATED TO NO. 13					
23*	23	4	14	97	25	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
NYC SPECIAL NARCOTICS BUREAU													
1	52	5	NR	271	271	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
3	51	24	84	1,241	375	24,500	-	6	-	-	-	6	
4	43	18	NR	766	766	RELATED TO NO. 3		RELATED TO NO. 3					
5	73	25	88	1,798	150	RELATED TO NO. 31		-	-	-	-	-	
6	I	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
7	21	27	32	560	25	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 30					
8	30	19	29	584	28	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 30					
9	16	1	3	10	1	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 30					
10	6	3	4	20	5	RELATED TO NO. 11		RELATED TO NO. 11					
11	40	19	NR	762	762	17,673	-	3	-	-	-	3	
12	13	153	59	1,992	182	RELATED TO NO. 11		RELATED TO NO. 11					
13	97	11	42	1,062	181	RELATED TO NO. 31		RELATED TO NO. 31					
14	29	61	65	1,779	97	RELATED TO NO. 11		RELATED TO NO. 11					
15	13	46	23	598	69	RELATED TO NO. 31		RELATED TO NO. 31					
16	70	11	21	793	101	RELATED TO NO. 31		RELATED TO NO. 31					
17	9	1	-	5	-	RELATED TO NO. 50		RELATED TO NO. 50					
18	65	5	NR	313	313	RELATED TO NO. 50		RELATED TO NO. 50					
19	15	2	-	37	-	RELATED TO NO. 31		RELATED TO NO. 31					
20	14	1	-	10	-	RELATED TO NO. 31		RELATED TO NO. 31					
21	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
22	18	32	17	580	72	8,076	-	-	-	-	-	-	
23	12	9	NR	111	111	RELATED TO NO. 31		RELATED TO NO. 31					
24	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
25	119	12	NR	1,469	1,469	RELATED TO NO. 103		RELATED TO NO. 103					
26	18	45	58	816	296	RELATED TO NO. 103		RELATED TO NO. 103					
27	28	3	10	80	14	RELATED TO NO. 35		RELATED TO NO. 35					
28	I	-	-	-	-	1,082	-	-	-	-	-	-	

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application and (Amendment)	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
NYC SPECIAL NARCOTICS BUREAU (CONTINUED)									
29	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/16/2001	30	1	60
30	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/16/2001	30	0	30
31	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WS	H	04/05/2001	30	0	30
32	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/13/2001	30	2	90
33	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WS	H	04/20/2001	30	1	60
34	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/25/2001	30	0	30
35	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/08/2001	30	1	60
36	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	ED	D	05/08/2001	30	0	30
37	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	ED	D	05/08/2001	30	0	30
38	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/08/2001	30	1	60
39	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/08/2001	30	1	60
40	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WS	H	05/14/2001	30	3	120
41	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/14/2001	30	0	30
42	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	ED	D	05/21/2001	30	0	30
43	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	ED	D	05/23/2001	30	3	120
44	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/29/2001	30	0	30
45	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	ED	D	05/29/2001	30	0	30
46	SNYDER	KINDLER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/01/2001	30	0	30
47	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	ED	D	06/01/2001	30	2	90
48	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/06/2001	30	0	30
49	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/06/2001	30	0	30
50	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	ED	D	06/08/2001	30	0	30
51	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/08/2001	30	0	30
52	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	EO	O	06/14/2001	30	2	86
53	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	OM	O	06/14/2001	30	0	30
54	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/20/2001	30	0	30
55	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	ED	D	06/20/2001	30	0	30
56	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/28/2001	30	0	30
57	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/28/2001	30	0	30
58	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/28/2001	30	0	30
59	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/05/2001	30	0	30

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of						
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted	
										G	D	P		
29	57	56	108	3,186	625	RELATED TO NO. 50		RELATED TO NO. 50						
30	21	14	28	288	14	-	-	4	-	-	2	-		2
31	13	9	11	119	11	150,000	-	5	-	-	-	-		2
32	85	66	170	5,569	924	RELATED TO NO. 50		RELATED TO NO. 50						
33	29	37	68	1,060	34	-	-	8	-	-	2	-		4
34	17	31	40	524	190	RELATED TO NO. 50		RELATED TO NO. 50						
35	53	10	41	520	115	51,133	-	4	-	-	-	-		3
36	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
37	30	-	NR	1	1	RELATED TO NO. 35		RELATED TO NO. 35						
38	29	27	36	783	192	RELATED TO NO. 35		RELATED TO NO. 35						
39	35	2	5	86	14	RELATED TO NO. 35		RELATED TO NO. 35						
40	99	43	247	4,226	313	RELATED TO NO. 88		RELATED TO NO. 88						
41	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
42	17	1	NR	16	16	RELATED TO NO. 35		RELATED TO NO. 35						
43	90	6	NR	495	495	RELATED TO NO. 88		RELATED TO NO. 88						
44	I	-	-	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 45		RELATED TO NO. 45						
45	23	7	NR	156	156	186,305	-	4	-	-	-	-		4
46	8	50	34	401	148	RELATED TO NO. 103		RELATED TO NO. 103						
47	68	9	NR	633	633	RELATED TO NO. 103		RELATED TO NO. 103						
48	5	1	-	7	-	RELATED TO NO. 103		RELATED TO NO. 103						
49	21	55	57	1,157	429	RELATED TO NO. 103		RELATED TO NO. 103						
50	29	1	NR	17	17	375,300	-	14	-	-	1	2		7
51	20	23	27	466	112	RELATED TO NO. 103		RELATED TO NO. 103						
52	43	NR	NR	NR	NR	RELATED TO NO. 88		RELATED TO NO. 88						
53	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
54	I	-	-	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 75		RELATED TO NO. 75						
55	6	2	NR	14	14	RELATED TO NO. 75		RELATED TO NO. 75						
56	I	-	-	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 75		RELATED TO NO. 75						
57	5	10	9	49	27	RELATED TO NO. 103		RELATED TO NO. 103						
58	5	14	10	70	19	RELATED TO NO. 103		RELATED TO NO. 103						
59	12	76	24	914	184	RELATED TO NO. 81		RELATED TO NO. 81						

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE B-1
STATE NEW YORK

CALENDAR YEAR 2001

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application and (Amendment)	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
NYC SPECIAL NARCOTICS BUREAU (CONTINUED)									
60	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/05/2001	30	0	30
61	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/05/2001	30	1	60
62	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/05/2001	30	0	30
63	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/06/2001	30	1	60
64	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/06/2001	30	1	60
65	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	ED	D	07/06/2001	30	1	60
66	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WS	B	07/09/2001	30	4	150
67	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/09/2001	30	2	90
68	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	ED	D	07/09/2001	30	1	60
69	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	OM	O	07/11/2001	30	1	60
70	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/11/2001	30	1	60
71	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/12/2001	30	0	30
72	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/12/2001	30	0	30
73	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/12/2001	30	0	30
74	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/17/2001	30	2	90
75	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	ED	D	07/20/2001	30	0	30
76	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/20/2001	30	0	30
77	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/24/2001	30	0	30
78	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/26/2001	30	0	30
79	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/01/2001	30	0	30
80	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/01/2001	30	1	60
81	WETZEL	RYAN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/03/2001	30	0	30
82	WETZEL	RYAN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/03/2001	30	0	30
83	WETZEL	RYAN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/03/2001	30	0	30
84	SNYDER	RYAN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/03/2001	30	1	60
85	WETZEL	RYAN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/06/2001	30	0	30
86	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/06/2001	30	2	90
87	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/06/2001	30	1	60
88	WETZEL	RYAN	NARCOTICS	ED	D	08/09/2001	30	0	30
89	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/10/2001	30	2	90
90	SNYDER	RYAN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/10/2001	30	0	30

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

TABLE B-1
STATE NEW YORK

CALENDAR YEAR 2001

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of						
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted	
										G	D	P		
60	14	17	17	232	107	RELATED TO NO. 81	RELATED TO NO. 81							
61	40	36	36	1,446	355	RELATED TO NO. 81	RELATED TO NO. 81							
62	I	-	-	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 81	RELATED TO NO. 81							
63	34	102	125	3,460	1,140	RELATED TO NO. 75	RELATED TO NO. 75							
64	32	81	104	2,602	1,188	RELATED TO NO. 75	RELATED TO NO. 75							
65	37	15	NR	543	543	RELATED TO NO. 75	RELATED TO NO. 75							
66	139	86	547	11,898	505	RELATED TO NO. 45	RELATED TO NO. 45							
67	87	18	86	1,577	198	RELATED TO NO. 45	RELATED TO NO. 45							
68	49	2	NR	86	86	RELATED TO NO. 45	RELATED TO NO. 45							
69	26	-	-	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 88	RELATED TO NO. 88							
70	42	5	24	199	78	RELATED TO NO. 88	RELATED TO NO. 88							
71	30	8	10	231	109	RELATED TO NO. 103	RELATED TO NO. 103							
72	20	28	36	556	121	RELATED TO NO. 103	RELATED TO NO. 103							
73	20	8	22	160	55	RELATED TO NO. 103	RELATED TO NO. 103							
74	72	5	21	344	129	RELATED TO NO. 95	RELATED TO NO. 95							
75	19	5	NR	98	98	27,634	-	7	-	-	-	-	-	1
76	7	8	5	53	13	RELATED TO NO. 75	RELATED TO NO. 75							
77	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
78	8	112	26	899	35	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 106						
79	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
80	36	15	25	536	110	RELATED TO NO. 103	RELATED TO NO. 103							
81	11	4	3	47	6	39,540	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
82	14	46	18	641	125	RELATED TO NO. 81	RELATED TO NO. 81							
83	11	47	17	519	72	RELATED TO NO. 81	RELATED TO NO. 81							
84	34	4	3	122	61	RELATED TO NO. 103	RELATED TO NO. 103							
85	I	-	-	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 95	RELATED TO NO. 95							
86	75	17	120	1,307	133	RELATED TO NO. 45	RELATED TO NO. 45							
87	41	17	55	681	269	RELATED TO NO. 45	RELATED TO NO. 45							
88	13	-	NR	1	1	83,352	-	4	-	-	2	-	-	1
89	73	3	22	226	96	RELATED TO NO. 103	RELATED TO NO. 103							
90	5	16	1	80	4	RELATED TO NO. 103	RELATED TO NO. 103							

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE B-1
STATE NEW YORK

CALENDAR YEAR 2001

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application and (Amendment)	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
NYC SPECIAL NARCOTICS BUREAU (CONTINUED)									
91	WITTNER	KINDLER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/14/2001	30	0	30
92	WITTNER	KINDLER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/14/2001	30	0	30
93	WETZEL	KINDLER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/17/2001	30	0	30
94	ALLEN	RYAN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/28/2001	30	1	60
95	ALLEN	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/04/2001	30	0	30
96	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	ED	D	09/04/2001	30	1	60
97	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/03/2001	30	1	60
98	ALLEN	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/09/2001	30	0	30
99	ALLEN	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/09/2001	30	0	30
100	ALLEN	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/09/2001	30	0	30
101	ALLEN	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/22/2001	30	0	30
102	ALLEN	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/22/2001	30	0	30
103	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/26/2001	30	0	30
104	ALLEN	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/01/2001	30	0	30
105	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/01/2001	30	0	30
106	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/01/2001	30	0	30
107	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/01/2001	30	1	60
108	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/02/2001	30	1	60
109	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	ED	D	11/02/2001	30	0	30
110	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	ED	D	11/02/2001	30	0	30
111	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/02/2001	30	0	30
112	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/02/2001	30	0	30
113	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/02/2001	30	0	30
114	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/09/2001	30	0	30
115	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	ED	D	11/30/2001	30	0	30
116	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/13/2001	30	0	30
117	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/14/2001	30	0	30
ONEIDA									
1	DWYER	ARCURI	GAMBLING	WS	H	11/22/2000	30	1	60
2	DWYER	ARCURI	GAMBLING	WS	H	12/04/2000	30	0	30
3	DWYER	ARCURI	GAMBLING	WS	H	12/06/2000	30	0	30

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
NYC SPECIAL NARCOTICS BUREAU (CONTINUED)													
91	I	-	-	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 104	-	-	-	-	-	-	
92	I	-	-	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 104	-	-	-	-	-	-	
93	I	-	-	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 45	RELATED TO NO. 45						
94	35	24	40	856	201	RELATED TO NO. 104	-	-	-	-	-	-	
95	23	3	5	71	29	44,171	-	5	-	-	-	2	
96	50	1	NR	40	-	RELATED TO NO. 45	RELATED TO NO. 45						
97	56	21	15	1,153	130	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 106					
98	14	56	38	787	212	RELATED TO NO. 104	-	-	-	-	-	-	
99	14	14	18	196	25	RELATED TO NO. 104	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100	13	8	5	108	17	RELATED TO NO. 104	-	-	-	-	-	-	
101	10	4	4	41	19	RELATED TO NO. 102	RELATED TO NO. 102						
102	10	23	16	226	77	10,521	-	3	-	-	-	-	
103	6	10	11	60	19	112,499	-	8	-	-	-	1	
104	29	23	26	670	62	54,670	-	-	-	-	-	-	
105	24	57	53	1,371	204	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 106					
106	27	30	32	817	57	-	-	6	-	-	-	6	
107	56	32	86	1,814	264	RELATED TO NO. 45	RELATED TO NO. 45						
108	46	6	24	274	41	28,532	-	-	-	-	-	-	
109	23	1	NR	29	29	RELATED TO NO. 116	-	-	-	-	-	-	
110	17	3	NR	50	50	RELATED TO NO. 116	-	-	-	-	-	-	
111	29	16	17	456	214	RELATED TO NO. 116	-	-	-	-	-	-	
112	29	21	16	614	233	RELATED TO NO. 116	-	-	-	-	-	-	
113	29	11	9	306	94	RELATED TO NO. 116	-	-	-	-	-	-	
114	26	11	11	284	114	RELATED TO NO. 116	-	-	-	-	-	-	
115	21	10	NR	208	208	RELATED TO NO. 45	RELATED TO NO. 45						
116	6	28	5	170	68	50,269	-	-	-	-	-	-	
117	10	34	9	339	121	RELATED TO NO. 45	RELATED TO NO. 45						
ONEIDA													
1	42	77	40	3,226	3,064	61,910	2,000	12	-	-	-	12	
2	29	25	21	723	542	RELATED TO NO. 1	RELATED TO NO. 1						
3	29	63	34	1,840	1,360	RELATED TO NO. 1	RELATED TO NO. 1						

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application and (Amendment)	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
ONONDAGA									
1	FAHEY	FITZPATRICK	GAMBLING	WS	B	11/17/2000	30	2	90
2	ALOI	FITZPATRICK	GAMBLING	WS	H	03/14/2001	30	0	30
3	ALOI	FITZPATRICK	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	04/11/2001	30	0	30
4	WALSH	FITZPATRICK	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	04/25/2001	30	0	30
5	WALSH	FITZPATRICK	NARCOTICS	WS,WC,ED	H,D	11/08/2001	30	1	60
QUEENS									
1	WETZEL	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/20/2000	30	3	120
2	WETZEL	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	10/20/2000	30	3	120
3	MCGANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/08/2000	30	1	60
4	MCGANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	ED	D	11/08/2000	30	1	60
5	MCGANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/08/2000	30	1	60
6	SCHMIDT	BROWN	LOANSHARKING	WC	D	11/08/2000	30	2	90
7	O'BRIEN	BROWN	GAMBLING	WC	D	11/16/2000	30	3	120
8	O'BRIEN	BROWN	GAMBLING	WC	D	11/16/2000	30	2	90
9	WETZEL	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	11/17/2000	30	2	90
10	MCGANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/30/2000	9	1	39
11	ALTMAN	BROWN	GAMBLING	WS	H	12/12/2000	30	1	60
12	O'BRIEN	BROWN	GAMBLING	WC	D	12/14/2000	30	1	60
13	WETZEL	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/15/2000	30	2	90
14	O'BRIEN	BROWN	LARCENY	WC	D	12/19/2000	30	2	90
15	O'BRIEN	BROWN	LARCENY	WC	D	12/19/2000	30	4	150
16	MCGANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	ED	D	12/20/2000	16	1	46
17	MCGANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	ED	D	12/20/2000	16	1	46
18	MCGANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/20/2000	16	1	46
19	MCGANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/08/2001	30	0	30
20	ALTMAN	BROWN	GAMBLING	WS	H	01/10/2001	30	0	30
21	O'BRIEN	BROWN	LARCENY	WC	D	01/16/2001	8	3	98
22	MCDONALD	BROWN	NARCOTICS	ED	D	01/23/2001	30	3	120
23	MCDONALD	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/23/2001	30	0	30
24	BUTCHER	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/25/2001	30	3	120
25	BUTCHER	BROWN	NARCOTICS	OM,EO	H	01/25/2001	30	1	60

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
ONONDAGA													
1	71	71	284	5,043	3,276	9,060	1,960	8	-	-	-	-	6
2	12	98	51	1,180	978	2,390	1,190	3	-	-	-	-	3
3	19	106	112	2,020	298	34,523	4,123	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	19	3	12	60	31	17,880	2,680	3	-	-	3	-	3
5	33	66	120	2,164	519	114,389	8,789	2	-	-	-	2	-
QUEENS													
1	120	7	130	880	560	24,000	12,000	7	-	-	-	-	7
2	120	6	98	680	330	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
3	60	8	7	507	221	12,000	6,000	1	-	-	-	-	1
4	60	10	29	582	310	RELATED TO NO. 3		RELATED TO NO. 3					
5	60	7	12	426	47	RELATED TO NO. 3		RELATED TO NO. 3					
6	80	11	32	875	376	18,000	9,000	5	-	-	-	3	1
7	98	30	115	2,908	805	19,600	9,800	5	-	-	-	-	4
8	73	20	70	1,427	228	14,600	7,300	RELATED TO NO. 7					
9	90	4	56	390	160	18,000	9,000	RELATED TO NO. 1					
10	39	1	3	27	8	7,800	3,900	1	-	-	-	-	1
11	56	19	200	1,090	35	12,000	6,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	49	9	16	460	15	9,800	4,900	RELATED TO NO. 7					
13	75	3	23	190	80	15,000	7,500	RELATED TO NO. 1					
14	80	59	57	4,687	2,484	16,000	8,000	103	-	-	-	-	-
15	141	34	92	4,841	2,662	28,200	14,100	RELATED TO NO. 14					
16	46	3	19	152	87	9,200	4,600	1	-	-	-	-	1
17	46	13	61	579	310	RELATED TO NO. 16		RELATED TO NO. 16					
18	46	9	6	436	67	RELATED TO NO. 16		RELATED TO NO. 16					
19	30	17	14	497	291	RELATED TO NO. 30		RELATED TO NO. 37					
20	17	12	15	200	1	9,000	4,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	92	49	78	4,514	2,572	18,400	9,200	RELATED TO NO. 14					
22	117	3	390	404	404	23,400	11,700	8	-	-	-	-	3
23	28	6	14	162	44	5,600	2,800	RELATED TO NO. 22					
24	109	5	94	573	31	21,800	10,900	6	-	-	-	-	-
25	51	-	NR	6	-	10,200	5,100	RELATED TO NO. 24					

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application and (Amendment)	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
26	MCGANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/26/2001	11	3	101
27	MCGANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/26/2001	11	1	41
28	MCDONALD	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/01/2001	21	0	21
29	MCGANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	ED	D	02/06/2001	30	2	90
30	MCGANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/06/2001	30	0	30
31	MCGANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/06/2001	14	0	14
32	O'BRIEN	BROWN	GAMBLING	WC	D	02/08/2001	30	0	30
33	ALTMAN	BROWN	GAMBLING	WC	D	02/08/2001	30	1	60
34	ALTMAN	BROWN	USURY	WC	D	02/08/2001	30	6	210
35	WETZEL	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/09/2001	30	0	30
36	WETZEL	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	02/09/2001	30	0	30
37	MCGANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/14/2001	21	1	51
38	BUTCHER	BROWN	NARCOTICS	ED	D	02/22/2001	30	1	60
39	BUTCHER	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/22/2001	30	1	60
40	SULLIVAN	BROWN	GAMBLING	WS	H	03/01/2001	30	1	60
41	SULLIVAN	BROWN	GAMBLING	WS	H	03/01/2001	30	0	30
42	MCGANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/07/2001	30	0	30
43	ALTMAN	BROWN	USURY	ED	D	03/08/2001	30	0	30
44	KOHM	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/13/2001	30	0	30
45	ALTMAN	BROWN	USURY	WS	H	03/15/2001	22	5	172
46	ALTMAN	BROWN	USURY	WC	D	03/15/2001	22	2	82
47	O'BRIEN	BROWN	LARCENY	WC	D	03/16/2001	8	2	68
48	O'BRIEN	BROWN	LARCENY	ED	D	03/16/2001	8	2	68
49	MCGANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/22/2001	15	1	45
50	MCGANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/22/2001	15	1	45
51	MCDONALD	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/22/2001	30	0	30
52	BUTCHER	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/22/2001	30	1	60
53	BUTCHER	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/22/2001	30	0	30
54	O'BRIEN	BROWN	LARCENY	WC	D	04/03/2001	18	0	18
55	SULLIVAN	BROWN	GAMBLING	WS	H	04/09/2001	30	0	30
56	MCDONALD	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/17/2001	30	0	30
57	MCDONALD	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/17/2001	30	0	30

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

TABLE B-1
STATE NEW YORK

CALENDAR YEAR 2001

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
26	101	10	42	981	581	20,200	10,100	RELATED TO NO. 37					
27	40	1	4	26	6	8,000	4,000	RELATED TO NO. 37					
28	20	9	12	180	36	4,000	2,000	RELATED TO NO. 22					
29	90	11	37	1,017	682	18,000	9,000	RELATED TO NO. 37					
30	30	1	2	19	-	6,000	3,000	RELATED TO NO. 37					
31	14	1	3	16	4	2,800	1,400	1	-	-	-	-	1
32	I	-	-	-	-	200	100	-	-	-	-	-	-
33	45	2	13	75	10	12,000	6,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
34	194	10	420	1,934	412	42,000	21,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
35	14	3	5	40	8	2,800	1,400	RELATED TO NO. 1					
36	14	2	4	30	12	2,800	1,400	RELATED TO NO. 1					
37	51	11	12	552	291	10,200	5,100	3	-	-	-	-	3
38	54	4	13	232	63	10,800	5,400	RELATED TO NO. 24					
39	36	5	26	173	18	7,200	3,600	RELATED TO NO. 24					
40	30	78	102	2,349	1,644	6,000	3,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
41	29	125	157	3,612	2,528	5,800	2,900	-	-	-	-	-	-
42	I	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43	29	1	12	17	2	6,000	3,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
44	I	-	-	-	-	6,000	3,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
45	136	16	390	2,192	134	34,400	17,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
46	72	6	125	435	11	16,400	8,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
47	60	13	51	808	476	12,000	6,000	RELATED TO NO. 14					
48	60	3	NR	197	NR	RELATED TO NO. 47		RELATED TO NO. 14					
49	45	6	9	286	137	9,000	4,500	3	-	-	-	-	3
50	45	33	51	1,501	782	RELATED TO NO. 49		RELATED TO NO. 49					
51	27	-	1	1	1	5,400	2,700	RELATED TO NO. 22					
52	44	2	27	105	13	8,800	4,400	RELATED TO NO. 24					
53	26	3	23	79	6	5,200	2,600	RELATED TO NO. 24					
54	16	23	37	374	224	3,200	1,600	RELATED TO NO. 14					
55	I	-	-	-	-	200	100	-	-	-	-	-	-
56	30	3	3	78	3	6,000	3,000	RELATED TO NO. 22					
57	30	1	2	26	4	RELATED TO NO. 56		RELATED TO NO. 22					

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application and (Amendment)	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
58	KOHM	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/17/2001	30	0	30
59	CRANE	BROWN	LARCENY	WC	D	04/26/2001	30	1	60
60	ROSENGARTEN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/30/2001	30	1	60
61	MCDONALD	BROWN	NARCOTICS	ED	D	05/16/2001	30	2	90
62	MCDONALD	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/16/2001	30	2	90
63	KOHM	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/16/2001	30	2	90
64	KOHM	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/16/2001	30	0	30
65	KOHM	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	05/16/2001	30	0	30
66	MCDONALD	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/23/2001	30	0	30
67	CRANE	BROWN	LARCENY	WC	D	05/24/2001	30	4	150
68	ALTMAN	BROWN	USURY	WC	D	05/30/2001	30	2	90
69	ALTMAN	BROWN	USURY	WS	H	05/30/2001	30	2	90
70	ROSENGARTEN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/12/2001	16	2	62
71	ROSENGARTEN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/12/2001	15	0	15
72	KOHM	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/14/2001	30	2	90
73	KOHM	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/14/2001	30	0	30
74	MCGANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/14/2001	30	1	60
75	MCGANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/14/2001	30	0	30
76	KOHM	BROWN	MURDER	WS	H	06/18/2001	30	2	90
77	MCGANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/20/2001	22	0	22
78	MCGANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	ED	D	06/20/2001	22	0	22
79	CRANE	BROWN	LARCENY	WC	D	06/22/2001	30	3	120
80	CRANE	BROWN	LARCENY	WC	D	06/22/2001	30	3	120
81	CRANE	BROWN	LARCENY	WS	H	06/22/2001	30	3	120
82	ROSENGARTEN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/27/2001	30	1	46
83	MCGANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/11/2001	30	5	180
84	MCGANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/11/2001	30	2	90
85	MCGANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/11/2001	30	0	30
86	KOHM	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/12/2001	30	0	30
87	KOHM	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/12/2001	30	0	30
88	BUCHLER	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/17/2001	10	2	70
89	ROSENGARTEN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/26/2001	30	1	60

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of						
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted	
										G	D	P		
58	18	67	50	1,207	1,000	3,600	1,800	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
59	15	24	54	361	23	3,000	1,500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
60	58	17	50	963	563	11,600	5,800	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
61	88	3	200	289	289	17,600	8,800	RELATED TO NO. 22						-
62	88	12	32	1,055	106	RELATED TO NO. 61		RELATED TO NO. 22						-
63	72	60	50	4,340	3,602	14,400	7,200	6	-	-	-	-	-	-
64	20	65	20	1,309	540	4,000	2,000	6	-	-	-	-	-	-
65	28	-	1	5	-	5,600	2,800	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
66	23	3	12	63	4	4,600	2,300	RELATED TO NO. 22						-
67	127	95	198	12,081	191	25,400	12,700	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
68	80	10	149	820	51	18,000	9,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
69	60	22	390	1,346	32	18,000	9,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
70	58	95	37	5,500	3,000	RELATED TO NO. 60		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
71	15	40	35	600	360	3,000	1,500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
72	68	22	75	1,486	325	13,600	6,800	3	-	-	-	-	-	-
73	27	16	15	422	150	5,400	2,700	6	-	-	-	-	-	-
74	54	3	21	167	126	10,800	5,400	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
75	28	9	18	265	101	5,600	2,800	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
76	88	66	127	5,792	239	17,600	8,800	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
77	22	20	36	446	121	4,400	2,200	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
78	I	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
79	104	44	127	4,592	188	20,800	10,400	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
80	94	34	120	3,228	203	18,800	9,400	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
81	102	41	99	4,205	189	20,400	10,200	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
82	43	30	40	1,290	640	8,600	4,300	9	-	-	-	-	-	-
83	163	23	43	3,769	921	32,600	16,300	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
84	80	6	21	479	106	16,000	8,000	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
85	27	11	7	301	86	5,400	2,700	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
86	8	89	25	712	58	1,600	800	6	-	-	-	-	-	-
87	19	17	3	322	15	3,800	1,900	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
88	67	20	40	1,350	556	13,400	6,700	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
89	54	16	45	850	425	10,800	5,400	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application and (Amendment)	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
QUEENS (CONTINUED)									
90	ALTMAN	BROWN	GAMBLING	WC	D	07/26/2001	30	0	30
91	MCGANN	BROWN	THEFT	OM	B	07/26/2001	30	1	60
92	MCGANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/06/2001	30	0	30
93	MCGANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/06/2001	30	0	30
94	ALOISE	BROWN	KIDNAPPING	WC	D	08/08/2001	30	0	30
95	MILLER	BROWN	LARCENY	WC	D	08/09/2001	30	3	120
96	KOHM	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/10/2001	30	0	30
97	KOHM	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/10/2001	30	0	30
98	KOHM	BROWN	MURDER	WC	D	08/15/2001	30	0	30
99	ROSENGARTEN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/20/2001	30	0	30
100	MCGANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/22/2001	14	0	14
101	MCGANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/22/2001	14	0	14
102	MCGANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/22/2001	14	3	104
103	MCDONALD	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/24/2001	30	0	30
104	MCGANN	BROWN	THEFT	OM	B	08/29/2001	30	0	30
105	MCGANN	BROWN	THEFT	OM	B	08/29/2001	30	0	30
106	MCGANN	BROWN	THEFT	OM	B	08/29/2001	30	0	30
107	ROSENGARTEN	BROWN	BURGLARY	WS	H	08/29/2001	30	1	60
108	ROSENGARTEN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/30/2001	30	0	30
109	MCGANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	ED	D	08/30/2001	30	1	60
110	MCGANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/30/2001	30	1	60
111	ROSENGARTEN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/31/2001	18	0	18
112	MCGANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/20/2001	30	0	30
113	NARDELLI	BROWN	GAMBLING	WS	H	10/09/2001	30	1	60
114	NARDELLI	BROWN	GAMBLING	WS	H	10/09/2001	30	1	60
115	MCGANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/24/2001	30	1	60
116	MCGANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/24/2001	30	0	30
117	MCGANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/24/2001	30	1	60
118	MCGANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/20/2001	30	0	30
RENSSELAER									
1	MCGRATH	HANLON	GAMBLING	WS,WC	H,D	02/14/2001	30	0	30

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
QUEENS (CONTINUED)													
90	22	40	500	875	50	6,000	3,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
91	20	12	277	234	-	16,000	8,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
92	I	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
93	I	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
94	12	-	4	4	2	2,400	1,200	2	-	-	-	-	-
95	113	88	226	9,967	409	22,600	11,300	-	-	-	-	-	-
96	I	-	-	-	-	5,400	2,700	-	-	-	-	-	-
97	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
98	28	5	15	136	26	5,600	2,800	-	-	-	-	-	-
99	28	50	36	1,390	704	5,600	2,800	-	-	-	-	-	-
100	I	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
101	I	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
102	68	34	62	2,282	1,067	13,600	6,800	1	-	-	-	-	1
103	29	-	-	-	-	5,800	2,900	-	-	-	-	-	-
104	1	1	2	1	-	800	400	-	-	-	-	-	-
105	1	1	2	1	-	RELATED TO NO. 104		-	-	-	-	-	-
106	1	1	2	1	-	RELATED TO NO. 104		-	-	-	-	-	-
107	60	2	22	112	25	12,200	6,100	-	-	-	-	-	-
108	29	48	50	1,400	640	5,800	2,900	-	-	-	-	-	-
109	35	9	NR	310	NR	RELATED TO NO. 110		RELATED TO NO. 110					
110	35	30	20	1,061	760	7,000	3,500	6	-	-	-	-	-
111	17	85	31	1,450	1,000	3,400	1,700	-	-	-	-	-	-
112	4	-	-	-	-	800	400	6	-	-	-	-	-
113	25	-	2	2	-	5,000	2,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
114	37	27	35	1,000	839	7,400	3,700	-	-	-	-	-	-
115	58	16	22	929	502	11,600	5,800	1	-	-	-	-	1
116	29	8	7	230	61	5,800	2,900	1	-	-	-	-	1
117	58	17	29	987	403	11,600	5,800	1	-	-	-	-	1
118	30	18	36	530	213	6,000	3,000	1	-	-	-	-	1
RENSSELAER													
1	29	98	2	2,854	1,988	91,500	5,500	2	-	-	-	-	2

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application and (Amendment)	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
ROCKLAND									
1	RESNIK	BONGIORNO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/18/2001	30	0	30
2	RESNIK	BONGIORNO	NARCOTICS	ED	D	01/18/2001	30	0	30
3	RESNIK	BONGIORNO	NARCOTICS	ED	D	01/22/2001	30	0	30
4	RESNIK	BONGIORNO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/09/2001	30	0	30
5	RESNIK	BONGIORNO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/09/2001	30	0	30
6	RESNIK	BONGIORNO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/09/2001	30	0	30
7	RESNIK	BONGIORNO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/14/2001	30	0	30
8	RESNIK	BONGIORNO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/14/2001	30	0	30
9	RESNIK	BONGIORNO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/14/2001	30	0	30
10	KELLY	BONGIORNO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/02/2001	30	0	30
11	RESNIK	BONGIORNO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/18/2001	30	2	90
12	RESNIK	BONGIORNO	NARCOTICS	WS	H	05/07/2001	30	0	30
13	RESNIK	BONGIORNO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/18/2001	30	1	60
14	RESNIK	BONGIORNO	NARCOTICS	WS	H	06/14/2001	30	0	30
SUFFOLK									
1	GAZZILLO	CATTERSON	COERCION	WS	H	10/03/2000	30	3	120
2	GAZZILLO	CATTERSON	COERCION	ED	D	10/03/2000	30	3	120
3	GAZZILLO	CATTERSON	GAMBLING	OM	B	12/19/2000	30	0	30
4	GAZZILLO	CATTERSON	GAMBLING	EO	B	12/19/2000	30	0	30
5	SULLIVAN	CATTERSON	GAMBLING	WS	H	01/09/2001	30	0	30
6	SULLIVAN	CATTERSON	GAMBLING	WS	H	01/09/2001	30	0	30
7	HUDSON	CATTERSON	GAMBLING	WS	H	03/14/2001	30	0	30
8	HUDSON	CATTERSON	GAMBLING	WS	H	03/14/2001	30	0	30
9	HUDSON	CATTERSON	GAMBLING	WS	H	03/23/2001	30	0	30
10	GAZZILLO	CATTERSON	COERCION	OM	B	07/25/2001	30	0	30
11	GAZZILLO	CATTERSON	COERCION	EO	B	07/25/2001	30	0	30
12	GAZZILLO	FLAHERTY	COERCION	WC	D	07/26/2001	30	1	60
TOMPKINS									
1	SHERMAN	DENTES	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/18/2001	30	0	30
2	SHERMAN	DENTES	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/27/2001	30	0	30
3	SHERMAN	DENTES	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/23/2001	30	1	60

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
ROCKLAND													
1	16	82	49	1,320	223	167,634	2,574	10	-	-	-	1	9
2	16	13	23	204	183	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
3	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	6	-	-	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
5	26	12	256	316	96	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
6	29	29	25	846	62	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
7	28	33	28	917	67	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
8	28	1	8	25	-	5,588	86	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	28	10	9	284	12	RELATED TO NO. 8		-	-	-	-	-	-
10	29	12	23	343	20	111,216	1,176	7	-	-	-	1	5
11	79	25	31	1,983	155	RELATED TO NO. 10		RELATED TO NO. 10					
12	30	61	48	1,821	17	RELATED TO NO. 10		RELATED TO NO. 10					
13	36	45	13	1,620	58	RELATED TO NO. 10		RELATED TO NO. 10					
14	9	56	43	501	12	RELATED TO NO. 10		RELATED TO NO. 10					
SUFFOLK													
1	80	64	23	5,088	4,700	122,470	7,000	7	-	-	-	-	-
2	112	48	-	5,335	4,645	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
3	27	1	4	27	-	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
4	27	NR	4	NR	-	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
5	20	13	15	264	264	10,540	800	4	-	-	-	-	4
6	20	17	25	332	332	RELATED TO NO. 5		RELATED TO NO. 5					
7	18	32	28	576	567	13,755	6,000	4	-	-	-	-	-
8	18	21	25	370	370	RELATED TO NO. 7		RELATED TO NO. 7					
9	30	10	12	300	160	RELATED TO NO. 7		RELATED TO NO. 7					
10	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	25	2	16	42	5	2,500	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOMPKINS													
1	9	12	10	107	37	6,766	526	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	13	4	8	46	6	10,287	147	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	45	30	10	1,328	116	32,770	2,350	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application and (Amendment)	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
WESTCHESTER									
1	MARCUS	PIRRO	RACKETEERING	WC	D	07/17/2000	30	7	240
2	MARCUS	PIRRO	RACKETEERING	WS	H	07/28/2000	30	7	240
3	MARCUS	PIRRO	RACKETEERING	WC	D	08/24/2000	30	6	210
4	MARCUS	PIRRO	LARCENY	WC	D	10/25/2000	30	4	150
5	SMITH	PIRRO	USURY	WC	D	11/06/2000	30	2	90
6	SMITH	PIRRO	USURY	WC	D	11/06/2000	30	2	90
7	MARCUS	PIRRO	LARCENY	WC	D	11/13/2000	30	4	131
8	MARCUS	PIRRO	LARCENY	WC	D	11/13/2000	30	2	71
9	SMITH	PIRRO	GAMBLING	WC	D	12/01/2000	30	0	30
10	MARCUS	PIRRO	RACKETEERING	WC	D	12/13/2000	9	3	99
11	SANTUCCI	PIRRO	LARCENY	WS	B	01/16/2001	30	1	60
12	SANTUCCI	PIRRO	LARCENY	WS	B	01/16/2001	30	1	60
13	SANTUCCI	PIRRO	LARCENY	WS	B	01/16/2001	30	1	60
14	MILLER	PIRRO	USURY	WC	D	02/01/2001	30	0	30
15	MILLER	PIRRO	GAMBLING	WS	H	02/01/2001	30	0	30
16	DIFIORE	PIRRO	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	02/09/2001	30	3	120
17	DIFIORE	PIRRO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/09/2001	30	0	30
18	DIFIORE	PIRRO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/09/2001	30	1	60
19	DIFIORE	PIRRO	NARCOTICS	ED	D	02/09/2001	30	3	120
20	DIFIORE	PIRRO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/09/2001	30	0	30
21	MARCUS	PIRRO	LARCENY	WC	D	02/22/2001	30	0	30
22	MARCUS	PIRRO	LARCENY	WC	D	02/22/2001	30	0	30
23	GOLDSTEIN	PIRRO	USURY	WC	D	03/07/2001	30	7	240
24	GOLDSTEIN	PIRRO	USURY	OM	B	03/07/2001	30	2	90
26	DIFORE	PIRRO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/15/2001	30	0	30
27	ADLER	PIRRO	OTHER	WC	D	03/29/2001	30	5	180
28	DIFORE	PIRRO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/10/2001	30	1	60
29	MARCUS	PIRRO	GAMBLING	WS	H	04/24/2001	30	0	30
30	MARCUS	PIRRO	GAMBLING	WS	H	04/24/2001	30	0	30
31	ADLER	PIRRO	OTHER	WS	B	04/27/2001	30	1	60
32	DIFORE	PIRRO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/29/2001	22	1	52

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
WESTCHESTER													
1	223	27	25	6,000	3,000	761,000	57,000	10	-	-	-	-	10
2	228	3	10	700	100	RELATED TO NO. 1		1	-	-	-	-	1
3	183	44	25	8,000	5,000	RELATED TO NO. 1		2	2	-	2	-	2
4	121	4	3	450	250	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
5	87	19	46	1,623	881	RELATED TO NO. 6		-	-	-	-	-	-
6	87	21	54	1,854	1,629	98,750	2,750	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	106	5	9	500	150	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
8	68	-	3	18	9	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
9	27	21	19	578	359	26,750	2,750	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	77	19	7	1,500	120	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
11	29	-	6	14	11	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
12	17	9	7	159	145	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
13	30	-	1	1	1	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
14	27	11	23	299	198	26,000	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	15	2	12	36	10	21,930	930	-	-	-	-	-	-
16	114	6	12	682	650	174,612	18,212	8	-	-	-	-	-
17	29	4	5	102	2	RELATED TO NO. 16		RELATED TO NO. 16					
18	46	46	50	2,100	274	RELATED TO NO. 16		RELATED TO NO. 16					
19	114	2	10	220	200	RELATED TO NO. 16		RELATED TO NO. 16					
20	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	5	19	8	95	71	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
22	7	-	2	1	-	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
23	219	11	72	2,409	1,533	173,050	5,050	1	-	-	-	-	-
24	63	NR	NR	NR	NR	49,360	1,360	-	-	-	-	-	-
26	16	31	25	493	22	RELATED TO NO. 16		RELATED TO NO. 16					
27	161	25	85	4,065	194	218,244	6,912	2	-	-	-	1	-
28	56	73	40	4,111	195	RELATED TO NO. 16		RELATED TO NO. 16					
29	16	44	40	700	650	RELATED TO NO. 30		RELATED TO NO. 30					
30	16	44	40	700	650	24,700	700	4	-	-	-	-	-
31	57	39	106	2,249	65	RELATED TO NO. 27		RELATED TO NO. 27					
32	46	39	25	1,780	100	RELATED TO NO. 16		RELATED TO NO. 16					

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application and (Amendment)	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
25	GOLDSTEIN	PIRRO	USURY	WS	B	05/11/2001	30	2	90
33	DIFORE	PIRRO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/11/2001	30	0	30
34	FLORIO	PIRRO	GAMBLING	WS,WC	B,D	06/01/2001	30	4	150
35	DIFORE	PIRRO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/04/2001	12	0	12
36	ADLER	PIRRO	\$LAUNDERING	WS	H	06/07/2001	30	2	90
37	ADLER	PIRRO	\$LAUNDERING	WS	H	06/07/2001	30	2	90
38	ADLER	PIRRO	\$LAUNDERING	WS	H	06/07/2001	30	2	90
39	ADLER	PIRRO	\$LAUNDERING	WO	H,B	06/07/2001	30	2	90
40	ADLER	PIRRO	\$LAUNDERING	WC	D	06/07/2001	30	2	90
41	ADLER	PIRRO	NARCOTICS	WS	B	07/03/2001	30	0	30
42	ADLER	PIRRO	\$LAUNDERING	WS	H	07/06/2001	30	1	60
43	ADLER	PIRRO	\$LAUNDERING	EF	H,B	08/06/2001	30	0	30

WESTCHESTER (CONTINUED)

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
WESTCHESTER (CONTINUED)													
25	63	10	11	631	25	51,100	3,100	-	-	-	-	-	
33	10	35	50	351	88	RELATED TO NO. 16		RELATED TO NO. 16					
34	125	1	NR	165	152	RELATED TO NO. 23		RELATED TO NO. 23					
35	10	32	10	320	6	RELATED TO NO. 16		RELATED TO NO. 16					
36	50	1	9	62	46	RELATED TO NO. 27		RELATED TO NO. 27					
37	67	-	3	20	12	RELATED TO NO. 27		RELATED TO NO. 27					
38	50	-	4	23	7	RELATED TO NO. 27		RELATED TO NO. 27					
39	50	3	10	160	81	RELATED TO NO. 27		RELATED TO NO. 27					
40	81	8	23	667	295	RELATED TO NO. 27		RELATED TO NO. 27					
41	28	55	106	1,536	44	RELATED TO NO. 27		RELATED TO NO. 27					
42	37	-	3	2	2	RELATED TO NO. 27		RELATED TO NO. 27					
43	10	-	1	1	1	RELATED TO NO. 27		RELATED TO NO. 27					

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application and (Amendment)	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
DARKE									
1	YARBROUGH	HOWELL	MURDER	OM	A	02/02/2001	6	0	6
PUTNAM									
1	BASINGER	SAHLOFF	NARCOTICS	WS	H	09/05/2001	30	2	90

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
DARKE													
1	I	-	-	-	-	10,331	4,814	-	-	-	-	-	-
PUTNAM													
1	84	46	228	3,905	426	59,000	6,000	17	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application and (Amendment)	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
OKLAHOMA									
1	LUMPKIN	LANE	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/09/2001	30	2	90
2	LUMPKIN	LANE	NARCOTICS	ED	D	10/07/2001	10	0	10

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
OKLAHOMA													
1	90	116	841	10,440	2,977	500,000	130,000	53	-	-	-	-	-
2	5	15	53	76	53	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application and (Amendment)	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
DESCHUTES									
1	TIKTIN	DUGAN	MURDER	WS	H	04/16/2001	14	0	14
MULTNOMAH									
1	ELLIS	SCHRUNK	MURDER	WC,OM	D	05/04/2001	30	0	30

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
DESCHUTES													
1	4	13	20	51	-	3,560	160	-	-	-	-	-	-
MULTNOMAH													
1	11	41	63	454	3	23,450	450	1	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application and (Amendment)	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
BERKS									
1	MCEWEN	BALDWIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/22/2001	30	0	30
CHESTER									
1	STEVENS	SARCIONE	CONSPIRACY	WS	O	07/02/2001	30	0	30
2	EAKIN	SARCIONE	MURDER	OM	B	10/18/2001	1	0	1
DAUPHIN									
1	EAKIN	MARSICO	MURDER	WS	H	11/26/2001	10	0	10
2	EAKIN	MARSICO	MURDER	WS	B	11/26/2001	10	0	10
LEHIGH									
1	OLSZEWSKI	MARTIN	MURDER	WC	D	12/19/2000	30	0	30
2	STEVENS	MARTIN	MURDER	WC	D	01/11/2001	30	0	30
3	STEVENS	MARTIN	MURDER	WC	D	01/11/2001	30	0	30
MONTGOMERY									
1	CAVANAUGH	STEELE	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/02/2001	30	0	30
2	CAVANAUGH	STEELE	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/02/2001	30	0	30
3	MCEWEN	CASTOR	RACKETEERING	WC	R	08/03/2001	30	0	30
PHILADELPHIA									
1	KELLY	ABRAHAM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/19/2001	30	0	30
2	BECK	ABRAHAM	NARCOTICS	WS	H	10/17/2001	30	1	60
3	BECK	ABRAHAM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/17/2001	30	2	90
4	BECK	ABRAHAM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/19/2001	30	0	30
5	BECK	ABRAHAM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/19/2001	30	0	30
6	BECK	ABRAHAM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/04/2001	30	0	30
7	BECK	ABRAHAM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/04/2001	30	0	30
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL									
1	STEVENS	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/18/2001	30	1	60
2	STEVENS	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WS	H	01/18/2001	30	0	30
3	STEVENS	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/06/2001	30	0	30
4	JOHNSON	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/19/2001	30	0	30
5	JOHNSON	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/22/2001	30	0	30
6	JOHNSON	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/09/2001	30	0	30
7	EAKIN	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/08/2001	30	0	30

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
BERKS													
1	I	-	-	-	-	1,140	1,140	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHESTER													
1	3	1	2	3	3	1,720	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
2	1	1	1	1	1	994	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DAUPHIN													
1	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LEHIGH													
1	27	34	76	908	170	115,310	6,811	43	-	-	-	-	39
2	16	53	46	854	64	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
3	16	54	55	872	56	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
MONTGOMERY													
1	17	21	42	352	112	57,815	2,322	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	18	20	12	357	35	RELATED TO NO. 1		-	-	-	-	-	-
3	21	43	34	905	178	67,571	1,990	-	-	-	-	-	-
PHILADELPHIA													
1	21	100	802	2,094	318	23,782	1,615	3	-	-	-	-	-
2	59	35	162	2,089	6	RELATED TO NO. 7		-	-	-	-	-	-
3	64	116	1,079	7,447	786	RELATED TO NO. 7		-	-	-	-	-	-
4	I	-	-	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 7		-	-	-	-	-	-
5	23	95	436	2,184	356	RELATED TO NO. 7		-	-	-	-	-	-
6	15	19	100	287	135	RELATED TO NO. 7		-	-	-	-	-	-
7	15	20	117	304	9	148,068	10,998	-	-	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL													
1	40	12	19	490	129	378,837	19,116	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	30	12	20	360	21	RELATED TO NO. 1		-	-	-	-	-	-
3	27	57	46	1,534	213	RELATED TO NO. 1		-	-	-	-	-	-
4	24	14	106	342	94	122,782	6,781	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	30	42	234	1,260	308	RELATED TO NO. 4		-	-	-	-	-	-
6	8	89	245	715	204	RELATED TO NO. 4		-	-	-	-	-	-
7	30	7	14	201	50	221,035	17,242	12	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application and (Amendment)	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
8	KELLY	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/18/2001	30	0	30
9	HUDOCK	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/04/2001	30	0	30
10	HUDOCK	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/04/2001	30	0	30
11	HUDOCK	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/04/2001	30	0	30
12	KELLY	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/06/2001	30	0	30
13	EAKIN	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/14/2001	30	1	60
14	EAKIN	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/11/2001	30	0	30
15	LALLY-GREEN	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WS	H	07/16/2001	30	0	30
16	LALLY-GREEN	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WS	H	07/23/2001	30	1	60
17	LALLY-GREEN	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/03/2001	30	0	30
18	EAKIN	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WS	H	08/15/2001	30	0	30
19	EAKIN	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/15/2001	30	0	30
20	LALLY-GREEN	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/16/2001	30	2	90
21	CAVANAUGH	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/29/2001	30	1	60
22	EAKIN	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WS	H	08/29/2001	30	0	30
23	EAKIN	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WS	H	08/29/2001	30	0	30
24	EAKIN	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/29/2001	30	0	30
25	EAKIN	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/29/2001	30	0	30
26	LALLY-GREEN	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/12/2001	30	0	30
27	LALLY-GREEN	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WS	H	09/24/2001	30	0	30
28	CAVANAUGH	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/28/2001	30	1	60
29	LALLY-GREEN	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/28/2001	30	0	30
30	MCEWEN	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/10/2001	30	0	30
31	MCEWEN	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/10/2001	30	0	30
32	MCEWEN	FISHER	NARCOTICS	ED	D	10/10/2001	30	1	60
33	TODD	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/10/2001	30	0	30
34	CAVANAUGH	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/22/2001	30	0	30
35	MCEWEN	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/08/2001	30	0	30
36	MCEWEN	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/08/2001	30	0	30

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of						
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted	
										G	D	P		
8	20	32	25	637	173	110,659	4,900	3	-	-	-	-	-	3
9	8	1	NR	10	2	119,615	3,975	3	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	8	135	169	1,080	289	RELATED TO NO. 9		RELATED TO NO. 9						
11	8	42	98	332	107	RELATED TO NO. 9		RELATED TO NO. 9						
12	11	7	11	78	7	RELATED TO NO. 8		RELATED TO NO. 8						
13	45	42	29	1,881	106	RELATED TO NO. 7		RELATED TO NO. 7						
14	19	9	5	174	56	RELATED TO NO. 7		RELATED TO NO. 7						
15	27	30	184	812	195	425,145	15,020	3	-	-	-	-	-	
16	43	34	76	1,469	396	RELATED TO NO. 15		RELATED TO NO. 15						
17	30	16	25	479	157	RELATED TO NO. 15		RELATED TO NO. 15						
18	21	62	33	1,294	208	168,297	16,802	22	-	-	-	-	-	
19	14	30	16	427	41	RELATED TO NO. 18		RELATED TO NO. 18						
20	70	22	54	1,514	460	RELATED TO NO. 15		RELATED TO NO. 15						
21	58	69	NR	3,981	982	357,985	6,190	-	-	-	-	-	-	
22	18	40	30	720	102	RELATED TO NO. 18		RELATED TO NO. 18						
23	18	44	60	787	151	RELATED TO NO. 18		RELATED TO NO. 18						
24	18	95	73	1,709	255	RELATED TO NO. 18		RELATED TO NO. 18						
25	7	28	NR	199	14	40,423	2,370	-	-	-	-	-	-	
26	7	32	21	227	12	RELATED TO NO. 15		RELATED TO NO. 15						
27	16	44	38	700	68	RELATED TO NO. 15		RELATED TO NO. 15						
28	50	61	NR	3,070	1,010	RELATED TO NO. 21		-	-	-	-	-	-	
29	12	21	47	248	80	RELATED TO NO. 15		RELATED TO NO. 15						
30	23	7	21	171	21	26,000	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	
31	23	17	64	399	82	RELATED TO NO. 30		-	-	-	-	-	-	
32	47	12	103	546	NR	RELATED TO NO. 30		-	-	-	-	-	-	
33	22	21	77	462	105	79,520	1,085	-	-	-	-	-	-	
34	27	72	NR	1,951	396	RELATED TO NO. 21		-	-	-	-	-	-	
35	29	16	23	461	155	27,000	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	
36	23	16	56	357	108	RELATED TO NO. 35		-	-	-	-	-	-	

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application and (Amendment)	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
LUBBOCK									
1	EMERSON	SOWDER	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	03/27/2001	30	1	60

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
LUBBOCK													
1	43	338	589	14,532	1,176	329,269	23,475	36	1	-	-	-	35

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application and (Amendment)	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
SALT LAKE									
1	PEULER	HARMS	RACKETEERING	WC	D	11/13/2000	30	1	60
2	PEULER	HARMS	RACKETEERING	WC	D	01/08/2001	30	2	90
3	PEULER	HARMS	RACKETEERING	WC	D	02/07/2001	30	0	30
4	PEULER	HARMS	RACKETEERING	WC	D	03/26/2001	30	0	30

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
SALT LAKE													
1	41	18	36	744	249	120,500	8,500	5	-	-	-	-	-
2	81	19	63	1,526	499	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
3	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	11	7	21	81	39	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application and (Amendment)	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL									
1	JOHNSTON	BEALES	MURDER	WS	H,B	02/21/2001	30	0	30
2	CLARK	BEALES	MURDER	WS	H	02/21/2001	30	0	30
3	GRIFFITH	BEALES	MURDER	WS	H	03/01/2001	30	0	30
4	ROSENBLATT	BEALES	MURDER	WS	B	03/01/2001	30	0	30
1*	SHOCKLEY	BEALES	MURDER	WS	H	03/30/2000	30	0	30
2*	GOODWYN	BEALES	MURDER	WS	H	03/30/2000	30	0	30
3*	STRICKLAND	BEALES	MURDER	WS	H	08/20/2000	30	0	30

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL													
1	29	46	9	1,325	25	56,182	554	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	23	8	1	192	-	40,197	264	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	5	11	15	55	30	37,002	1,750	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1*	20	25	55	500	6	RELATED TO NO. 2*		RELATED TO NO. 2*					
2*	20	46	160	920	18	82,551	2,481	1	1	-	-	-	1
3*	10	95	4	951	64	58,571	4,643	2	2	-	-	-	2

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application and (Amendment)	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
STEVENS									
1	BAKER	NIELSON	EXTORTION	WS	H	04/24/2001	7	0	7

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
STEVENS													
1	7	-	2	1	1	125	25	1	-	-	1	-	1

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application and (Amendment)	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
MILWAUKEE									
1	SKWIERAWSKI	DOYLE	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/09/2001	30	1	60
2	SKWIERAWSKI	DOYLE	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/30/2001	30	0	30
3	SKWIERAWSKI	DOYLE	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/30/2001	30	0	30
RACINE									
1	EVENSON	SNYDER	MURDER	WS	H	02/05/2001	30	0	30

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
MILWAUKEE													
1	41	25	15	1,016	25	167,000	60,000	15	-	-	1	-	8
2	27	17	15	446	25	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
3	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RACINE													
1	15	NR	7	NR	NR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.