

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
MARICOPA									
1	BALLINGER	ROMLEY	MURDER	WS	H	12/24/2001	24	0	24
2	MCVEY	ROMLEY	KIDNAPPING	WC	D	06/11/2002	30	0	30
3	WILKINSON	ROMLEY	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	07/15/2002	30	3	120
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL									
1	BALLINGER	NAPOLITANO	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	02/26/2002	30	2	86
2	MCVEY	NAPOLITANO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/16/2002	30	0	30
3	BALLINGER	NAPOLITANO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/24/2002	19	0	19
4	MARTIN	NAPOLITANO	NARCOTICS	WS,ED	B,D	12/02/2002	30	0	30

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
MARICOPA													
1	24	56	15	1,332	111	128,608	10,748	-	-	1	1	1	-
2	1	31	11	31	22	31,265	4,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	109	92	214	10,008	3,261	948,818	25,000	16	-	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL													
1	86	98	NR	8,432	NR	256,043	6,400	55	-	-	-	-	8
2	30	22	NR	667	129	20,720	2,200	3	-	-	-	-	-
3	19	36	61	693	24	32,647	5,047	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	30	153	60	4,593	269	40,000	10,000	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
ALAMEDA									
1	SHEPPARD	ORLOFF	NARCOTICS	WS,WC,ED	H,D	05/10/2002	30	0	30
LOS ANGELES									
1	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/02/2001	30	5	180
2	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/06/2001	30	2	90
3	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/06/2001	30	2	90
4	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	12/14/2001	30	0	30
5	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	12/20/2001	30	0	30
6	FIDLER	COOLEY	MURDER	WS,WC	H,D	01/07/2002	30	1	60
7	FIDLER	COOLEY	MURDER	WS	O	01/09/2002	30	1	60
8	FIDLER	COOLEY	MURDER	WS	O	01/10/2002	30	1	60
9	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/17/2002	30	1	60
10	FIDLER	COOLEY	MURDER	WS,WC	D,O	01/17/2002	30	1	60
11	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/25/2002	30	0	30
12	FIDLER	COOLEY	MURDER	WC	D	01/25/2002	30	0	30
13	FIDLER	COOLEY	MURDER	WS	A,O	02/04/2002	30	1	60
14	FIDLER	COOLEY	EXTORTION	WS,WC	B,D	02/08/2002	30	1	60
15	FIDLER	COOLEY	MURDER	WS,WC	D,O	02/14/2002	30	0	30
16	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/14/2002	30	0	30
17	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/15/2002	30	0	30
18	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/21/2002	30	0	30
19	FIDLER	COOLEY	MURDER	WS	O	02/25/2002	30	2	90
20	FIDLER	COOLEY	MURDER	WC	D	02/26/2002	30	0	30
21	FIDLER	COOLEY	EXTORTION	WC	D	02/27/2002	30	1	60
22	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/05/2002	30	0	30
23	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/05/2002	30	0	30
24	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/11/2002	30	0	30
25	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/14/2002	30	0	30
26	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/18/2002	30	0	30
27	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/28/2002	30	0	30
28	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/29/2002	30	0	30
29	FIDLER	COOLEY	EXTORTION	WS,WC	H,D	03/29/2002	30	0	30
30	FIDLER	COOLEY	MURDER	WS	O	04/01/2002	30	0	30

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
ALAMEDA													
1	26	38	120	981	366	111,990	17,350	-	-	-	-	-	
LOS ANGELES													
1	180	20	3,573	3,573	363	288,000	8,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2	90	8	59	695	73	83,500	14,600	-	-	-	-	-	
3	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
4	30	21	NR	640	103	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
5	30	18	24	542	13	22,060	4,300	-	-	-	-	-	
6	60	33	NR	1,955	105	168,260	10,260	-	-	-	-	-	
7	60	4	55	242	40	57,500	2,500	2	-	-	-	-	
8	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
9	60	14	112	858	85	12,200	1,200	RELATED TO NO. 28					
10	60	37	NR	2,219	44	RELATED TO NO. 6		-	-	-	-	-	
11	30	-	-	-	-	18,800	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	
12	30	19	NR	557	9	RELATED TO NO. 6		-	-	-	-	-	
13	60	12	NR	708	23	RELATED TO NO. 6		-	-	-	-	-	
14	28	32	435	896	13	79,922	39,961	13	-	-	-	13	
15	6	16	NR	96	4	RELATED TO NO. 6		-	-	-	-	-	
16	30	24	730	730	122	99,000	4,000	5	-	-	-	3	
17	9	11	25	98	37	12,200	1,200	RELATED TO NO. 28					
18	30	-	-	-	-	36,450	1,850	-	-	-	-	-	
19	73	3	142	249	42	12,846	1,930	-	-	-	-	-	
20	17	34	NR	574	28	RELATED TO NO. 6		-	-	-	-	-	
21	33	32	173	1,060	197	RELATED TO NO. 14		RELATED TO NO. 14					
22	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
23	30	28	100	826	181	13,700	2,700	RELATED TO NO. 28					
24	30	3	22	101	35	38,350	4,750	-	-	-	-	-	
25	30	-	-	-	-	36,000	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	
26	19	4	47	73	49	27,000	5,000	RELATED TO NO. 28					
27	30	10	59	302	16	RELATED TO NO. 24		-	-	-	-	-	
28	30	1	30	37	14	13,500	2,500	6	-	-	-	-	
29	30	NR	NR	NR	NR	RELATED TO NO. 14		RELATED TO NO. 14					
30	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE B-1
STATE CALIFORNIA

CALENDAR YEAR 2002

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
31	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/04/2002	30	0	30
32	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/09/2002	30	0	30
33	FIDLER	COOLEY	MURDER	WS	O	04/09/2002	30	0	30
34	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/10/2002	30	0	30
35	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/17/2002	30	0	30
36	FIDLER	COOLEY	MURDER	WS	H	04/23/2002	30	0	30
37	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/25/2002	30	0	30
38	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/02/2002	30	0	30
39	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/14/2002	30	0	30
40	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/15/2002	30	0	30
41	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/15/2002	30	0	30
42	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/21/2002	30	0	30
43	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/21/2002	30	0	30
44	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	05/21/2002	30	0	30
45	FIDLER	COOLEY	ROBBERY	WS	O	05/30/2002	30	0	30
46	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/03/2002	30	1	60
47	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/05/2002	30	0	30
48	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/13/2002	30	1	60
49	FIDLER	COOLEY	MURDER	WS,WC	H,B,D	06/13/2002	30	0	30
50	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/14/2002	30	0	30
51	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/17/2002	30	3	120
52	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WS	H	06/18/2002	30	0	30
53	FIDLER	COOLEY	MURDER	WC	D	06/19/2002	30	0	30
54	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/20/2002	30	0	30
55	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/26/2002	30	0	30
56	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/02/2002	30	0	30
57	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/02/2002	30	0	30
58	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/03/2002	30	0	30
59	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/05/2002	30	0	30
60	FIDLER	COOLEY	MURDER	WS,WC	H,B,D	07/05/2002	30	0	30
61	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/10/2002	30	0	30
62	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/24/2002	30	1	60

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
LOS ANGELES (CONTINUED)													
31	30	35	237	1,055	426	6,000	6,000	RELATED TO NO. 28					
32	30	39	132	1,173	178	44,600	600	-	-	-	-	-	-
33	29	2	29	71	16	RELATED TO NO. 19		-	-	-	-	-	-
34	30	32	84	971	132	34,740	2,100	-	-	-	-	-	-
35	30	27	168	806	388	13,200	2,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
36	4	27	8	107	21	9,655	4,650	-	-	-	-	-	-
37	23	9	42	197	39	RELATED TO NO. 61		RELATED TO NO. 61					
38	30	11	45	322	220	RELATED TO NO. 100		-	-	-	-	-	-
39	30	56	234	1,680	90	35,840	3,150	-	-	-	-	-	-
40	30	13	87	385	126	14,700	3,700	RELATED TO NO. 28					
41	28	11	105	301	195	15,400	4,400	RELATED TO NO. 28					
42	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43	18	89	103	1,607	83	15,722	2,250	-	-	-	-	-	-
44	17	17	51	296	2	10,895	2,675	-	-	-	-	-	-
45	30	1	16	26	-	500	500	-	-	-	-	-	-
46	60	54	138	3,212	650	81,700	1,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
47	30	22	164	651	68	23,500	1,500	1	-	-	-	-	-
48	50	17	80	835	144	71,230	5,350	-	-	-	-	-	-
49	44	52	99	2,303	83	44,960	11,746	3	-	-	-	-	-
50	18	214	103	3,854	283	17,307	3,835	-	-	-	-	-	-
51	120	22	327	2,697	558	49,000	5,000	4	-	-	-	-	-
52	15	43	30	642	2	26,439	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
53	39	3	13	118	6	RELATED TO NO. 49		RELATED TO NO. 49					
54	30	33	220	982	437	24,075	2,075	7	-	-	-	-	-
55	30	48	50	1,435	133	33,690	1,050	4	2	-	-	-	2
56	23	71	235	1,640	279	12,500	1,500	RELATED TO NO. 70					
57	30	26	209	773	290	12,150	1,150	RELATED TO NO. 51					
58	10	4	3	38	2	40,334	6,600	8	-	-	1	-	1
59	30	35	134	1,059	225	RELATED TO NO. 91		-	-	-	-	-	-
60	21	38	41	808	76	RELATED TO NO. 49		RELATED TO NO. 49					
61	30	8	52	233	100	68,000	16,000	3	-	-	-	-	-
62	58	69	208	3,988	802	64,000	9,000	RELATED TO NO. 70					

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE B-1
STATE CALIFORNIA

CALENDAR YEAR 2002

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
63	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/29/2002	30	1	60
64	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/02/2002	30	2	90
65	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/05/2002	30	0	30
66	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/08/2002	30	0	30
67	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/08/2002	30	0	30
68	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/14/2002	30	1	60
69	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/15/2002	30	0	30
70	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/22/2002	30	3	120
71	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WS	H,A	08/29/2002	30	2	90
72	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/03/2002	30	0	30
73	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/05/2002	30	0	30
74	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/09/2002	30	1	60
75	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/11/2002	30	0	30
76	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/13/2002	30	0	30
77	FIDLER	COOLEY	MURDER	WS	O	09/13/2002	30	0	30
78	FIDLER	COOLEY	MURDER	WS	O	09/17/2002	30	0	30
79	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/27/2002	30	1	60
80	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/30/2002	30	0	30
81	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/01/2002	30	1	60
82	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/01/2002	30	0	30
83	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/01/2002	30	0	30
84	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/07/2002	30	0	30
85	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/08/2002	30	0	30
86	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/15/2002	30	0	30
87	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/16/2002	30	1	60
88	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/18/2002	30	0	30
89	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/28/2002	30	1	60
90	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/28/2002	30	0	30
91	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	10/28/2002	30	0	30
92	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/28/2002	30	0	30
93	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	10/30/2002	30	0	30
94	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/04/2002	30	0	30

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
LOS ANGELES (CONTINUED)													
63	30	8	69	237	52	15,961	2,200	-	-	-	-	-	
64	89	15	400	1,315	716	26,975	4,975	RELATED TO NO. 51					
65	29	5	37	146	48	11,575	575	RELATED TO NO. 70					
66	29	6	49	160	49	11,575	575	RELATED TO NO. 51					
67	30	44	95	1,315	500	74,960	10,000	-	-	-	-	-	
68	60	6	62	368	83	RELATED TO NO. 61		RELATED TO NO. 61					
69	I	-	-	-	-	600	600	-	-	-	-	-	
70	109	22	200	2,387	670	47,925	3,925	9	-	-	-	-	
71	90	54	247	4,837	133	4,250	4,250	-	-	-	-	-	
72	30	-	-	-	-	14,336	575	-	-	-	-	-	
73	28	36	143	994	543	46,800	2,800	4	-	-	-	-	
74	53	86	192	4,563	599	84,000	15,000	-	-	-	-	-	
75	29	-	7	7	3	575	575	RELATED TO NO. 51					
76	30	27	5	816	NR	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 61					
77	27	48	150	1,309	22	61,500	1,500	-	-	-	-	-	
78	27	65	150	1,762	53	RELATED TO NO. 77		-	-	-	-	-	
79	60	28	660	1,650	412	81,652	4,850	4	-	-	-	-	
80	30	-	-	-	-	2,200	2,200	-	-	-	-	-	
81	59	4	33	254	129	12,150	1,150	RELATED TO NO. 70					
82	18	-	-	-	-	23,535	3,375	-	-	-	-	-	
83	30	3	13	77	10	16,868	1,500	-	-	-	-	-	
84	25	-	-	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 74		-	-	-	-	-	
85	30	11	312	334	50	37,480	5,000	-	-	-	-	-	
86	30	2	10	67	42	RELATED TO NO. 100		-	-	-	-	-	
87	50	30	74	1,498	534	28,500	6,500	RELATED TO NO. 70					
88	30	11	45	328	159	23,500	1,500	-	-	-	-	-	
89	49	32	623	1,561	389	79,682	2,880	RELATED TO NO. 79					
90	29	-	-	-	-	1,500	1,500	-	-	-	-	-	
91	30	1	10	29	-	37,480	5,000	-	-	-	-	-	
92	29	19	62	550	309	RELATED TO NO. 101		RELATED TO NO. 73					
93	30	7	221	221	202	37,390	4,750	-	-	-	-	-	
94	29	19	98	537	132	11,575	575	RELATED TO NO. 73					

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
LOS ANGELES (CONTINUED)									
95	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/06/2002	30	0	30
96	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/08/2002	30	0	30
97	FIDLER	COOLEY	MURDER	WS,WC	H,D	11/08/2002	30	0	30
98	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/12/2002	30	0	30
99	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/17/2002	30	0	30
100	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/19/2002	30	0	30
101	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/25/2002	30	0	30
102	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/26/2002	30	0	30
103	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/06/2002	30	0	30
104*	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/10/2001	30	2	90
105*	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/30/2001	30	0	30
106*	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/31/2001	30	1	60
107*	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/14/2001	30	0	30
108*	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/20/2001	30	0	30
109*	FIDLER	COOLEY	NARCOTICS	WS	H	10/16/2001	30	1	60
ORANGE									
1	CONLEY	RACKAUCKAS	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/23/2002	30	0	30
2	CONLEY	RACKAUCKAS	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/15/2002	30	0	30
3	CONLEY	RACKAUCKAS	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/01/2002	30	0	30
4	CONLEY	RACKAUCKAS	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/30/2002	30	0	30
5	CONLEY	RACKAUCKAS	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/21/2002	30	0	30
6	CONLEY	RACKAUCKAS	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/31/2002	30	0	30
7	PAER	RACKAUCKAS	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/14/2002	30	0	30
8	PAER	RACKAUCKAS	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/11/2002	30	0	30
9	CONLEY	RACKAUCKAS	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/02/2002	30	0	30
10	CONLEY	RACKAUCKAS	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/13/2002	30	0	30
1*	TOOHEY	RACKAUCKAS	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	10/05/2000	30	4	150
2*	TOOHEY	RACKAUCKAS	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/19/2000	30	2	90
3*	TOOHEY	RACKAUCKAS	NARCOTICS	WS	H	11/17/2000	30	4	150
4*	TOOHEY	RACKAUCKAS	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/30/2000	30	1	60
5*	TOOHEY	RACKAUCKAS	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/11/2001	30	0	30
6*	TOOHEY	RACKAUCKAS	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/21/2001	30	0	30

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
LOS ANGELES (CONTINUED)													
95	30	27	206	799	361	46,775	2,775	-	-	-	-	-	
96	29	36	66	1,054	125	27,800	5,000	2	-	-	-	-	
97	30	35	NR	1,056	177	90,240	7,900	-	-	-	-	-	
98	29	28	325	814	204	33,720	3,000	RELATED TO NO. 79					
99	22	3	15	74	24	11,575	575	RELATED TO NO. 70					
100	30	3	38	95	29	38,100	4,500	-	-	-	-	-	
101	28	1	22	22	4	13,000	2,000	RELATED TO NO. 51					
102	30	14	185	408	188	24,200	2,200	-	-	-	-	-	
103	4	21	72	83	31	14,700	3,700	RELATED TO NO. 70					
104*	90	86	NR	7,738	1,917	109,936	5,820	20	-	-	-	19	
105*	30	29	NR	871	326	RELATED TO NO. 104*		2	-	-	-	2	
106*	60	10	75	607	154	101,521	2,500	-	-	-	-	-	
107*	30	54	90	1,612	391	66,327	4,500	7	-	-	-	7	
108*	30	47	NR	1,421	127	RELATED TO NO. 104*		4	-	-	-	3	
109*	58	31	33	1,785	116	37,980	8,700	-	-	-	-	-	
ORANGE													
1	30	14	168	419	105	8,430	750	-	-	-	-	-	
2	30	4	48	120	30	17,560	2,200	-	-	-	-	-	
3	30	9	92	257	64	RELATED TO NO. 2		-	-	-	-	-	
4	30	8	95	238	56	15,935	575	-	-	-	-	-	
5	2	10	14	20	-	9,880	2,200	-	-	-	-	-	
6	28	13	144	361	91	36,620	5,900	-	-	-	-	-	
7	30	9	111	278	70	33,470	2,750	-	-	-	-	-	
8	30	17	207	517	129	RELATED TO NO. 10		RELATED TO NO. 10					
9	30	52	620	1,550	388	49,780	3,700	-	-	-	-	-	
10	30	3	58	96	24	32,620	1,900	4	-	-	-	-	
1*	143	18	NR	2,516	911	82,500	7,500	-	-	-	-	-	
2*	83	5	NR	445	113	46,200	4,200	-	-	-	-	-	
3*	143	13	NR	1,826	187	RELATED TO NO. 1*		-	-	-	-	-	
4*	41	23	41	927	178	38,200	3,200	-	-	-	-	-	
5*	30	8	12	239	116	21,100	2,100	-	-	-	-	-	
6*	21	26	54	546	154	12,750	2,000	1	-	-	-	1	

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
ORANGE (CONTINUED)									
7*	TOOHEY	RACKAUCKAS	MURDER	WS	H,B	03/08/2001	30	0	30
8*	TOOHEY	RACKAUCKAS	MURDER	WS	H	03/09/2001	30	0	30
9*	TOOHEY	RACKAUCKAS	MURDER	WS	H	03/15/2001	30	0	30
10*	TOOHEY	RACKAUCKAS	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	03/19/2001	30	0	30
11*	TOOHEY	RACKAUCKAS	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	04/03/2001	30	1	60
12*	TOOHEY	RACKAUCKAS	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/10/2001	30	0	30
13*	TOOHEY	RACKAUCKAS	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	04/27/2001	30	3	120
14*	TOOHEY	RACKAUCKAS	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/11/2001	30	0	30
15*	TOOHEY	RACKAUCKAS	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/25/2001	30	0	30
16*	TOOHEY	RACKAUCKAS	MURDER	WS	H	05/31/2001	30	0	30
17*	TOOHEY	RACKAUCKAS	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	05/31/2001	30	2	90
18*	FULLER	RACKAUCKAS	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/03/2001	30	3	120
19*	FIDLER	RACKAUCKAS	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	B,D	10/17/2001	30	0	30
20*	FULLER	RACKAUCKAS	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/25/2001	30	0	30
RIVERSIDE									
1	HANKS	TRASK	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/30/2002	30	1	60
2	HANKS	TRASK	MURDER	WS,WC	H,D	10/31/2002	30	1	60
3	HANKS	TRASK	MURDER	WC	D	11/14/2002	30	0	30
4	HANKS	TRASK	MURDER	WC	D	11/26/2002	30	0	30
SACRAMENTO									
1	PARK	SCULLY	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,B,D	03/28/2002	28	1	45
SAN BERNARDINO									
1	FULLER	STOUT	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	07/27/2001	30	5	180
2	FULLER	STOUT	NARCOTICS	WS	H	12/12/2001	30	1	60
3	FULLER	STOUT	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/11/2002	30	0	30
4	FULLER	FOURNIER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/19/2002	30	1	60
5	FULLER	STOUT	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/24/2002	30	0	30
6	FULLER	STOUT	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/01/2002	30	0	30
7	FULLER	FOURNIER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/16/2002	30	1	60
8	FULLER	FOURNIER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/15/2002	30	0	30
9	FULLER	FOURNIER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/27/2002	30	1	60
10	FULLER	FOURNIER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/12/2002	30	0	30

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
ORANGE (CONTINUED)													
7*	22	26	NR	561	13	8,500	2,500	1	-	-	-	-	-
8*	3	21	NR	64	4	RELATED TO NO. 7*		-	-	-	-	-	-
9*	14	5	NR	64	1	RELATED TO NO. 7*		-	-	-	-	-	-
10*	30	37	57	1,114	178	21,000	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
11*	54	18	NR	968	425	38,200	3,200	9	-	-	-	-	-
12*	30	10	NR	302	148	16,750	1,750	9	-	-	-	-	-
13*	102	16	NR	1,668	247	81,500	6,500	9	-	-	-	-	-
14*	14	16	NR	227	130	10,250	1,250	-	-	-	-	-	-
15*	30	13	NR	379	264	21,700	2,700	-	-	-	-	-	-
16*	3	99	NR	298	41	7,500	1,500	1	-	-	-	-	-
17*	68	20	NR	1,359	439	35,500	3,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
18*	119	7	NR	866	486	62,500	2,500	9	-	-	-	-	-
19*	30	24	NR	709	41	22,200	3,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
20*	30	7	NR	203	64	17,500	2,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE													
1	60	10	230	589	452	23,100	1,100	7	-	-	-	-	-
2	46	65	807	2,973	141	57,900	8,343	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	30	25	128	741	48	RELATED TO NO. 2		-	-	-	-	-	-
4	19	50	191	941	17	RELATED TO NO. 2		-	-	-	-	-	-
SACRAMENTO													
1	45	103	387	4,643	772	92,006	15,000	17	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO													
1	171	19	42	3,298	471	162,110	15,230	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	60	136	152	8,133	125	40,332	6,732	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	20	29	15	575	11	14,750	3,550	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	60	-	-	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 7		-	-	-	-	-	-
5	15	12	9	187	4	10,775	2,375	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	21	36	61	748	44	14,135	2,375	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	60	35	NR	2,082	829	198,980	13,500	48	-	-	-	-	-
8	30	17	NR	497	224	RELATED TO NO. 7		RELATED TO NO. 7					
9	60	4	NR	243	61	RELATED TO NO. 7		RELATED TO NO. 7					
10	30	11	NR	336	84	RELATED TO NO. 7		RELATED TO NO. 7					

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
SAN BERNARDINO (CONTINUED)									
11	FULLER	FOURNIER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/27/2002	30	0	30
12	FULLER	FOURNIER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/27/2002	30	0	30
13	FULLER	FOURNIER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/29/2002	30	1	60
14	FULLER	FOURNIER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/29/2002	30	0	30
15	FULLER	FOURNIER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/29/2002	30	0	30
2*	FULLER	FOURNIER	MURDER	WC	D	01/29/2001	30	0	30
3*	WELCH	STOUT	MURDER	WS	H	02/05/2001	30	0	30
4*	WELCH	STOUT	NARCOTICS	WS	H	02/23/2001	30	0	30
5*	FULLER	STOUT	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	03/16/2001	28	7	233
6*	WELCH	STOUT	MURDER	WS	H	08/27/2001	30	0	30
7*	KAMANSKI	STOUT	MURDER	WC	R	10/10/2001	30	0	30
8*	FULLER	STOUT	MURDER	WS,WC	H,D	12/06/2001	30	0	30
9*	FULLER	STOUT	NARCOTICS	WS	H	12/12/2001	30	0	30
SANTA BARBARA									
1	ANDERSON	SNEDDON	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	01/19/2002	30	0	30
2	ANDERSON	SNEDDON	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	02/26/2002	30	0	30
3	MELVILLE	SNEDDON	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	04/04/2002	30	0	30
4	ANDERSON	SNEDDON	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/13/2002	30	0	30
3*	ANDERSON	MCKINLEY	MURDER	WS,WC	H,B,D	09/28/2001	20	0	20
SOLANO									
1	KAYS	PAULSON	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	04/23/2002	30	1	60
VENTURA									
1	CLARK	BRADBURY	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	12/05/2001	30	7	240
2	CLARK	BRADBURY	MURDER	WS	H	04/10/2002	30	0	30
3	CLARK	BRADBURY	MURDER	WS	H	04/23/2002	30	0	30
4	CLARK	BRADBURY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/22/2002	30	3	120

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
SAN BERNARDINO (CONTINUED)													
11	30	16	NR	467	166	RELATED TO NO. 7		RELATED TO NO. 7					
12	30	6	NR	169	61	RELATED TO NO. 7		RELATED TO NO. 7					
13	60	18	NR	1,057	408	RELATED TO NO. 7		RELATED TO NO. 7					
14	30	13	NR	395	163	RELATED TO NO. 7		RELATED TO NO. 7					
15	30	28	NR	836	380	RELATED TO NO. 7		RELATED TO NO. 7					
2*	18	7	23	120	9	38,100	4,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
3*	6	12	9	73	17	15,500	3,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
4*	11	46	7	509	8	58,000	8,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
5*	233	28	383	6,568	948	349,560	39,800	-	-	-	-	-	-
6*	12	221	41	2,654	192	48,500	3,500	3	-	-	-	1	-
7*	2	NR	NR	NR	NR	4,000	1,000	2	1	-	-	1	1
8*	13	61	4	790	31	23,756	4,800	-	-	-	-	-	-
9*	15	178	13	2,666	10	19,332	2,532	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA													
1	30	20	219	588	99	RELATED TO NO. 3		RELATED TO NO. 3					
2	30	32	463	963	177	RELATED TO NO. 3		RELATED TO NO. 3					
3	29	19	307	546	39	30,250	4,000	24	-	-	-	-	24
4	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3*	15	176	1,633	2,639	35	116,000	20,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOLANO													
1	51	23	173	1,168	335	33,500	3,500	10	-	-	-	-	-
VENTURA													
1	210	45	115	9,408	1,836	255,420	30,300	6	-	-	-	-	-
2	14	127	NR	1,783	44	12,772	934	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	6	12	NR	72	2	RELATED TO NO. 2		-	-	-	-	-	-
4	115	41	NR	4,770	NR	-	-	17	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
1ST JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ESCAMBRIA)									
1	KUDER	GOLDEN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	06/26/2002	30	0	30
2	BELL	GOLDEN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	10/09/2002	30	1	40
3	BELL	GOLDEN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/28/2002	30	0	30
4	BELL	GOLDEN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/28/2002	30	0	30
4TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DUVAL)									
1	HADDOCK	SHORSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/26/2002	30	1	60
2	HADDOCK	SHORSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/22/2002	30	1	60
3	HADDOCK	SHORSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/25/2002	30	0	30
4	BOWDEN	SHORSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/01/2002	30	2	90
5	BOWDEN	SHORSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/01/2002	30	0	30
6	BOWDEN	SHORSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/01/2002	30	0	30
7	BOWDEN	SHORSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/01/2002	30	0	30
8	BOWDEN	SHORSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/29/2002	30	0	30
9	BOWDEN	SHORSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/29/2002	30	0	30
10	BOWDEN	SHORSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/30/2002	30	0	30
11	BOWDEN	SHORSTEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/14/2002	30	0	30
5TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (LAKE/MARION)									
1	TOMBRINK	KING	NARCOTICS	WS	H	03/20/2002	30	1	60
2	TOMBRINK	KING	NARCOTICS	WS	H	03/20/2002	30	1	60
3	TOMBRINK	KING	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/20/2002	30	1	60
4	TOMBRINK	KING	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/30/2002	30	0	30
5	SINGLETERY	HINES	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/09/2002	30	0	30
7TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (VOLUSIA)									
1*	SMITH	HINES	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	08/24/2001	30	0	30
9TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ORANGE/OSCEOLA)									
1	JOHNSON	HINES	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	11/05/2001	30	2	77
2	STRICKLAND	LAMAR	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/19/2002	30	1	60
3	STRICKLAND	LAMAR	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/20/2002	30	0	30
4	STRICKLAND	LAMAR	NARCOTICS	WC	R	03/20/2002	30	1	60
5	STRICKLAND	LAMAR	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/20/2002	30	1	60

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
1ST JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ESCAMBRIA)													
1	24	84	6	2,021	7	26,600	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	40	60	100	2,381	230	54,300	4,300	16	-	-	-	-	-
3	21	25	40	534	45	RELATED TO NO. 2		RELATED TO NO. 2					
4	20	44	40	871	137	RELATED TO NO. 2		RELATED TO NO. 2					
4TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DUVAL)													
1	60	342	140	20,509	1,626	95,000	20,000	23	-	-	-	-	-
2	28	167	20	4,670	275	30,000	10,000	RELATED TO NO. 1					
3	11	14	20	159	12	16,000	10,000	RELATED TO NO. 1					
4	49	177	145	8,687	1,317	82,000	10,000	25	-	-	-	-	-
5	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	23	43	18	980	55	41,000	5,000	RELATED TO NO. 4					
7	6	30	14	180	20	RELATED TO NO. 6		RELATED TO NO. 4					
8	16	8	4	124	NR	RELATED TO NO. 6		RELATED TO NO. 4					
9	27	37	45	990	86	RELATED TO NO. 6		RELATED TO NO. 4					
10	20	61	45	1,215	140	RELATED TO NO. 6		RELATED TO NO. 4					
11	14	23	20	320	44	RELATED TO NO. 6		RELATED TO NO. 4					
5TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (LAKE/MARION)													
1	55	35	45	1,916	118	130,280	5,139	7	-	-	-	-	-
2	54	16	50	870	167	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
3	55	18	50	997	177	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
4	13	12	12	161	20	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
5	22	22	35	490	183	-	-	12	-	-	-	-	1
7TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (VOLUSIA)													
1*	24	196	75	4,714	229	37,000	25,000	14	-	-	-	-	14
9TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ORANGE/OSCEOLA)													
1	77	71	315	5,499	644	780,000	30,000	4	-	-	-	-	1
2	60	34	108	2,035	160	393,500	118,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	27	16	35	440	10	RELATED TO NO. 2		-	-	-	-	-	-
4	40	71	111	2,829	73	RELATED TO NO. 2		-	-	-	-	-	-
5	54	163	201	8,818	390	RELATED TO NO. 2		-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
9TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ORANGE/OSCEOLA) (CONTINUED)									
6	STRICKLAND	LAMAR	NARCOTICS	WC	R	04/10/2002	30	0	30
7	STRICKLAND	LAMAR	NARCOTICS	OM	H	04/19/2002	30	0	30
12*	SMITH	HINES	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/07/2001	30	0	30
13*	SMITH	HINES	NARCOTICS	WS	H	09/07/2001	30	0	30
14*	SMITH	HINES	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/07/2001	30	0	30
15*	SMITH	HINES	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/13/2001	30	1	60
16*	SMITH	HINES	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/10/2001	30	0	30
17*	SMITH	HINES	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/23/2001	30	0	30
18*	SMITH	HINES	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/15/2001	30	0	30
18**	WEISS	HINES	NARCOTICS	WS	H	03/11/2000	30	1	60
19**	WEISS	HINES	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/11/2000	30	0	30
20**	WEISS	HINES	NARCOTICS	WS	H	05/11/2000	30	0	30
21**	SMITH	HINES	RACKETEERING	WS	H	08/15/2000	30	0	30
22**	SMITH	HINES	RACKETEERING	WS	H	08/15/2000	30	0	30
18TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BREVARD/SEMINOLE)									
1	JACOBUS	WOLFINGER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/22/2002	30	1	60
2	JACOBUS	WOLFINGER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/22/2002	30	1	60
3	JACOBUS	WOLFINGER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/05/2002	30	0	30
4	JACOBUS	WOLFINGER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/10/2002	30	0	30
5	JACOBUS	WOLFINGER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/22/2002	30	0	30
6	JACOBUS	WOLFINGER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/30/2002	30	0	30
7	LESTER	HINES	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/12/2002	30	1	60
19TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (SAINT LUCIE)									
1	VAUGHN	COLTON	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/20/2001	30	0	30
2	VAUGHN	COLTON	RACKETEERING	WC	D	09/30/2002	30	0	30
3	VAUGHN	COLTON	RACKETEERING	WS	H	09/30/2002	30	0	30

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
9TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ORANGE/OSCEOLA) (CONTINUED)													
6	30	111	112	3,317	44	RELATED TO NO. 2		-	-	-	-	-	-
7	22	-	3	10	-	RELATED TO NO. 2		-	-	-	-	-	-
12*	30	62	124	1,872	462	264,419	30,859	22	2	-	3	-	12
13*	1	97	12	97	7	RELATED TO NO. 12*		RELATED TO NO. 12*		-	-	-	-
14*	I	-	-	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 12*		-	-	-	-	-	-
15*	51	34	42	1,718	261	RELATED TO NO. 12*		RELATED TO NO. 12*		-	-	-	-
16*	I	-	-	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 12*		-	-	-	-	-	-
17*	30	31	18	930	105	RELATED TO NO. 12*		RELATED TO NO. 12*		-	-	-	-
18*	16	177	32	2,837	68	RELATED TO NO. 12*		RELATED TO NO. 12*		-	-	-	-
18**	60	61	23	3,667	236	159,317	4,685	23	-	-	-	-	17
19**	21	1	23	22	21	RELATED TO NO. 18**		RELATED TO NO. 18**		-	-	-	-
20**	24	1	23	18	18	RELATED TO NO. 18**		RELATED TO NO. 18**		-	-	-	-
21**	30	34	54	1,013	169	67,125	18,645	4	-	1	-	-	2
22**	22	50	49	1,096	56	RELATED TO NO. 21**		RELATED TO NO. 21**		-	-	-	-
18TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BREVARD/SEMINOLE)													
1	58	52	150	3,033	424	35,117	23,117	11	-	-	-	-	1
2	50	34	100	1,707	679	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1		-	-	-	-
3	5	30	20	149	27	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1		-	-	-	-
4	3	6	10	18	NR	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1		-	-	-	-
5	22	49	80	1,070	212	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1		-	-	-	-
6	16	43	30	690	135	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1		-	-	-	-
7	40	37	34	1,496	171	218,369	18,565	5	-	-	-	-	-
19TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (SAINT LUCIE)													
1	14	NR	NR	NR	NR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
BIBB									
1	WILCOX	SIMMS	MURDER	WS	H	02/19/2002	20	1	40
2	CULPEPPER	SIMMS	MURDER	WC	D	03/07/2002	20	0	20
3	CULPEPPER	SIMMS	MURDER	WC	D	03/07/2002	20	0	20
CLAYTON									
1**	KILPATRICK	KELLER	NARCOTICS	WS	H	02/21/1992	20	0	20
DOUGHERTY									
1	LOCKETTE	HODGES	MURDER	WS,OM	H	09/05/2002	30	0	30

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
BIBB													
1	35	48	30	1,671	600	10,000	10,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	18	34	20	615	159	10,000	10,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	18	95	20	1,703	277	10,000	10,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLAYTON													
1**	13	31	25	403	134	15,650	2,000	3	1	-	-	-	1
DOUGHERTY													
1	5	4	3	18	NR	900	900	1	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
CLARK									
1	RESCH	SIMONTON	NARCOTICS	WS	H	10/07/2002	30	1	60
CRAWFORD									
1	HILL	SHANER	NARCOTICS	OM	H	02/13/2002	2	0	2
2	HILL	SHANER	NARCOTICS	OM	H	06/18/2002	2	0	2
3	CORRELL	LANE	THEFT	OM	H	06/28/2002	2	0	2
4	CORRELL	SHANER	NARCOTICS	OM	H	09/16/2002	2	0	2
5	CORRELL	SHANER	NARCOTICS	OM	H	10/13/2002	2	0	2
DEKALB									
1	ENGEL	MATEKAITIS	MURDER	WS,OM	H	12/07/2001	30	0	30
2	ENGEL	MATEKAITIS	MURDER	WS,OM	H	12/12/2001	30	0	30
3	ENGEL	MATEKAITIS	BURGLARY	WS,WC,OM	D,O	12/13/2001	30	0	30
DEWITT									
1	PETERS	JOHNSON	NARCOTICS	OM	R	01/25/2002	30	0	30
2	PETERS	JOHNSON	NARCOTICS	OM	H	11/15/2002	30	0	30
HENRY									
1	VANDERSNICK	DARROW	NARCOTICS	WS	H	01/10/2002	30	0	30
2	BELL	PATTON	OTHER	WS	H	02/07/2002	30	0	30
3	HAMER	DARROW	NARCOTICS	WS	H	08/20/2002	30	0	30
4	HAMER	DARROW	NARCOTICS	WS	H	08/20/2002	30	0	30
5	HAMER	DARROW	NARCOTICS	WS	H	10/11/2002	30	0	30
6	HAMER	DARROW	NARCOTICS	WS	H	11/14/2002	30	0	30
7	HAMER	DARROW	NARCOTICS	WS	H	11/14/2002	30	0	30
8	HAMER	DARROW	NARCOTICS	WS	H	11/14/2002	30	0	30
9	HAMER	DARROW	NARCOTICS	WS	H	11/14/2002	30	0	30
LEE									
1	MAGDICH	GIESEN	NARCOTICS	OM	H	12/04/2001	30	0	30
ROCK ISLAND									
1	BERGLUND	DOUGLAS	ROBBERY	OM	R	04/12/2002	30	0	30
UNION									
1	BOIE	BIGLER	ASSAULT	WS,OM	H	01/29/2002	10	0	10

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
CLARK													
1	60	-	2	2	NR	300	100	-	-	-	-	-	-
CRAWFORD													
1	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DEKALB													
1	4	2	1	6	6	4,000	200	1	-	-	-	-	-
2	1	1	1	1	1	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
3	1	2	2	2	1	500	20	1	-	-	-	-	-
DEWITT													
1	3	2	3	6	3	-	-	3	-	-	1	-	2
2	1	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HENRY													
1	1	1	2	1	1	900	500	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	1	1	2	1	1	533	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	I	-	-	-	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	I	-	-	-	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	1	1	1	1	-	600	300	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	I	-	-	-	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	1	1	1	1	1	500	200	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	I	-	-	-	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	2	-	3	1	3	1,250	500	-	-	-	-	-	-
LEE													
1	30	-	1	1	-	810	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCK ISLAND													
1	I	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UNION													
1	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
WHITE									
1	SAWYER	SUTTON	ASSAULT	WS	H	07/25/2002	30	0	30
2	SAWYER	SUTTON	ASSAULT	WC	D	08/15/2002	30	0	30

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
WHITE													
1	1	1	1	1	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1
2	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
JEFFERSON									
1	MCCABE	WIMBERLY	NARCOTICS	WS	O	06/18/2002	30	0	30

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
JEFFERSON													
1	2	1	4	2	2	242	2	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
BALTIMORE									
1	BOLLINGER	TRIMBLE	CONSPIRACY	WC	D	02/20/2002	30	0	30
2	TURNBULL	O'CONNOR	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/15/2002	30	1	60
3	TURNBULL	O'CONNOR	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/15/2002	30	0	30
4	BOLLINGER	TRIMBLE	CONSPIRACY	WC	D	06/03/2002	30	0	30
5	BOLLINGER	O'CONNOR	CONSPIRACY	WC	D	06/03/2002	30	0	30
6	BOLLINGER	O'CONNOR	CONSPIRACY	ED	D	06/03/2002	30	0	30
7	BOLLINGER	TRIMBLE	CONSPIRACY	ED	D	06/03/2002	22	0	22
8	TURNBULL	O'CONNOR	NARCOTICS	WS	H	06/12/2002	30	0	30
9	BOLLINGER	TRIMBLE	CONSPIRACY	WC	D	09/18/2002	30	2	90
10	BOLLINGER	TRIMBLE	CONSPIRACY	WC	D	09/18/2002	30	2	90
11	BOLLINGER	TRIMBLE	CONSPIRACY	WC	D	09/18/2002	30	2	90
12	BOLLINGER	TRIMBLE	CONSPIRACY	WC	D	09/18/2002	30	2	90
13	BOLLINGER	TRIMBLE	CONSPIRACY	WC	D	09/18/2002	30	0	30
14	BOLLINGER	TRIMBLE	CONSPIRACY	WS,ED	H,D	09/24/2002	30	2	90
15	BOLLINGER	TRIMBLE	CONSPIRACY	WC	D	09/24/2002	30	0	30
16	BOLLINGER	TRIMBLE	CONSPIRACY	WC	D	09/24/2002	30	0	30
17	BOLLINGER	TRIMBLE	CONSPIRACY	WC	D	09/27/2002	30	0	30
18	BOLLINGER	TRIMBLE	CONSPIRACY	WC	D	10/02/2002	30	0	30
19	BOLLINGER	TRIMBLE	CONSPIRACY	WS	H	10/09/2002	30	0	30
20	BOLLINGER	TRIMBLE	CONSPIRACY	WC	D	10/09/2002	30	0	30
21	BOLLINGER	TRIMBLE	CONSPIRACY	WC	D	10/17/2002	30	0	30
22	BOLLINGER	TRIMBLE	CONSPIRACY	WC	D	10/17/2002	30	1	60
23	BOLLINGER	TRIMBLE	CONSPIRACY	WC	D	10/17/2002	30	0	30
24	BOLLINGER	TRIMBLE	CONSPIRACY	WC	D	10/21/2002	30	0	30
25	BOLLINGER	TRIMBLE	CONSPIRACY	WC	D	10/23/2002	30	0	30
26	BOLLINGER	TRIMBLE	CONSPIRACY	WC	D	11/12/2002	30	0	30
BALTIMORE CITY									
1	HEARD	JESSAMY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/22/2002	30	1	60
2	HEARD	JESSAMY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/22/2002	30	0	30
3	PREVAS	JESSAMY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/28/2002	30	3	120
4	PREVAS	JESSAMY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/28/2002	30	3	120
5	PREVAS	JESSAMY	NARCOTICS	ED	D	02/26/2002	30	1	60

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
BALTIMORE													
1	22	19	153	412	51	177,500	57,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	34	11	32	388	42	116,740	2,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	30	9	16	258	13	RELATED TO NO. 2		-	-	-	-	-	-
4	22	18	140	405	44	RELATED TO NO. 1		-	-	-	-	-	-
5	22	33	412	717	84	RELATED TO NO. 1		-	-	-	-	-	-
6	22	5	NR	120	NR	RELATED TO NO. 1		-	-	-	-	-	-
7	22	1	NR	30	NR	RELATED TO NO. 1		-	-	-	-	-	-
8	7	14	22	99	3	RELATED TO NO. 2		-	-	-	-	-	-
9	69	46	1,550	3,172	123	RELATED TO NO. 1		-	-	-	-	-	-
10	69	18	690	1,240	122	RELATED TO NO. 1		-	-	-	-	-	-
11	69	2	20	142	36	RELATED TO NO. 1		-	-	-	-	-	-
12	69	13	330	927	115	RELATED TO NO. 1		-	-	-	-	-	-
13	9	47	105	425	2	RELATED TO NO. 1		-	-	-	-	-	-
14	60	2	20	110	6	RELATED TO NO. 1		-	-	-	-	-	-
15	3	180	120	540	71	RELATED TO NO. 1		-	-	-	-	-	-
16	21	7	28	155	8	RELATED TO NO. 1		-	-	-	-	-	-
17	21	61	250	1,283	182	RELATED TO NO. 1		-	-	-	-	-	-
18	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	30	44	275	1,323	67	RELATED TO NO. 1		-	-	-	-	-	-
20	16	56	200	888	68	RELATED TO NO. 1		-	-	-	-	-	-
21	2	116	57	232	21	RELATED TO NO. 1		-	-	-	-	-	-
22	33	2	21	69	24	RELATED TO NO. 1		-	-	-	-	-	-
23	8	80	200	639	13	RELATED TO NO. 1		-	-	-	-	-	-
24	23	25	100	574	32	RELATED TO NO. 1		-	-	-	-	-	-
25	24	70	500	1,679	196	RELATED TO NO. 1		-	-	-	-	-	-
26	11	294	900	3,234	107	RELATED TO NO. 1		-	-	-	-	-	-
BALTIMORE CITY													
1	57	56	30	3,200	140	157,213	11,681	23	-	-	-	-	10
2	30	NR	NR	NR	NR	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
3	103	122	846	12,613	749	117,637	13,721	33	-	-	-	-	3
4	103	94	846	9,649	496	RELATED TO NO. 3		RELATED TO NO. 3					
5	53	13	NR	671	NR	RELATED TO NO. 3		RELATED TO NO. 3					

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
BALTIMORE CITY (CONTINUED)									
6	PREVAS	JESSAMY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/26/2002	30	1	60
7	PREVAS	JESSAMY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/26/2002	30	0	30
8	PREVAS	JESSAMY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/26/2002	30	0	30
9	PREVAS	JESSAMY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/26/2002	30	0	30
10	PREVAS	JESSAMY	NARCOTICS	ED	D	03/26/2002	30	0	30
11	MCCURDY	JESSAMY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/22/2002	30	0	30
12	MCCURDY	JESSAMY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/22/2002	30	1	60
13	MCCURDY	JESSAMY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/03/2002	30	1	60
14	MCCURDY	JESSAMY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/14/2002	30	0	30
15	MCCURDY	JESSAMY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/14/2002	30	1	60
16	GLYNN	JESSAMY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/27/2002	30	0	30
17	GLYNN	JESSAMY	NARCOTICS	ED	D	06/27/2002	30	0	30
18	GLYNN	JESSAMY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/27/2002	30	0	30
19	MCCURDY	JESSAMY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/08/2002	30	0	30
20	MCCURDY	JESSAMY	NARCOTICS	ED	D	07/08/2002	30	0	30
21	GLYNN	JESSAMY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/16/2002	30	0	30
22	GLYNN	JESSAMY	NARCOTICS	WS	H	07/16/2002	30	0	30
23	GLYNN	JESSAMY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/16/2002	18	0	18
24	GLYNN	JESSAMY	NARCOTICS	WS	H	07/16/2002	10	0	10
25	GLYNN	JESSAMY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/25/2002	30	0	30
26	GLYNN	JESSAMY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/25/2002	30	0	30
27	GLYNN	JESSAMY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/25/2002	9	0	9
28	GLYNN	JESSAMY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/25/2002	9	0	9

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of						
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted	
										G	D	P		
6	48	48	846	2,299	88	RELATED TO NO. 3	RELATED TO NO. 3							
7	22	33	846	734	20	RELATED TO NO. 3	RELATED TO NO. 3							
8	15	46	846	688	17	RELATED TO NO. 3	RELATED TO NO. 3							
9	8	3	846	25	NR	RELATED TO NO. 3	RELATED TO NO. 3							
10	25	3	NR	86	NR	RELATED TO NO. 3	RELATED TO NO. 3							
11	14	38	506	537	31	RELATED TO NO. 20	RELATED TO NO. 20							
12	28	24	506	670	78	RELATED TO NO. 20	RELATED TO NO. 20							
13	18	30	506	537	74	RELATED TO NO. 20	RELATED TO NO. 20							
14	15	55	506	832	56	RELATED TO NO. 20	RELATED TO NO. 20							
15	44	32	506	1,426	106	RELATED TO NO. 20	RELATED TO NO. 20							
16	27	132	416	3,552	352	66,993	14,760	30	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	29	1	NR	21	NR	RELATED TO NO. 16	RELATED TO NO. 16							
18	15	18	416	269	23	RELATED TO NO. 16	RELATED TO NO. 16							
19	20	30	506	596	70	RELATED TO NO. 20	RELATED TO NO. 20							
20	20	4	NR	79	NR	73,873	8,867	10	-	-	-	-	-	2
21	18	19	416	341	47	RELATED TO NO. 16	RELATED TO NO. 16							
22	10	18	416	179	NR	RELATED TO NO. 16	RELATED TO NO. 16							
23	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	9	88	416	792	52	RELATED TO NO. 16	RELATED TO NO. 16							
26	9	145	416	1,304	139	RELATED TO NO. 16	RELATED TO NO. 16							
27	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

BALTIMORE CITY (CONTINUED)

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
NORFOLK									
1	GANTS	KEATING	NARCOTICS	WS,WC,EF	H,B,D	01/31/2002	15	2	42
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL									
1	BALL	BLOOMER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/24/2002	15	3	60
2	BALL	BLOOMER	NARCOTICS	WS	H	10/31/2002	15	2	45
3	BALL	BLOOMER	NARCOTICS	OM	O	11/15/2002	15	1	30
4	BALL	BLOOMER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/20/2002	15	1	30
5	BALL	BLOOMER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/06/2002	15	0	15
6	BALL	BLOOMER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/12/2002	15	0	15
7	BALL	BLOOMER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/12/2002	15	0	15

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
NORFOLK													
1	30	22	28	650	300	113,000	20,000	11	-	-	-	-	2
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL													
1	56	33	82	1,842	481	119,700	9,700	18	-	-	-	-	-
2	39	19	53	739	147	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
3	21	7	22	142	33	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
4	29	45	58	1,300	222	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
5	1	-	-	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 1		-	-	-	-	-	-
6	6	24	35	147	49	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
7	5	9	8	44	9	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
16TH CIRCUIT COURT DISTRICT									
1	MONTGOMERY	HEDGEPEETH	NARCOTICS	WC	R	07/29/2002	30	1	60

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
16TH CIRCUIT COURT DISTRICT													
1	53	103	338	5,464	1,131	41,503	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
CLARK									
1	LEHMAN	BELL	MURDER	WS	B	02/22/2002	30	0	30
2	CHERRY	BELL	MURDER	WS,WC	H,D	08/15/2002	30	0	30
3	CHERRY	BELL	MURDER	OM	A	08/21/2002	30	0	30
ELKO									
1	MEMEO	WOODBURY	MURDER	OM	H	03/12/2002	2	0	2

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
CLARK													
1	14	83	273	1,165	-	21,840	6,300	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	19	71	18	1,353	NR	47,614	352	2	-	-	-	-	-
3	1	-	-	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 2		-	-	-	-	-	-
ELKO													
1	2	-	1	1	1	2	1	1	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
ATLANTIC									
1*	GAROFOLO	BLITZ	GAMBLING	ED	D	01/10/2001	20	0	20
BURLINGTON									
1	FEINBERG	BERNARDI	MURDER	WO	O	10/02/2002	10	0	10
CAMDEN									
1	NATAL	SOLOMON	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/27/2002	30	1	60
2	NATAL	SOLOMON	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/09/2002	30	1	60
ESSEX									
1*	FALCONE	CAMPOLO	MURDER	WS	O	01/31/2001	30	0	30
2*	FALCONE	CAMPOLO	MURDER	WS	O	01/31/2001	30	1	60
3*	FALCONE	CAMPOLO	MURDER	WS	O	01/31/2001	30	1	60
4*	FALCONE	CAMPOLO	MURDER	WS	O	01/31/2001	30	0	30
5*	FALCONE	CAMPOLO	MURDER	WS	O	02/08/2001	30	1	60
6*	FALCONE	CAMPOLO	MURDER	WS	O	02/08/2001	30	1	60
7*	FALCONE	CAMPOLO	NARCOTICS	ED	D	03/29/2001	20	0	20
GLOUCESTER									
1	NATAL	SILLS	MURDER	WO	D	05/30/2002	2	0	2
2	NATAL	DALTON	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/10/2002	20	2	40
HUDSON									
1	CALLAHAN	THEEMLING	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/22/2002	30	0	30
2	CALLAHAN	DEFAZIO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/12/2002	30	1	60
3	CALLAHAN	THEEMLING	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/12/2002	30	0	30
4	CALLAHAN	DEFAZIO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/20/2002	30	1	60
5	CALLAHAN	DEFAZIO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/09/2002	30	0	30
6	CALLAHAN	DEFAZIO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/23/2002	30	0	30
HUNTERDON									
1	FEINBERG	LEMBER	CONSPIRACY	WC	D	08/19/2002	20	0	20
MIDDLESEX									
1	LONGHI	BERMAN	GAMBLING	WS	H	01/07/2002	20	0	20
2	LONGHI	BERMAN	GAMBLING	WC	D	01/07/2002	20	0	20
3	LONGHI	BERMAN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	01/29/2002	20	0	20
4	LONGHI	BERMAN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/01/2002	20	0	20
5	LONGHI	BERMAN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	02/01/2002	20	0	20

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
ATLANTIC													
1*	18	33	1	598	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
BURLINGTON													
1	1	10	9	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CAMDEN													
1	46	38	37	1,765	443	98,000	8,000	13	-	-	-	-	
2	37	59	37	2,173	581	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
ESSEX													
1*	6	26	12	154	NR	128,367	3,200	42	-	-	-	-	
2*	60	26	216	1,572	94	RELATED TO NO. 1*		RELATED TO NO. 1*					
3*	60	32	222	1,894	157	RELATED TO NO. 1*		RELATED TO NO. 1*					
4*	6	62	20	375	NR	RELATED TO NO. 1*		RELATED TO NO. 1*					
5*	51	68	319	3,465	170	RELATED TO NO. 1*		RELATED TO NO. 1*					
6*	51	34	240	1,741	45	RELATED TO NO. 1*		RELATED TO NO. 1*					
7*	20	178	NR	3,556	633	9,800	-	6	-	-	-	-	
GLOUCESTER													
1	2	3	4	6	-	1,890	1,150	-	-	-	-	-	
2	40	138	65	5,506	980	137,022	9,222	-	-	-	-	-	
HUDSON													
1	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
3	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
4	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
5	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
6	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
HUNTERDON													
1	17	18	58	300	156	35,700	1,700	21	-	-	-	-	
MIDDLESEX													
1	19	61	28	1,153	444	14,120	5,000	RELATED TO NO. 7					
2	18	50	50	909	387	13,640	5,000	RELATED TO NO. 7					
3	16	28	45	455	162	12,980	5,300	15	-	-	1	-	
4	20	75	60	1,506	472	14,600	5,000	RELATED TO NO. 7					
5	20	6	20	119	20	RELATED TO NO. 4		RELATED TO NO. 7					

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE B-1
STATE NEW JERSEY

CALENDAR YEAR 2002

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
MIDDLESEX (CONTINUED)									
6	LONGHI	BERMAN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/07/2002	20	0	20
7	LONGHI	BERMAN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/21/2002	20	0	20
8	LONGHI	BERMAN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/21/2002	20	0	20
9	LONGHI	BERMAN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/21/2002	20	0	20
10	CLARK	BERMAN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/08/2002	20	0	20
11	LONGHI	BERMAN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/11/2002	20	0	20
12	LONGHI	BERMAN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/18/2002	20	0	20
13	LONGHI	BERMAN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/30/2002	20	0	20
14	LONGHI	BERMAN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/30/2002	20	0	20
15	LONGHI	BERMAN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/03/2002	20	0	20
16	LONGHI	BERMAN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/06/2002	20	0	20
17	LONGHI	BERMAN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/10/2002	20	0	20
18	LONGHI	BERMAN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/16/2002	20	0	20
19	LONGHI	BERMAN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/17/2002	20	0	20
20	LONGHI	BERMAN	NARCOTICS	WS	H,B	06/14/2002	20	0	20
21	CLARK	KAPLAN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/08/2002	20	0	20
22	LONGHI	KAPLAN	NARCOTICS	WS	H,B	10/15/2002	20	1	30
23	LONGHI	KAPLAN	NARCOTICS	WS	H,B	10/15/2002	20	1	30
24	LONGHI	KAPLAN	NARCOTICS	WS	H,B	10/15/2002	20	1	30
25	LONGHI	KAPLAN	NARCOTICS	WS	H,B	10/15/2002	20	1	30
26	LONGHI	KAPLAN	NARCOTICS	WS	H,B	10/15/2002	20	1	30
27	LONGHI	KAPLAN	NARCOTICS	WS	H,B	10/15/2002	20	1	30
28	LONGHI	KAPLAN	NARCOTICS	WS	H,B	10/15/2002	20	1	30
29	LONGHI	KAPLAN	NARCOTICS	WS	H,B	10/15/2002	20	1	30
30	LONGHI	KAPLAN	NARCOTICS	WS	H,B	10/15/2002	20	1	30
31	LONGHI	KAPLAN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/02/2002	20	0	20
32	LONGHI	KAPLAN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/09/2002	20	0	20
MORRIS									
1	FALCONE	RUBBINACCIO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/09/2002	30	1	40
2	FALCONE	RUBBINACCIO	NARCOTICS	OM	O	07/09/2002	30	1	40
2*	FALCONE	DANGLER	MURDER	OM	H	06/08/2001	20	2	40
3*	FALCONE	DANGLER	MURDER	WS	H	07/03/2001	20	0	20

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
MIDDLESEX (CONTINUED)													
6	18	113	75	2,040	748	RELATED TO NO. 2	RELATED TO NO. 7						
7	19	23	20	433	96	10,140	1,500	136	-	-	-	1	-
8	20	32	25	634	300	10,620	1,500	RELATED TO NO. 7					
9	19	57	30	1,085	396	RELATED TO NO. 7	RELATED TO NO. 7						
10	20	135	80	2,709	718	12,930	3,300	36	-	-	-	1	-
11	19	59	71	1,113	705	12,220	3,100	87	-	-	-	1	-
12	12	48	50	575	149	6,960	3,000	-	-	-	-	1	-
13	10	11	35	114	77	7,894	3,094	RELATED TO NO. 11					
14	18	13	23	226	176	10,672	3,052	RELATED TO NO. 11					
15	14	54	27	752	488	9,791	3,071	RELATED TO NO. 11					
16	4	4	5	15	4	3,750	3,000	-	-	-	-	1	-
17	19	15	11	286	174	12,175	3,055	RELATED TO NO. 11					
18	13	-	-	-	-	9,280	3,040	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	12	22	23	260	105	8,815	3,055	RELATED TO NO. 11					
20	20	20	20	398	35	12,667	3,067	RELATED TO NO. 11					
21	12	23	25	278	240	9,160	3,400	17	-	-	-	1	-
22	30	7	7	215	3	19,850	3,050	-	-	-	-	1	-
23	30	1	2	36	NR	19,811	3,011	-	-	-	-	1	-
24	30	12	16	367	27	19,860	3,060	-	-	-	-	1	-
25	30	33	42	997	43	19,889	3,089	-	-	-	-	1	-
26	30	168	2,363	5,043	54	20,207	3,407	-	-	-	-	1	-
27	30	52	714	1,546	11	19,920	3,120	-	-	-	-	1	-
28	30	17	190	498	3	19,871	3,071	-	-	-	-	1	-
29	30	12	61	348	6	19,854	3,054	-	-	-	-	1	-
30	30	30	158	890	41	19,879	3,079	-	-	-	-	1	-
31	8	54	50	436	45	7,580	3,100	-	-	-	-	-	-
32	11	115	110	1,268	500	9,260	3,100	-	-	-	-	-	-
MORRIS													
1	37	52	47	1,912	672	220,929	39,635	27	-	-	-	-	-
2	37	11	22	404	53	RELATED TO NO. 1	RELATED TO NO. 1						
2*	37	26	7	973	106	62,720	5,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
3*	20	40	16	796	13	20,600	5,000	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
MORRIS (CONTINUED)									
4*	FALCONE	DANGLER	MURDER	WC	D	07/03/2001	20	0	20
5*	FALCONE	DANGLER	MURDER	WC	D	07/03/2001	20	2	40
6*	FALCONE	DANGLER	MURDER	OM	H	07/27/2001	20	2	40
PASSAIC									
1	CLARK	BELLOMO	NARCOTICS	WS	O	03/22/2002	30	0	30
2	CLARK	AVIGLIANO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/22/2002	30	0	30
3	CLARK	BRODY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/10/2002	30	0	30
4	CLARK	BRODY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/04/2002	30	0	30
5	CLARK	BRODY	NARCOTICS	WC	R	12/09/2002	30	0	30
SALEM									
2**	GARAFOLO	BERGH	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/09/2000	20	1	30
SOMERSET									
1	LONGHI	FORREST	MURDER	WS	H	12/17/2001	20	0	20
2	LONGHI	FORREST	MURDER	WC	D	12/28/2001	20	0	20
3	LONGHI	FORREST	MURDER	WS	H	01/11/2002	20	0	20
4	LONGHI	FORREST	MURDER	WS	H	01/11/2002	20	0	20
5	FEINBERG	FORREST	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/05/2002	20	0	20
6	FEINBERG	FORREST	NARCOTICS	ED	D	09/05/2002	20	0	20
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL									
1	CALLAHAN	FARMER	RACKETEERING	OM,OO	B	10/26/2001	30	2	90
2	CALLAHAN	FARMER	RACKETEERING	OM,OO	B	10/26/2001	30	2	90
3	D'ITALIA	FARMER	RACKETEERING	WC	D	12/04/2001	30	1	60
4	LONGHI	SAMPSON	THEFT	WC	D	12/17/2001	30	1	60
5	LONGHI	FARMER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/17/2001	30	0	30
6	D'ITALIA	FARMER	RACKETEERING	WC	D	01/08/2002	30	0	30
7	D'ITALIA	FARMER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/13/2002	20	0	20
8	D'ITALIA	FARMER	RACKETEERING	WC	D	01/14/2002	30	0	30
9	D'ITALIA	SAMSON	RACKETEERING	WC	D	01/29/2002	15	0	15
10	D'ITALIA	SAMSON	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/30/2002	16	0	16
11	D'ITALIA	SAMSON	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/30/2002	16	0	16
12	LONGHI	SAMSON	THEFT	WC	D	02/01/2002	30	0	30
13	CALLAHAN	SAMSON	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/28/2002	20	0	20

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
MORRIS (CONTINUED)													
4*	18	42	12	763	24	19,040	5,000	-	-	-	-	-	
5*	40	31	10	1,240	44	36,200	5,000	-	-	-	-	-	
6*	40	25	7	1,008	38	67,400	5,000	-	-	-	-	-	
PASSAIC													
1	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
3	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
4	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
5	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SALEM													
2**	30	39	13	1,162	22	62,000	4,000	3	-	-	-	1	
SOMERSET													
1	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
3	5	63	18	314	-	51,875	1,375	-	-	-	-	-	
4	5	20	6	101	-	RELATED TO NO. 3		-	-	-	-	-	
5	10	74	48	743	231	77,350	2,350	23	-	-	-	-	
6	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL													
1	65	2	211	143	46	333,081	32,937	7	-	-	-	-	
2	65	2	185	102	29	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1		-	-	-	
3	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
4	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
5	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
6	24	-	3	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
7	20	24	NR	480	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
8	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
9	15	92	40	1,387	50	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	
10	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
11	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
12	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
13	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL (CONTINUED)									
14	CALLAHAN	SAMSON	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/30/2002	20	0	20
15	LONGHI	SAMSON	CONSPIRACY	WC	D	06/12/2002	30	0	30
16	LONGHI	SAMSON	CONSPIRACY	WC	D	06/14/2002	30	0	30
17	LONGHI	SAMSON	CONSPIRACY	WC	D	07/12/2002	30	0	30
27*	D'ITALIA	FARMER	RACKETEERING	WC	D	08/16/2001	30	2	90
UNION									
1	FALCONE	ROMANKOW	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/03/2002	20	2	40
2	FALCONE	ROMANKOW	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/23/2002	20	0	20
3	FALCONE	ROMANKOW	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/04/2002	20	0	20
4	FALCONE	ROMANKOW	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/18/2002	30	0	30
5	FALCONE	ROMANKOW	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/22/2002	30	0	30
6	FALCONE	ROMANKOW	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/22/2002	30	0	30
7	FALCONE	ROMANKOW	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/24/2002	30	0	30

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL (CONTINUED)													
14	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
15	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
16	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
17	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
27*	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
UNION													
1	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
3	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
4	28	203	12	5,692	2,846	420,000	15,000	15	-	-	-	-	
5	22	89	12	1,955	1,564	RELATED TO NO. 4		RELATED TO NO. 4					
6	I	-	-	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 4		-	-	-	-	-	
7	22	96	12	2,119	1,695	RELATED TO NO. 4		RELATED TO NO. 4					

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
BERNALILLO									
1	MURDOCH	BRANDENBURG	OTHER	WC	D	01/11/2002	30	0	30
2	MURDOCH	BRANDENBURG	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/27/2002	30	0	30
3	MURDOCH	BRANDENBURG	OTHER	WS	B	12/10/2002	30	0	30

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
BERNALILLO													
1	22	59	45	1,300	100	13,000	500	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	I	-	-	-	-	750	480	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
ALBANY									
1	TERESI	CLYNE	NARCOTICS	WS	H	09/04/2002	30	0	30
BRONX									
1*	ALVARADO	JOHNSON	NARCOTICS	WS	B	10/17/2000	30	4	146
2*	FRIEDMANN	JOHNSON	LARCENY	WS	B	12/12/2000	30	4	150
3*	FRIEDMANN	JOHNSON	LARCENY	WC	D	12/12/2000	30	2	90
4*	ALVARADO	JOHNSON	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/13/2000	30	1	60
5*	ALVARADO	JOHNSON	NARCOTICS	ED	D	12/13/2000	30	1	60
6*	ALVARADO	JOHNSON	NARCOTICS	WC	H	01/23/2001	30	0	30
7*	ALVARADO	JOHNSON	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/07/2001	30	1	60
8*	ALVARADO	JOHNSON	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/07/2001	30	1	60
9*	ALVARADO	JOHNSON	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/07/2001	30	1	60
10*	MILLER	JOHNSON	LARCENY	WC	D	02/15/2001	30	8	270
11*	FRIEDMANN	JOHNSON	LARCENY	WC	D	02/27/2001	30	10	330
12*	MOORE	JOHNSON	RACKETEERING	WC	D	07/19/2001	30	3	120
13*	FRIEDMANN	JOHNSON	LARCENY	WC	D	10/12/2001	30	0	30
25**	ALVARADO	JOHNSON	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/05/2000	30	0	30
DUTCHESS									
1	HAYES	GRADY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/08/2002	30	1	60
2	HAYES	GRADY	MURDER	WS	H	07/23/2002	30	0	30
3	HAYES	GRADY	MURDER	WS	H	07/23/2002	30	0	30
4	HAYES	GRADY	MURDER	WS	H	07/23/2002	30	1	60
KINGS									
1	FIRETOG	HYNES	USURY	WS	H	11/19/2001	30	3	120
2	FIRETOG	HYNES	USURY	WS	H	11/19/2001	30	3	120
3	FIRETOG	HYNES	GAMBLING	WS,OM	H	12/07/2001	30	1	60
4	FIRETOG	HYNES	GAMBLING	WS,OM	H	12/07/2001	30	1	60
5	FIRETOG	HYNES	GAMBLING	WC,OM	D	12/07/2001	30	1	60
6	FIRETOG	HYNES	GAMBLING	WS,OM	H	12/07/2001	30	0	30
7	FIRETOG	HYNES	GAMBLING	WS,OM	H	12/07/2001	30	1	60
8	FIRETOG	HYNES	GAMBLING	WC,OM	D	12/07/2001	30	1	60
9	FIRETOG	HYNES	USURY	WC	D	12/20/2001	30	2	90
10	FIRETOG	HYNES	USURY	WC	D	12/20/2001	30	2	90

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
ALBANY													
1	30	71	65	2,141	928	60,000	26,000	11	-	-	-	-	11
BRONX													
1*	145	2	20	230	100	159,945	445	-	-	-	-	-	-
2*	10	1	8	10	-	8,148	500	-	-	-	-	-	-
3*	68	15	20	991	25	19,599	6,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
4*	56	2	15	106	12	61,778	178	-	-	-	-	-	-
5*	56	-	NR	4	1	1,403	3	-	-	-	-	-	-
6*	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7*	42	-	3	7	2	46,336	136	-	-	-	-	-	-
8*	34	3	12	96	22	37,518	118	7	-	-	-	-	7
9*	38	3	15	112	25	41,924	124	-	-	-	-	-	-
10*	168	10	40	1,675	240	73,778	4,450	-	-	-	-	-	-
11*	243	19	50	4,571	215	102,940	16,280	-	-	-	-	-	-
12*	120	20	175	2,383	700	585,548	5,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
13*	26	1	5	33	-	10,471	1,805	-	-	-	-	-	-
25**	1	-	-	-	-	53	3	-	-	-	-	-	-
DUTCHESS													
1	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	26	26	14	666	6	RELATED TO NO. 4		RELATED TO NO. 4					
3	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	46	69	40	3,179	50	56,990	10,000	10	-	-	-	2	5
KINGS													
1	112	48	396	5,322	800	97,200	15,000	5	-	-	-	-	-
2	112	15	133	1,650	150	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
3	58	95	400	5,490	827	130,500	-	15	-	-	-	1	14
4	58	1	45	70	10	RELATED TO NO. 3		RELATED TO NO. 3					
5	58	5	84	268	108	RELATED TO NO. 3		RELATED TO NO. 3					
6	21	48	120	1,009	1	RELATED TO NO. 3		RELATED TO NO. 3					
7	58	121	228	6,994	1,047	RELATED TO NO. 3		RELATED TO NO. 3					
8	58	43	210	2,505	224	RELATED TO NO. 3		RELATED TO NO. 3					
9	87	63	285	5,486	1,575	62,200	10,000	5	-	-	-	-	-
10	87	38	238	3,310	675	RELATED TO NO. 9		RELATED TO NO. 9					

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
KINGS (CONTINUED)									
11	FIRETOG	HYNES	GAMBLING	WC,OM	D	01/28/2002	30	0	30
12	RIVERA	HYNES	GAMBLING	WS	H	10/01/2002	30	1	60
13	RIVERA	HYNES	GAMBLING	WS	H	10/01/2002	30	2	90
14	RIVERA	HYNES	GAMBLING	EF	H	10/01/2002	30	2	90
15	RIVERA	HYNES	GAMBLING	WC	D	10/01/2002	30	2	90
16	FIRETOG	HYNES	LARCENY	WS	B	11/07/2002	30	0	30
MONROE									
1	MALOY	RELIN	NARCOTICS	ED	D	07/01/2002	30	2	90
2	MALOY	RELIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/29/2002	30	0	30
3	MALOY	RELIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/29/2002	30	0	30
4	MALOY	RELIN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	08/30/2002	30	0	30
5	MALOY	RELIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/06/2002	30	0	30
6	MALOY	RELIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/11/2002	30	0	30
7	MALOY	RELIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/13/2002	30	0	30
8	MALOY	RELIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/13/2002	30	0	30
9	MALOY	RELIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/26/2002	30	0	30
10	MALOY	RELIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/02/2002	30	0	30
11	MARKS	RELIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/04/2002	30	0	30
12	MARKS	RELIN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	10/17/2002	30	0	30
13	MARKS	RELIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/17/2002	30	0	30
14	MARKS	RELIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/17/2002	30	0	30
15	MARKS	RELIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/17/2002	30	0	30
16	GERACI	RELIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/25/2002	30	0	30
NASSAU									
1	COZIER	DILLON	GAMBLING	WS,WC	H,D	10/25/2001	30	3	120
2	MCGINITY	DILLON	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/08/2002	30	0	30
3	MCGINITY	DILLON	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	05/14/2002	30	1	59
NEW YORK									
1	NARDELLI	MORGENTHAU	USURY	WS,WC,OM,ED	H,B,D	11/07/2001	30	17	540
2	ALTMAN	MORGENTHAU	BRIBERY	WC,OM,EO	D,O	07/09/2002	30	0	30
3	SMITH	MORGENTHAU	BRIBERY	WC,OM,EO	D,O	07/19/2002	30	0	30

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
KINGS (CONTINUED)													
11	9	1	9	11	5	10,125	-	RELATED TO NO. 3					
12	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
16	17	6	15	96	40	14,025	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONROE													
1	90	NR	NR	NR	NR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	8	80	8	637	60	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	8	61	8	490	52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	15	116	64	1,747	77	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	27	18	16	486	75	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	26	7	6	183	46	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	29	66	68	1,928	429	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	25	23	11	572	306	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	21	1	2	23	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	15	15	19	220	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	22	5	7	113	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	8	107	34	859	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	19	9	4	169	47	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	18	15	19	268	59	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	18	8	10	144	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
16	12	8	6	91	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NASSAU													
1	108	121	35	13,064	11,689	357,980	8,060	10	-	-	-	-	10
2	25	132	61	3,301	691	177,006	5,500	6	-	-	-	-	1
3	59	20	102	1,195	505	10,000	2,000	2	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK													
1	376	22	120	8,300	2,900	987,000	63,000	20	-	-	-	-	3
2	9	36	73	325	18	RELATED TO NO. 3		-	-	-	-	-	-
3	4	20	28	79	10	7,870	1,500	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
NY ORGANIZED CRIME TASK FORCE									
1	SMITH	PRATHER	NARCOTICS	WS,WC,ED	B,A,D	04/05/2000	30	28	870
2	LUCIANO	PRATHER	GAMBLING	WS,WC,ED	H,D	08/09/2000	30	14	450
3	FRIEDMAN	PRATHER	GAMBLING	WS,WC	H,D	08/09/2000	30	19	600
4	COZIER	PRATHER	LOANSHARKING	WC	D	06/13/2002	30	0	30
5	GORSKI	PRATHER	NARCOTICS	WS,WC,ED	B,D	08/30/2002	30	2	90
6	ALTMAN	PRATHER	GAMBLING	WS,WC	H,B,D	10/04/2002	30	2	90
7	RELIHAN	PRATHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/21/2002	30	1	60
NYC SPECIAL NARCOTICS BUREAU									
1	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/30/2001	30	1	60
2	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	ED	D	12/13/2001	30	1	60
3	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/14/2001	30	0	30
4	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/21/2001	30	1	60
5	WETZEL	CASTLEMAN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/28/2001	30	0	30
6	WETZEL	CASTLEMAN	NARCOTICS	ED	D	12/28/2001	30	3	120
7	WETZEL	CASTLEMAN	NARCOTICS	ED	D	12/28/2001	30	1	60
8	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/08/2002	30	0	30
9	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/08/2002	30	0	30
10	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/14/2002	30	1	60
11	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/16/2002	30	0	30
12	MCLAUGHLIN	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/18/2002	30	0	30
13	ALLEN	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/18/2002	30	0	30
14	MCLAUGHLIN	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/18/2002	30	1	60
15	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/25/2002	30	0	30
16	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	ED	D	01/25/2002	30	0	30
17	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	ED	D	01/25/2002	30	2	90
18	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/01/2002	30	0	30
19	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/01/2002	30	0	30
20	MCLAUGHLIN	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	ED	D	02/06/2002	30	0	30
21	MCLAUGHLIN	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/06/2002	30	0	30
22	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/06/2002	30	1	60
23	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/06/2002	30	0	30
24	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/07/2002	30	0	30

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
NY ORGANIZED CRIME TASK FORCE													
1	830	32	234	26,673	5,204	4,006,494	2,153,794	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	435	67	313	29,124	26,212	1,664,921	337,721	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	590	37	313	21,841	19,655	RELATED TO NO. 2		-	-	-	-	-	-
4	20	5	17	104	16	33,036	5,636	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	61	50	83	3,063	492	60,238	11,438	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	77	196	45	15,087	3,777	324,221	77,821	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	47	57	80	2,663	300	120,085	26,085	-	-	-	-	-	-
NYC SPECIAL NARCOTICS BUREAU													
1	49	18	38	903	233	28,532	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	46	3	NR	140	140	RELATED TO NO. 72		RELATED TO NO. 72		-	-	-	-
3	22	27	12	599	44	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	57	13	55	736	192	RELATED TO NO. 39		RELATED TO NO. 39		-	-	-	-
5	28	19	11	535	180	RELATED TO NO. 72		RELATED TO NO. 72		-	-	-	-
6	113	2	NR	261	261	RELATED TO NO. 72		RELATED TO NO. 72		-	-	-	-
7	42	6	NR	255	255	RELATED TO NO. 72		RELATED TO NO. 72		-	-	-	-
8	24	6	7	140	58	RELATED TO NO. 72		RELATED TO NO. 72		-	-	-	-
9	24	2	3	56	13	RELATED TO NO. 72		RELATED TO NO. 72		-	-	-	-
10	51	33	33	1,678	151	RELATED TO NO. 76		RELATED TO NO. 76		-	-	-	-
11	29	51	52	1,477	33	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 89		-	-	-	-
12	14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	29	14	16	393	86	RELATED TO NO. 39		RELATED TO NO. 39		-	-	-	-
14	20	25	20	507	92	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 21		-	-	-	-
15	21	3	3	67	13	RELATED TO NO. 72		RELATED TO NO. 72		-	-	-	-
16	10	-	NR	1	1	RELATED TO NO. 72		RELATED TO NO. 72		-	-	-	-
17	71	3	NR	240	240	RELATED TO NO. 72		RELATED TO NO. 72		-	-	-	-
18	21	2	3	44	14	RELATED TO NO. 72		RELATED TO NO. 72		-	-	-	-
19	14	17	5	242	91	RELATED TO NO. 72		RELATED TO NO. 72		-	-	-	-
20	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	7	17	6	122	21	-	-	4	-	-	-	2	-
22	48	90	130	4,343	484	RELATED TO NO. 76		RELATED TO NO. 76		-	-	-	-
23	28	5	9	145	23	RELATED TO NO. 76		RELATED TO NO. 76		-	-	-	-
24	29	5	6	147	47	RELATED TO NO. 72		RELATED TO NO. 72		-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
25	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	ED	D	02/07/2002	30	0	30
26	ALLEN	KINDLER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/11/2002	30	0	30
27	ALLEN	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/13/2002	30	1	60
28	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/15/2002	30	0	30
29	ALLEN	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/19/2002	30	2	90
30	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/19/2002	30	1	60
31	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/19/2002	30	1	60
32	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	ED	D	02/19/2002	30	0	30
33	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/26/2002	30	0	30
34	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/26/2002	30	0	30
35	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/26/2002	30	0	30
36	FRIEDMANN	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WS	H	02/28/2002	30	0	30
37	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/01/2002	30	0	30
38	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/01/2002	30	0	30
39	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/04/2002	30	0	30
40	ALLEN	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/05/2002	30	0	30
41	ALLEN	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	ED	D	03/05/2002	30	4	150
42	ALLEN	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/06/2002	30	0	30
43	ALLEN	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	ED	D	03/06/2002	30	0	30
44	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/07/2002	30	1	60
45	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	ED	D	03/07/2002	30	2	90
46	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/08/2002	30	0	30
47	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/08/2002	30	0	30
48	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/08/2002	30	0	30
49	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	ED	D	03/08/2002	30	0	30
50	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	ED	D	03/08/2002	30	0	30
51	ALLEN	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/18/2002	30	0	30
52	ALLEN	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/20/2002	30	0	30
53	ALLEN	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/21/2002	30	0	30
54	ALLEN	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/22/2002	30	0	30
55	SNYDER	KINDLER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/27/2002	30	0	30
56	SNYDER	KINDLER	NARCOTICS	ED	D	03/27/2002	30	0	30

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of						
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted	
										G	D	P		
25	28	-	NR	8	8	RELATED TO NO. 72	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
26	21	23	36	492	17	15,351	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
27	48	34	63	1,610	551	RELATED TO NO. 140	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	14	1	1	17	6	RELATED TO NO. 72	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
29	48	5	10	246	131	78,726	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	59	65	42	3,813	55	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 89	-	-	-	-	-	-
31	33	66	46	2,166	451	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 89	-	-	-	-	-	-
32	29	5	NR	153	153	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 89	-	-	-	-	-	-
33	28	55	34	1,553	98	RELATED TO NO. 76	-	RELATED TO NO. 76	-	-	-	-	-	-
34	10	87	31	873	116	RELATED TO NO. 76	-	RELATED TO NO. 76	-	-	-	-	-	-
35	28	9	20	239	12	RELATED TO NO. 76	-	RELATED TO NO. 76	-	-	-	-	-	-
36	13	49	58	636	73	6,955	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
37	21	4	5	93	34	RELATED TO NO. 72	-	RELATED TO NO. 72	-	-	-	-	-	-
38	7	23	5	159	36	RELATED TO NO. 72	-	RELATED TO NO. 72	-	-	-	-	-	-
39	29	10	10	285	79	67,343	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
40	14	76	63	1,070	209	RELATED TO NO. 107	-	RELATED TO NO. 107	-	-	-	-	-	-
41	83	6	NR	504	504	RELATED TO NO. 107	-	RELATED TO NO. 107	-	-	-	-	-	-
42	9	26	11	238	62	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43	7	NR	NR	NR	NR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44	26	35	40	917	98	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 89	-	-	-	-	-	-
45	69	2	NR	104	104	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 89	-	-	-	-	-	-
46	20	17	27	334	50	10,696	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
47	19	19	13	352	154	RELATED TO NO. 72	-	RELATED TO NO. 72	-	-	-	-	-	-
48	19	44	53	829	97	RELATED TO NO. 72	-	RELATED TO NO. 72	-	-	-	-	-	-
49	29	14	NR	415	415	RELATED TO NO. 72	-	RELATED TO NO. 72	-	-	-	-	-	-
50	29	17	NR	492	429	RELATED TO NO. 72	-	RELATED TO NO. 72	-	-	-	-	-	-
51	30	53	72	1,603	302	RELATED TO NO. 107	-	RELATED TO NO. 107	-	-	-	-	-	-
52	5	45	16	225	83	RELATED TO NO. 29	-	RELATED TO NO. 29	-	-	-	-	-	-
53	28	15	15	424	125	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
54	21	4	4	79	3	RELATED TO NO. 72	-	RELATED TO NO. 72	-	-	-	-	-	-
55	I	-	-	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 93	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
56	20	-	-	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 93	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE B-1
STATE NEW YORK

CALENDAR YEAR 2002

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
NYC SPECIAL NARCOTICS BUREAU (CONTINUED)									
57	ALLEN	CASTLEMAN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/29/2002	30	0	30
58	WETZEL	KINDLER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/29/2002	30	0	30
59	ALLEN	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/01/2002	30	0	30
60	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/03/2002	30	0	30
61	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/08/2002	30	0	30
62	ALLEN	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/15/2002	30	1	60
63	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/15/2002	30	0	30
64	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/15/2002	30	0	30
65	ALLEN	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/16/2002	30	1	60
66	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/16/2002	30	0	30
67	ALLEN	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/19/2002	30	0	30
68	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/24/2002	30	0	30
69	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/24/2002	30	0	30
70	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/25/2002	30	0	30
71	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/25/2002	30	0	30
72	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	ED	D	04/25/2002	30	0	30
73	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/29/2002	30	0	30
74	ALLEN	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/02/2002	30	1	60
75	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/02/2002	30	0	30
76	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	ED	D	05/02/2002	30	3	120
77	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/03/2002	30	0	30
78	ALLEN	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/08/2002	30	0	30
79	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/08/2002	30	2	90
80	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/08/2002	30	0	30
81	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	OM	O	05/09/2002	30	0	30
82	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/10/2002	30	0	30
83	ALLEN	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/14/2002	30	0	30
84	ALLEN	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/14/2002	30	1	60
85	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/15/2002	30	0	30
86	WITTNER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/22/2002	30	0	30
87	ALLEN	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/22/2002	30	0	30
88	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/28/2002	30	1	60

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of						
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted	
										G	D	P		
57	13	NR	NR	NR	NR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
58	19	-	NR	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
59	I	-	-	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 29		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
60	13	22	18	280	52	RELATED TO NO. 93		RELATED TO NO. 93						
61	I	-	-	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 76		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
62	22	6	11	140	46	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
63	11	25	11	274	54	RELATED TO NO. 93		RELATED TO NO. 93						
64	15	36	9	541	126	RELATED TO NO. 93		RELATED TO NO. 93						
65	44	33	86	1,466	210	RELATED TO NO. 107		RELATED TO NO. 107						
66	30	19	20	584	51	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 89						
67	17	NR	NR	NR	NR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
68	21	5	12	99	7	11,738	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
69	27	29	46	770	176	RELATED TO NO. 105		RELATED TO NO. 105						
70	I	-	-	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 72		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
71	I	-	-	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 72		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
72	30	-	NR	2	2	148,130	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
73	23	27	22	623	143	RELATED TO NO. 93		RELATED TO NO. 93						
74	50	27	81	1,359	107	RELATED TO NO. 107		RELATED TO NO. 107						
75	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
76	86	3	NR	290	290	179,512	-	7	-	-	-	-	-	4
77	4	1	NR	3	NR	RELATED TO NO. 93		RELATED TO NO. 93						
78	21	NR	NR	NR	NR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
79	71	62	26	4,424	870	RELATED TO NO. 105		RELATED TO NO. 105						
80	I	-	-	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 105		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
81	24	27	5	642	NR	RELATED TO NO. 72		RELATED TO NO. 72						
82	21	53	53	1,111	302	RELATED TO NO. 94		RELATED TO NO. 94						
83	14	-	2	5	NR	RELATED TO NO. 29		RELATED TO NO. 29						
84	59	7	16	410	120	RELATED TO NO. 29		RELATED TO NO. 29						
85	27	22	33	582	252	RELATED TO NO. 76		RELATED TO NO. 76						
86	22	12	18	266	52	22,009	-	2	-	-	-	1	1	
87	23	20	13	453	138	RELATED TO NO. 29		RELATED TO NO. 29						
88	34	29	26	1,001	31	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 89						

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE B-1
STATE NEW YORK

CALENDAR YEAR 2002

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
89	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	ED	D	05/28/2002	30	0	30
90	WETZEL	JOHNSON	NARCOTICS	WS	H	05/29/2002	30	3	120
91	WETZEL	JOHNSON	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/29/2002	30	2	90
92	WETZEL	JOHNSON	NARCOTICS	ED	D	05/29/2002	30	3	120
93	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	OM	O	06/03/2002	30	1	60
94	WETZEL	CASTLEMAN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/05/2002	30	0	30
95	WETZEL	CASTLEMAN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/05/2002	30	1	60
96	ALLEN	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/13/2002	30	0	30
97	ALLEN	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/13/2002	30	0	30
98	ALLEN	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/13/2002	30	0	30
99	ALLEN	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/14/2002	30	0	30
100	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/17/2002	30	0	30
101	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/20/2002	30	0	30
102	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/26/2002	30	0	30
103	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/11/2002	30	0	30
104	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/11/2002	30	0	30
105	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/15/2002	30	0	30
106	ALLEN	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/17/2002	30	1	60
107	ALLEN	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/17/2002	30	1	60
108	ALLEN	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/19/2002	30	0	30
109	ALLEN	CASTLEMAN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/25/2002	30	3	120
110	ALLEN	CASTLEMAN	NARCOTICS	ED	D	07/25/2002	30	4	150
111	SNYDER	JOHNSON	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/26/2002	30	0	30
112	ALLEN	CASTLEMAN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/29/2002	30	0	30
113	ALLEN	JOHNSON	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/30/2002	30	0	30
114	SNYDER	CASTLEMAN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/01/2002	30	0	30
115	WITTNER	KINDLER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/09/2002	30	0	30
116	WITTNER	KINDLER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/09/2002	30	0	30
117	SNYDER	KINDLER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/14/2002	30	0	30
118	WETZEL	KINDLER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/20/2002	30	0	30
119	WETZEL	KINDLER	NARCOTICS	ED	D	08/20/2002	30	2	90
120	SNYDER	CASTLEMAN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/21/2002	30	0	30

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
89	29	3	NR	94	94	-	-	4	-	-	-	1	1
90	114	52	154	5,871	128	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 92					
91	86	48	119	4,102	1,095	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 92					
92	111	10	NR	1,095	1,095	-	-	5	-	-	-	3	2
93	31	45	5	1,383	10	46,865	-	4	-	-	-	-	-
94	6	1	1	6	1	17,736	-	4	-	-	-	1	-
95	44	47	59	2,085	347	RELATED TO NO. 105		RELATED TO NO. 105					
96	28	27	38	759	91	RELATED TO NO. 107		RELATED TO NO. 107					
97	28	7	17	194	24	RELATED TO NO. 107		RELATED TO NO. 107					
98	28	20	47	570	123	RELATED TO NO. 107		RELATED TO NO. 107					
99	I	-	-	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 29		-	-	-	-	-	-
100	9	3	1	27	9	RELATED TO NO. 72		RELATED TO NO. 72					
101	21	14	28	303	50	RELATED TO NO. 76		RELATED TO NO. 76					
102	21	7	39	150	93	RELATED TO NO. 76		RELATED TO NO. 76					
103	18	4	12	72	44	RELATED TO NO. 76		RELATED TO NO. 76					
104	17	-	NR	1	-	RELATED TO NO. 76		-	-	-	-	-	-
105	4	39	14	156	49	87,691	-	6	-	-	-	-	2
106	37	39	66	1,425	203	RELATED TO NO. 107		RELATED TO NO. 107					
107	37	101	169	3,732	662	104,532	-	3	-	-	-	-	1
108	28	47	34	1,325	226	RELATED TO NO. 140		-	-	-	-	-	-
109	91	81	114	7,397	1,344	RELATED TO NO. 130		RELATED TO NO. 130					
110	141	3	NR	430	430	RELATED TO NO. 130		RELATED TO NO. 130					
111	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
112	30	11	32	322	49	13,632	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
113	30	9	13	261	38	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 158					
114	23	28	27	638	37	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 148					
115	9	15	1	134	12	RELATED TO NO. 157		-	-	-	-	-	-
116	10	6	NR	62	4	RELATED TO NO. 157		-	-	-	-	-	-
117	30	22	33	668	90	RELATED TO NO. 76		RELATED TO NO. 76					
118	23	12	24	281	172	RELATED TO NO. 134		RELATED TO NO. 134					
119	77	6	NR	444	444	RELATED TO NO. 134		RELATED TO NO. 134					
120	29	45	59	1,295	47	RELATED TO NO. 76		RELATED TO NO. 76					

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE B-1
STATE NEW YORK

CALENDAR YEAR 2002

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
121	WETZEL	JOHNSON	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/21/2002	30	3	120
122	WETZEL	JOHNSON	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/21/2002	30	0	30
123	WETZEL	RYAN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/22/2002	30	0	30
124	WETZEL	RYAN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/22/2002	30	0	30
125	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/26/2002	30	0	30
126	WETZEL	JOHNSON	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/18/2002	30	0	30
127	WETZEL	JOHNSON	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/18/2002	30	0	30
128	ALLEN	KINDLER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/20/2002	30	0	30
129	ALLEN	KINDLER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/20/2002	30	0	30
130	ALLEN	KINDLER	NARCOTICS	ED	D	09/20/2002	30	2	90
131	SNYDER	KINDLER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/20/2002	30	0	30
132	SNYDER	KINDLER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/20/2002	30	1	60
133	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/27/2002	30	0	30
134	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	ED	D	09/27/2002	30	0	30
135	ALLEN	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/02/2002	30	0	30
136	ALLEN	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/02/2002	30	1	60
137	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/03/2002	30	0	30
138	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/10/2002	30	0	30
139	WITTNER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/11/2002	30	0	30
140	ALLEN	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/18/2002	30	0	30
141	ALLEN	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/18/2002	30	0	30
142	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/18/2002	30	0	30
143	ALLEN	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/23/2002	30	1	60
144	ALLEN	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/25/2002	30	0	30
145	ALLEN	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/25/2002	30	0	30
146	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/30/2002	30	1	60
147	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/30/2002	30	0	30
148	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	ED	D	10/30/2002	30	1	60
149	WETZEL	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/12/2002	30	0	30
150	ALLEN	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/14/2002	30	0	30
151	ALLEN	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/14/2002	30	0	30
152	WETZEL	KINDLER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/15/2002	30	0	30

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of						
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted	
										G	D	P		
121	115	51	102	5,883	775	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 92						
122	30	25	63	760	242	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 92						
123	20	NR	NR	NR	NR	RELATED TO NO. 130		RELATED TO NO. 130						
124	13	5	5	67	17	RELATED TO NO. 130		RELATED TO NO. 130						
125	25	166	83	4,143	443	RELATED TO NO. 138		RELATED TO NO. 138						
126	30	4	3	123	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
127	29	6	8	185	11	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 92						
128	28	79	30	2,201	381	RELATED TO NO. 130		RELATED TO NO. 130						
129	8	6	5	48	10	RELATED TO NO. 130		RELATED TO NO. 130						
130	85	18	NR	1,540	1,540	94,001	-	8	-	-	-	2	2	
131	25	37	49	930	40	RELATED TO NO. 76		RELATED TO NO. 76						
132	47	150	205	7,035	1,202	RELATED TO NO. 76		RELATED TO NO. 76						
133	11	17	16	192	174	RELATED TO NO. 134		RELATED TO NO. 134						
134	11	5	NR	60	60	14,607	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	
135	30	6	24	188	101	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
136	56	18	116	987	227	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
137	6	138	25	825	148	RELATED TO NO. 138		RELATED TO NO. 138						
138	30	108	81	3,226	513	12,076	-	4	-	-	-	-	2	
139	24	15	34	362	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
140	28	30	32	832	114	38,828	-	3	-	-	-	-	2	
141	14	64	28	897	136	RELATED TO NO. 130		RELATED TO NO. 130						
142	I	-	-	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 76		-	-	-	-	-	-	
143	58	22	46	1,294	104	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
144	25	13	29	316	113	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
145	19	3	11	49	31	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
146	36	64	116	2,305	61	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 161						
147	28	21	34	584	28	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 161						
148	38	17	NR	640	640	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 161						
149	18	11	11	190	25	RELATED TO NO. 157		-	-	-	-	-	-	
150	18	23	22	417	60	RELATED TO NO. 130		RELATED TO NO. 130						
151	18	-	NR	3	NR	RELATED TO NO. 130		RELATED TO NO. 130						
152	25	6	7	161	156	RELATED TO NO. 134		RELATED TO NO. 134						

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
NYC SPECIAL NARCOTICS BUREAU (CONTINUED)									
153	WETZEL	KINDLER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/15/2002	30	0	30
154	SNYDER	KINDLER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/15/2002	30	0	30
155	WETZEL	KLUGER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/15/2002	30	0	30
156	WETZEL	KLUGER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/15/2002	30	0	30
157	WITTNER	KINDLER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/21/2002	30	0	30
158	ALLEN	JOHNSON	NARCOTICS	WS	H	11/25/2002	30	0	30
159	WETZEL	JOHNSON	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/25/2002	30	0	30
160	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	ED	D	11/26/2002	30	0	30
161	SNYDER	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/26/2002	30	0	30
162	ALLEN	MORGENTHAU	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/27/2002	30	0	30
163	WETZEL	JOHNSON	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/10/2002	30	0	30
ORANGE									
1	BERRY	PHILLIPS	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	07/19/2002	30	3	120
QUEENS									
1	KOHM	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	02/13/2001	30	11	360
2	KOHM	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	06/08/2001	30	7	240
3	FRIEDMANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/29/2001	30	10	330
4	KOHM	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	07/03/2001	30	6	210
5	KOHM	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	08/01/2001	30	6	210
6	FRIEDMANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	08/24/2001	30	8	270
7	FRIEDMANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/24/2001	30	9	300
8	KOHM	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WS	A	09/26/2001	30	4	150
9	ROSENGARTEN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	10/05/2001	27	3	106
10	KOHM	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	10/19/2001	30	2	90
11	ROSENGARTEN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/23/2001	9	3	88
12	MCGANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/01/2001	30	3	120
13	KOHM	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	11/16/2001	30	1	60
14	ROSENGARTEN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/29/2001	21	1	51
15	ROSENGARTEN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/29/2001	21	1	51
16	ROSENGARTEN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/05/2001	15	1	45
17	ROSENGARTEN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/05/2001	15	1	45
18	KOHM	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WO	D	12/20/2001	30	0	30

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
NYC SPECIAL NARCOTICS BUREAU (CONTINUED)													
153	I	-	-	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 134		-	-	-	-	-	
154	19	8	6	161	-	RELATED TO NO. 76		-	-	-	-	-	
155	30	-	-	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 92		-	-	-	-	-	
156	30	1	4	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
157	7	50	10	348	97	6,898	-	-	-	-	-	-	
158	14	39	20	549	30	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	
159	8	22	11	179	37	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 92					
160	16	1	NR	9	9	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 161					
161	11	18	11	199	6	-	-	3	-	-	-	1	
162	17	82	32	1,386	321	RELATED TO NO. 130		RELATED TO NO. 130					
163	2	16	1	32	1	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 92					
ORANGE													
1	115	127	104	14,551	626	189,854	13,484	-	-	-	-	-	
QUEENS													
1	328	47	50	15,500	4,700	65,600	32,800	RELATED TO NO. 5					
2	218	42	50	9,120	4,500	43,600	21,800	RELATED TO NO. 5					
3	329	8	150	2,780	1,350	65,800	32,900	RELATED TO NO. 6					
4	193	13	25	2,525	1,500	38,600	19,300	RELATED TO NO. 5					
5	162	20	50	3,210	1,600	32,400	16,200	15	-	-	-	11	
6	270	12	145	3,147	1,500	54,000	27,000	20	-	-	-	19	
7	273	16	250	4,272	2,500	54,600	27,300	RELATED TO NO. 6					
8	113	107	20	12,056	334	226,000	113,000	11	-	-	-	11	
9	106	25	30	2,600	2,000	21,200	10,600	23	-	-	-	23	
10	85	11	50	900	400	17,000	8,500	RELATED TO NO. 5					
11	88	28	30	2,500	1,800	17,600	8,800	RELATED TO NO. 9					
12	11	83	75	912	265	18,240	9,120	-	-	-	-	-	
13	47	11	20	500	200	9,400	4,700	RELATED TO NO. 5					
14	51	19	20	950	800	10,200	5,100	RELATED TO NO. 9					
15	51	20	22	1,000	800	RELATED TO NO. 14		RELATED TO NO. 9					
16	45	18	16	800	600	9,000	4,500	RELATED TO NO. 9					
17	45	13	17	600	400	RELATED TO NO. 16		RELATED TO NO. 9					
18	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE B-1
STATE NEW YORK

CALENDAR YEAR 2002

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
19	MCGANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WS	B	12/20/2001	30	1	60
20	MCGANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WS	B	12/20/2001	30	1	60
21	MCGANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	ED	D	12/20/2001	30	1	60
22	KOHM	BROWN	NARCOTICS	ED	D	12/21/2001	29	3	119
23	KOHM	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WO	O	12/21/2001	29	0	29
24	ROSENGARTEN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/10/2002	9	0	9
25	MCDONALD	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/11/2002	14	0	14
26	KOHM	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/17/2002	30	0	30
27	SCHMIDT	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/17/2002	30	0	30
28	COZIER	BROWN	POSSESSION	WC	D	01/17/2002	30	2	90
29	MCGANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/18/2002	30	0	30
30	MCDONALD	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/18/2002	22	3	109
31	MCDONALD	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/25/2002	15	2	73
32	MCDONALD	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/25/2002	15	2	73
33	CRANE	BROWN	MURDER	WC	D	01/29/2002	30	0	30
34	BUCHTER	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/31/2002	30	1	60
35	BUCHTER	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/04/2002	30	1	60
36	O'BRIEN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/13/2002	30	0	30
37	KOHM	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/15/2002	30	0	30
38	MCGANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/27/2002	30	0	30
39	BUCHTER	BROWN	NARCOTICS	ED	D	03/01/2002	30	0	30
40	KOHM	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/14/2002	30	0	30
41	KOHM	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/14/2002	30	1	60
42	KOHM	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/14/2002	30	0	30
43	CRANE	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/18/2002	30	1	60
44	KOHM	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/26/2002	18	0	18
45	KOHM	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/26/2002	18	0	18
46	KOHM	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/26/2002	18	1	48
47	COZIER	BROWN	LARCENY	WS	H	04/04/2002	30	1	60
48	MCDONALD	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/05/2002	29	0	29
49	MCDONALD	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/05/2002	29	0	29
50	BUCHTER	BROWN	\$LAUNDERING	WC	D	04/11/2002	30	0	30

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of						
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted	
										G	D	P		
19	41	17	400	701	28	8,200	4,100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	41	7	200	294	13	RELATED TO NO. 19		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	35	3	NR	121	121	7,000	3,500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	113	28	25	3,164	1,356	22,600	11,300	RELATED TO NO. 8						
23	28	2	3	42	15	RELATED TO NO. 37		RELATED TO NO. 8						
24	9	11	10	100	75	1,800	900	RELATED TO NO. 9						
25	11	2	2	17	1	2,200	1,100	RELATED TO NO. 30						
26	30	1	5	25	22	RELATED TO NO. 40		RELATED TO NO. 8						
27	1	19	8	19	-	200	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	84	102	10	8,598	3,500	16,800	8,400	5	-	-	-	-	-	-
29	24	6	5	142	12	4,800	2,400	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	109	18	75	2,016	300	21,800	10,900	4	-	-	-	-	-	1
31	73	23	60	1,643	250	14,600	7,300	RELATED TO NO. 30						
32	73	2	20	116	100	RELATED TO NO. 31		RELATED TO NO. 30						
33	I	-	-	-	-	200	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
34	49	2	8	105	13	9,800	4,900	2	-	-	-	1	-	-
35	45	3	7	114	9	9,000	4,500	RELATED TO NO. 34						
36	I	-	-	-	-	200	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
37	28	4	9	112	49	5,600	2,800	RELATED TO NO. 8						
38	19	6	5	118	12	3,800	1,900	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
39	20	1	18	18	18	4,000	2,000	RELATED TO NO. 34						
40	30	17	21	521	386	6,000	3,000	RELATED TO NO. 8						
41	37	12	12	457	198	7,400	3,700	RELATED TO NO. 8						
42	13	12	5	151	35	2,600	1,300	RELATED TO NO. 8						
43	19	17	29	329	275	3,800	1,900	2	-	-	-	-	-	1
44	18	5	7	97	39	3,600	1,800	RELATED TO NO. 8						
45	18	5	7	87	32	RELATED TO NO. 44		RELATED TO NO. 8						
46	26	35	19	901	311	5,200	2,600	RELATED TO NO. 8						
47	57	31	15	1,789	18	11,400	5,700	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
48	29	39	50	1,129	25	RELATED TO NO. 49		RELATED TO NO. 30						
49	29	36	40	1,031	20	5,800	2,900	RELATED TO NO. 30						
50	30	9	17	261	75	6,000	3,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE B-1
STATE NEW YORK

CALENDAR YEAR 2002

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
51	COZIER	BROWN	POSSESSION	WC	D	04/11/2002	30	0	30
52	KOHM	BROWN	NARCOTICS	ED	D	04/12/2002	30	0	30
53	KOHM	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/12/2002	30	0	30
54	KOHM	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/12/2002	30	0	30
55	KOHM	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/12/2002	30	0	30
56	KOHM	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/12/2002	30	0	30
57	KOHM	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/12/2002	30	0	30
58	KOHM	BROWN	NARCOTICS	ED	D	04/12/2002	30	0	30
59	CRANE	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/16/2002	30	0	30
60	BUCHTER	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/17/2002	30	0	30
61	BUCHTER	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/19/2002	9	0	9
62	BUCHTER	BROWN	\$LAUNDERING	WC	D	04/19/2002	22	0	22
63	MCGANN	BROWN	GAMBLING	WS	H	04/23/2002	30	4	150
64	MCGANN	BROWN	GAMBLING	WC	D	04/23/2002	30	4	150
65	BUCHTER	BROWN	\$LAUNDERING	WC	D	04/25/2002	16	0	16
66	MCGANN	BROWN	GAMBLING	WS	H	05/08/2002	15	1	45
67	MCGANN	BROWN	GAMBLING	WS	H	05/08/2002	15	1	45
68	MCGANN	BROWN	GAMBLING	WS	H	05/08/2002	15	1	45
69	MCGANN	BROWN	GAMBLING	WS	H	05/08/2002	15	1	45
70	MCGANN	BROWN	GAMBLING	WC	D	05/08/2002	15	4	135
71	MCGANN	BROWN	GAMBLING	WC	D	05/08/2002	15	0	15
72	MCGANN	BROWN	GAMBLING	WS	H	05/08/2002	15	1	45
73	BUCHTER	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/15/2002	30	2	90
74	MCGANN	BROWN	GAMBLING	WC	D	05/22/2002	30	0	30
75	MCGINITY	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	06/03/2002	30	1	60
76	MCGINITY	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/03/2002	30	1	60
77	BUCHTER	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/05/2002	30	0	30
78	BUCHTER	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/05/2002	30	0	30
79	BUCHTER	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/05/2002	30	0	30
80	MCGANN	BROWN	GAMBLING	WC	D	06/07/2002	14	2	74
81	BUCHTER	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/13/2002	30	0	30
82	MCGANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/13/2002	30	0	30

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of						
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted	
										G	D	P		
51	30	110	6	3,300	1,000	6,000	3,000	RELATED TO NO. 28						
52	8	16	9	132	53	1,600	800	RELATED TO NO. 8						
53	8	4	3	30	27	RELATED TO NO. 52		RELATED TO NO. 8						
54	8	28	7	225	98	RELATED TO NO. 52		RELATED TO NO. 8						
55	8	15	6	120	35	RELATED TO NO. 52		RELATED TO NO. 8						
56	8	2	2	20	2	RELATED TO NO. 52		RELATED TO NO. 8						
57	8	8	3	67	39	RELATED TO NO. 52		RELATED TO NO. 8						
58	8	15	10	120	40	RELATED TO NO. 52		RELATED TO NO. 8						
59	11	113	19	1,243	800	2,200	1,100	RELATED TO NO. 43						
60	30	1	4	32	3	RELATED TO NO. 81		RELATED TO NO. 73						
61	9	-	-	-	-	1,800	900	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
62	22	19	20	427	100	4,400	2,200	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
63	140	89	223	12,412	11,782	28,000	14,000	17	-	-	-	-	-	-
64	140	34	53	4,824	2,114	RELATED TO NO. 63		RELATED TO NO. 63						
65	16	5	10	87	37	3,200	1,600	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
66	36	30	181	1,078	993	7,200	3,600	RELATED TO NO. 63						
67	23	-	-	-	-	4,600	2,300	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
68	36	22	105	810	785	RELATED TO NO. 66		RELATED TO NO. 63						
69	36	6	NR	210	NR	RELATED TO NO. 66		RELATED TO NO. 63						
70	127	27	54	3,381	1,143	25,400	12,700	RELATED TO NO. 63						
71	9	20	24	181	NR	1,800	900	RELATED TO NO. 63						
72	36	18	46	646	310	RELATED TO NO. 66		RELATED TO NO. 63						
73	90	5	23	462	17	18,000	9,000	2	-	-	-	-	-	-
74	28	29	68	810	379	RELATED TO NO. 103		RELATED TO NO. 63						
75	43	13	40	571	250	8,600	4,300	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
76	43	8	36	353	270	RELATED TO NO. 75		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
77	30	6	20	180	90	6,000	3,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
78	30	6	25	190	50	RELATED TO NO. 77		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
79	30	5	15	140	45	RELATED TO NO. 77		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
80	66	4	23	251	114	13,200	6,600	RELATED TO NO. 63						
81	30	2	4	73	3	6,000	3,000	RELATED TO NO. 73						
82	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE B-1
STATE NEW YORK

CALENDAR YEAR 2002

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
83	MCGANN	BROWN	GAMBLING	WC	D	06/20/2002	30	1	60
84	MCGANN	BROWN	GAMBLING	ED	D	06/20/2002	30	5	180
85	BUCHTER	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/24/2002	30	1	60
86	BUCHTER	BROWN	NARCOTICS	ED	D	06/24/2002	30	0	30
87	BUCHTER	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/02/2002	22	1	52
88	MCGANN	BROWN	GAMBLING	WC	D	07/02/2002	18	1	48
89	BUCHTER	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/11/2002	30	0	30
90	MCGANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/18/2002	30	1	60
91	MCGANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WS	B	07/18/2002	30	1	60
92	MCGANN	BROWN	GAMBLING	WC	D	07/18/2002	30	0	30
93	BUCHTER	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/23/2002	30	0	30
94	MCGANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WS	B	07/23/2002	24	1	54
95	MCGANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WS,ED	B,D	07/23/2002	24	1	54
96	BUCHTER	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/31/2002	22	2	82
97	MCGANN	BROWN	GAMBLING	WC	D	08/01/2002	16	1	46
98	MCGANN	BROWN	GAMBLING	WS	H	08/01/2002	16	4	136
99	MCGANN	BROWN	GAMBLING	WC	D	08/01/2002	16	1	46
100	MCGANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	08/15/2002	30	1	60
101	MCGANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	ED	D	08/15/2002	30	0	30
102	MCGANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/15/2002	30	0	30
103	MCGANN	BROWN	GAMBLING	WC	D	08/15/2002	30	0	30
104	BUCHTER	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/21/2002	30	0	30
105	MCGANN	BROWN	GAMBLING	WC	D	08/22/2002	23	3	113
106	LERNER	BROWN	MURDER	WC	D	08/22/2002	30	0	30
107	BUCHTER	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/28/2002	30	0	30
108	BUCHTER	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/28/2002	30	2	90
109	MCGANN	BROWN	GAMBLING	WC	D	08/29/2002	15	0	15
110	MCGANN	BROWN	GAMBLING	WC	D	09/09/2002	5	0	5
111	MCGANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	09/12/2002	30	0	30
112	MCGANN	BROWN	GAMBLING	WS	H	09/12/2002	30	2	90
113	MCGANN	BROWN	GAMBLING	WS	H	09/12/2002	30	0	30
114	MCGANN	BROWN	GAMBLING	WS	H	09/12/2002	30	0	30

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of						
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted	
										G	D	P		
83	56	6	21	363	177	11,200	5,600	RELATED TO NO. 63						
84	152	5	72	755	578	30,400	15,200	RELATED TO NO. 63						
85	49	5	8	264	125	9,800	4,900	3	-	-	-	-	2	
86	30	2	3	70	18	6,000	3,000	RELATED TO NO. 85						
87	51	6	13	324	210	10,200	5,100	RELATED TO NO. 85						
88	44	4	21	193	89	8,800	4,400	RELATED TO NO. 63						
89	20	5	4	97	13	4,000	2,000	RELATED TO NO. 73						
90	49	16	15	800	400	9,800	4,900	1	-	-	-	-	-	
91	59	2	60	135	5	11,800	5,900	1	-	-	-	-	-	
92	28	16	41	453	210	RELATED TO NO. 103		RELATED TO NO. 63						
93	29	3	5	83	22	5,800	2,900	RELATED TO NO. 85						
94	53	1	30	63	3	10,600	5,300	1	-	-	-	-	-	
95	53	2	27	82	2	RELATED TO NO. 94		RELATED TO NO. 94						
96	80	15	37	1,218	792	16,000	8,000	RELATED TO NO. 85						
97	16	10	33	153	26	3,200	1,600	RELATED TO NO. 63						
98	98	41	66	3,979	1,388	19,600	9,800	RELATED TO NO. 63						
99	39	7	26	286	109	7,800	3,900	RELATED TO NO. 63						
100	59	1	10	33	2	10,800	5,400	1	-	-	-	-	-	
101	29	1	6	15	15	5,800	2,900	-	-	-	-	-	-	
102	29	18	6	521	14	RELATED TO NO. 101		-	-	-	-	-	-	
103	28	19	32	543	210	5,600	2,800	RELATED TO NO. 63						
104	29	6	10	179	82	RELATED TO NO. 93		RELATED TO NO. 85						
105	75	30	47	2,246	988	15,000	7,500	RELATED TO NO. 63						
106	6	8	14	50	-	1,200	600	-	-	-	-	-	-	
107	30	3	3	81	3	6,000	3,000	1	-	-	-	-	-	
108	64	4	17	286	29	12,800	6,400	1	-	-	-	-	-	
109	13	21	28	278	96	2,600	1,300	RELATED TO NO. 63						
110	3	-	-	-	-	600	300	-	-	-	-	-	-	
111	29	1	7	35	-	5,800	2,900	-	-	-	-	-	-	
112	50	127	144	6,341	6,310	10,000	5,000	RELATED TO NO. 63						
113	8	71	56	567	522	1,600	800	RELATED TO NO. 63						
114	7	32	47	227	201	1,400	700	RELATED TO NO. 63						

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE B-1
STATE NEW YORK

CALENDAR YEAR 2002

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
115	MCGANN	BROWN	GAMBLING	WS	H	09/12/2002	30	0	30
116	MCGANN	BROWN	GAMBLING	WC	D	09/12/2002	30	0	30
117	MCGANN	BROWN	GAMBLING	WS	H	09/12/2002	30	0	30
118	BUCHTER	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/18/2002	30	0	30
119	MCGANN	BROWN	GAMBLING	WC	D	09/24/2002	18	1	48
120	BUCHTER	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/26/2002	30	0	30
121	MCGANN	BROWN	GAMBLING	WC	D	10/03/2002	9	1	39
122	MCDONALD	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/08/2002	30	0	30
123	MCGANN	BROWN	GAMBLING	OM	H	10/10/2002	30	1	60
124	BUCHTER	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/11/2002	15	1	45
125	BUCHTER	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/11/2002	15	1	45
126	MCGANN	BROWN	GAMBLING	WC	D	10/21/2002	19	0	19
127	MCDONALD	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/23/2002	30	1	60
128	MCDONALD	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/01/2002	30	0	30
129	MCDONALD	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/01/2002	30	0	30
119*	FRIEDMANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	02/09/2001	30	1	60
120*	FRIEDMANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	02/09/2001	30	1	60
121*	FRIEDMANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	02/09/2001	30	2	90
122*	FRIEDMANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/09/2001	30	0	30
123*	FRIEDMANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	02/09/2001	30	6	210
124*	KOHM	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	02/13/2001	30	4	150
125*	KOHM	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	03/14/2001	30	2	90
126*	KOHM	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	03/14/2001	30	1	60
127*	KOHM	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	03/14/2001	30	2	90
128*	FRIEDMANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	04/06/2001	30	4	150
129*	KOHM	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	04/12/2001	30	0	30
130*	FRIEDMANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/04/2001	30	3	120
131*	KOHM	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	06/08/2001	30	4	150
132*	KOHM	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	06/08/2001	30	0	30
133*	KOHM	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	06/08/2001	30	1	60
134*	KOHM	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WS	B	09/26/2001	30	1	60
135*	KOHM	BROWN	NARCOTICS	ED	D	09/26/2001	30	1	60

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

TABLE B-1
STATE NEW YORK

CALENDAR YEAR 2002

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of						
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted	
										G	D	P		
115	8	2	NR	15	-	RELATED TO NO. 113		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
116	10	3	12	28	10	2,000	1,000	RELATED TO NO. 63						
117	8	24	28	191	173	RELATED TO NO. 113		RELATED TO NO. 63						
118	30	-	-	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 86		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
119	45	2	17	93	22	9,000	4,500	RELATED TO NO. 63						
120	15	1	2	17	2	3,000	1,500	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
121	26	17	34	431	198	5,200	2,600	RELATED TO NO. 63						
122	23	4	26	87	20	4,600	2,300	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
123	21	12	11	247	232	4,200	2,100	RELATED TO NO. 63						
124	21	1	3	18	7	4,200	2,100	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
125	21	8	11	177	43	RELATED TO NO. 124		RELATED TO NO. 124						
126	8	29	19	231	97	RELATED TO NO. 113		RELATED TO NO. 63						
127	58	11	77	630	315	11,600	5,800	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
128	26	-	2	4	2	5,200	2,600	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
129	26	-	-	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 128		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
119*	60	8	20	467	60	12,000	6,000	RELATED TO NO. 6						
120*	29	6	10	178	22	5,800	2,900	RELATED TO NO. 6						
121*	90	7	40	673	100	18,000	9,000	RELATED TO NO. 6						
122*	4	-	-	-	-	800	400	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
123*	199	25	120	5,026	2,600	39,800	19,900	RELATED TO NO. 6						
124*	104	30	50	3,150	1,500	20,800	10,400	RELATED TO NO. 5						
125*	87	8	10	700	100	17,400	8,700	RELATED TO NO. 5						
126*	48	21	10	1,020	200	9,600	4,800	RELATED TO NO. 5						
127*	88	17	15	1,470	450	17,600	8,800	RELATED TO NO. 5						
128*	139	25	100	3,514	1,000	27,800	13,900	RELATED TO NO. 6						
129*	30	43	10	1,300	200	6,000	3,000	RELATED TO NO. 5						
130*	99	26	120	2,528	1,000	19,800	9,900	RELATED TO NO. 6						
131*	120	19	30	2,300	1,100	24,000	12,000	RELATED TO NO. 5						
132*	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
133*	56	2	20	110	50	11,200	5,600	RELATED TO NO. 5						
134*	56	64	16	3,590	1,465	11,200	5,600	RELATED TO NO. 8						
135*	56	9	12	482	351	RELATED TO NO. 134*		RELATED TO NO. 8						

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
QUEENS (CONTINUED)									
136*	ROSENGARTEN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/05/2001	27	0	27
137*	ROSENGARTEN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/05/2001	27	0	27
138*	ROSENGARTEN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/05/2001	27	0	27
139*	FRIEDMANN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	ED	D	10/19/2001	30	1	60
140*	KOHM	BROWN	NARCOTICS	OM,OO	B	10/25/2001	30	0	30
141*	ROSENGARTEN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/01/2001	28	0	28
142*	ROSENGARTEN	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/01/2001	28	0	28
143*	KOHM	BROWN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/04/2001	10	0	10
144*	KOHM	BROWN	NARCOTICS	ED	D	11/14/2001	10	2	70
RENSSELAER									
1	MCGRATH	BRUNO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/28/2002	30	0	30
ROCKLAND									
1	RESNICK	BONGIORNO	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	09/27/2001	30	3	120
2	RESNICK	BONGIORNO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/02/2001	30	1	60
3	RESNICK	BONGIORNO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/08/2001	30	1	60
4	RESNICK	BONGIORNO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/07/2001	30	7	240
5	RESNICK	BONGIORNO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/28/2002	30	10	330
6	RESNICK	BONGIORNO	NARCOTICS	WS	B	03/08/2002	30	3	120
7	KELLY	BONGIORNO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/05/2002	30	0	30
8	ADLER	BONGIORNO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/11/2002	30	0	30
15*	RESNICK	BONGIORNO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/05/2001	30	0	30
16*	KELLY	BONGIORNO	NARCOTICS	WS	A	10/18/2001	30	0	30
SCHENECTADY									
1	EIDENS	CARNEY	GAMBLING	WS	H	12/06/2001	30	1	44
2	NOYE	CARNEY	GAMBLING	WC	D	03/07/2002	30	0	30
3	HOYE	CARNEY	GAMBLING	WC	D	09/06/2002	30	0	30
SUFFOLK									
1	GAZZILLO	CATTERSON	COERCION	WS	B	07/25/2001	30	8	270
2	GAZZILLO	CATTERSON	COERCION	WS	B	07/25/2001	30	8	270
3	GAZZILLO	CATTERSON	COERCION	WC	D	08/06/2001	30	8	270
4	GAZZILLO	CATTERSON	GAMBLING	WC	D	08/30/2001	30	7	240
5	GAZZILLO	CATTERSON	GAMBLING	OM	B	09/21/2001	30	6	210

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
QUEENS (CONTINUED)													
136*	27	19	15	500	300	5,400	2,700	RELATED TO NO. 9					
137*	27	21	20	575	415	RELATED TO NO. 136*		RELATED TO NO. 9					
138*	27	19	25	525	400	RELATED TO NO. 136*		RELATED TO NO. 9					
139*	60	2	NR	95	50	12,000	6,000	RELATED TO NO. 6					
140*	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
141*	28	76	15	2,140	280	5,600	2,800	RELATED TO NO. 9					
142*	28	22	18	630	560	RELATED TO NO. 141*		RELATED TO NO. 9					
143*	7	1	NR	4	NR	1,400	700	RELATED TO NO. 8					
144*	38	28	22	1,064	456	7,600	3,800	RELATED TO NO. 8					
RENSSELAER													
1	30	64	38	1,908	113	41,600	1,600	3	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKLAND													
1	120	12	9	1,415	1,009	606,228	59,564	47	-	-	-	-	31
2	60	-	NR	16	NR	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
3	56	32	14	1,780	1,512	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
4	240	43	259	10,306	7,729	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
5	323	4	21	1,418	1,309	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
6	98	61	29	5,934	3,264	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
7	14	2	2	21	7	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
8	15	30	6	457	430	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
15*	21	7	5	153	79	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
16*	28	350	76	9,802	67	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
SCHENECTADY													
1	36	137	6	4,938	4,550	105,500	5,500	12	-	-	-	-	11
2	28	37	3	1,034	822	49,000	8,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	28	74	26	2,069	1,655	61,500	1,500	9	-	-	-	-	2
SUFFOLK													
1	250	8	15	2,000	50	78,807	17,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	250	15	15	3,750	150	RELATED TO NO. 1		-	-	-	-	-	-
3	235	30	100	7,000	6,000	RELATED TO NO. 1		-	-	-	-	-	-
4	211	7	30	1,500	1,000	140,616	2,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	193	-	2	6	3	30,832	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE B-1
STATE NEW YORK

CALENDAR YEAR 2002

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
6	GAZZILLO	CATTERSON	COERCION	OM	B	10/19/2001	30	3	120
7	GAZZILLO	CATTERSON	COERCION	OM	O	12/27/2001	30	2	90
8	SCHMIDT	SPOTA	GAMBLING	WS	H	01/17/2002	30	0	30
9	SCHMIDT	SPOTA	GAMBLING	WS	H	01/17/2002	30	0	30
10	SCHMIDT	SPOTA	GAMBLING	WS	H	01/17/2002	30	0	30
11	SCHMIDT	SPOTA	GAMBLING	WS	H	01/17/2002	30	0	30
12	SCHMIDT	SPOTA	GAMBLING	WS	H	01/17/2002	30	0	30
13	SCHMIDT	SPOTA	GAMBLING	WS	H	01/17/2002	30	0	30
14	BAISLEY	SPOTA	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/22/2002	30	0	30
15	BAISLEY	SPOTA	NARCOTICS	WS	H	02/22/2002	30	1	60
16	BAISLEY	BUONORA	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/01/2002	30	2	90
17	DOYLE	SPOTA	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/22/2002	30	0	30
18	GAZZILLO	SPOTA	MURDER	WS	H	03/26/2002	30	0	30
19	HUDSON	SPOTA	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/31/2002	30	3	120
20	HUDSON	SPOTA	NARCOTICS	WS	H	05/31/2002	30	3	120
21	DOYLE	SPOTA	NARCOTICS	WS	H	07/22/2002	30	1	60
22	DOYLE	SPOTA	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/22/2002	30	1	60
23	DOYLE	SPOTA	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/22/2002	30	1	60
24	GAZZILLO	SPOTA	COERCION	WC	D	07/25/2002	30	1	60
25	GAZZILLO	SPOTA	USURY	WC	D	08/20/2002	30	2	90
26	HUDSON	SPOTA	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/20/2002	30	0	30
27	GAZZILLO	SPOTA	USURY	WC	D	08/30/2002	30	0	30
28	GAZZILLO	SPOTA	COERCION	ED	D	09/11/2002	30	1	60
29	GAZZILLO	SPOTA	GAMBLING	OM	H	10/04/2002	30	0	30
30	GAZZILLO	SPOTA	USURY	WC	D	10/17/2002	30	0	30
31	MULLEN	SPOTA	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/13/2002	30	0	30
32	MULLEN	SPOTA	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/13/2002	30	0	30
33	MULLEN	SPOTA	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/13/2002	30	0	30
34	MULLEN	SPOTA	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/13/2002	30	0	30
35	MULLEN	SPOTA	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/13/2002	30	0	30
36	MULLEN	SPOTA	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/02/2002	30	0	30

SUFFOLK (CONTINUED)

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of						
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted	
										G	D	P		
6	113	-	-	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 5		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	82	-	7	25	23	RELATED TO NO. 5		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	14	39	29	542	538	29,828	6,000	8	-	-	-	-	-	8
9	13	63	87	814	813	RELATED TO NO. 8		RELATED TO NO. 8						
10	13	35	87	454	453	RELATED TO NO. 8		RELATED TO NO. 8						
11	3	38	22	115	115	RELATED TO NO. 8		RELATED TO NO. 8						
12	3	69	23	206	206	RELATED TO NO. 8		RELATED TO NO. 8						
13	3	78	15	235	235	RELATED TO NO. 8		RELATED TO NO. 8						
14	26	11	13	282	12	50,711	3,350	10	-	-	-	-	-	7
15	56	25	32	1,414	58	97,423	2,700	RELATED TO NO. 14						
16	78	44	41	3,462	353	390,325	5,700	RELATED TO NO. 14						
17	29	10	8	280	34	49,911	2,550	RELATED TO NO. 14						
18	10	53	7	531	NR	6,797	840	4	-	-	-	-	-	1
19	73	37	95	2,665	160	390,628	37,300	14	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	73	25	72	1,836	68	RELATED TO NO. 19		RELATED TO NO. 19						
21	58	30	110	1,763	55	RELATED TO NO. 19		RELATED TO NO. 19						
22	58	159	110	9,202	50	RELATED TO NO. 19		RELATED TO NO. 19						
23	58	209	160	12,094	155	RELATED TO NO. 19		RELATED TO NO. 19						
24	58	69	15	4,002	3,995	187,560	11,000	16	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	86	43	20	3,680	3,650	RELATED TO NO. 24		RELATED TO NO. 24						
26	28	104	120	2,921	186	RELATED TO NO. 19		RELATED TO NO. 19						
27	29	2	10	53	50	RELATED TO NO. 24		RELATED TO NO. 24						
28	30	-	NR	10	10	RELATED TO NO. 24		RELATED TO NO. 24						
29	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	29	-	2	2	NR	RELATED TO NO. 24		RELATED TO NO. 24						
31	24	82	69	1,967	373	405,989	45,989	4	-	-	-	-	-	-
32	24	4	31	96	3	RELATED TO NO. 31		RELATED TO NO. 31						
33	24	11	32	272	19	RELATED TO NO. 31		RELATED TO NO. 31						
34	24	32	60	761	137	RELATED TO NO. 31		RELATED TO NO. 31						
35	24	62	57	1,492	220	RELATED TO NO. 31		RELATED TO NO. 31						
36	4	111	53	443	94	RELATED TO NO. 31		RELATED TO NO. 31						

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
WESTCHESTER									
1	LANGE	PIRRO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/05/2001	30	4	148
2	LANGE	PIRRO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/08/2001	30	1	59
3	LANGE	PIRRO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/20/2001	17	2	75
4	ADLER	PIRRO	GAMBLING	WS	H	12/20/2001	30	2	90
5	ADLER	PIRRO	GAMBLING	WS	H	12/20/2001	30	2	90
6	ADLER	PIRRO	GAMBLING	WC	D	01/25/2002	30	0	30
7	ADLER	PIRRO	GAMBLING	WS	H	01/25/2002	30	1	60
8	ADLER	PIRRO	GAMBLING	WS	H	01/25/2002	30	0	30
9	ADLER	PIRRO	GAMBLING	WS	H	01/25/2002	30	0	30
10	ADLER	PIRRO	GAMBLING	WC	D	02/22/2002	30	2	90
11	ADLER	PIRRO	GAMBLING	WC	D	03/27/2002	30	2	90
12	ADLER	PIRRO	GAMBLING	WC	D	06/17/2002	30	0	30
13	ANGIOLILLO	PIRRO	LARCENY	OM	O	06/28/2002	30	0	30
44*	LANGE	PIRRO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/05/2001	30	2	90
45*	LANGE	PIRRO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/05/2001	30	0	30

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
WESTCHESTER													
1	115	16	41	1,856	155	135,770	35,770	4	-	-	-	-	4
2	56	23	28	1,291	23	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
3	50	40	11	2,011	184	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
4	28	48	189	1,330	1,197	5,910	870	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	51	45	197	2,296	2,065	10,050	870	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	8	5	5	37	28	7,600	1,840	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	55	50	116	2,757	2,482	10,650	750	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	I	-	-	-	-	690	690	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	I	-	-	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 8		-	-	-	-	-	-
10	67	36	82	2,382	1,390	50,043	1,803	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	87	34	181	2,975	1,163	64,470	1,830	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	30	11	40	337	132	24,230	2,630	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	24	-	-	-	-	26,492	572	-	-	-	-	-	-
44*	84	5	9	445	14	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
45*	30	1	2	43	2	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL									
1	CASHWELL	WATTERS	KIDNAPPING	OM	H	09/30/2002	1	0	1

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL													
1	1	16	2	16	2	448	10	1	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
LORAIN									
1	GLAVAS	WHITE	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	05/24/2002	30	1	42

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
LORAIN													
1	41	142	142	5,835	174	55,000	7,000	12	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
COMANCHE									
1	JOHNSON	SCHULTE	NARCOTICS	WS	H	04/03/2002	30	1	60
2	JOHNSON	SCHULTE	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/23/2002	30	0	30

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
COMANCHE													
1	41	49	375	2,010	80	236,764	26,400	9	-	-	-	-	7
2	21	16	61	326	60	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
ALLEGHENY									
1	MELVIN	ZAPPALA	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/06/2002	30	1	47
2	MELVIN	ZAPPALA	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/18/2002	30	1	40
3	MELVIN	ZAPPALA	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/12/2002	7	0	7
4	MELVIN	ZAPPALA	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/12/2002	17	0	17
5	MELVIN	ZAPPALA	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/22/2002	9	0	9
6	MELVIN	ZAPPALA	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/22/2002	9	0	9
BERKS									
1	OLSZEWSKI	BALDWIN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	12/17/2001	30	0	30
2	OLSZEWSKI	BALDWIN	NARCOTICS	ED	D	12/17/2001	30	0	30
3	OLSZEWSKI	BALDWIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/09/2002	30	1	52
4	OLSZEWSKI	BALDWIN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	02/04/2002	30	0	30
5	BECK	BALDWIN	GAMBLING	WS	H	03/06/2002	30	0	30
6	BECK	BALDWIN	GAMBLING	WS	H	03/06/2002	30	0	30
7	BECK	BALDWIN	GAMBLING	WC	D	03/07/2002	30	0	30
8	BECK	BALDWIN	GAMBLING	WS	H	03/22/2002	17	0	17
9	BECK	BALDWIN	GAMBLING	WS	H	03/22/2002	17	0	17
10	BECK	BALDWIN	GAMBLING	WS	H	03/22/2002	17	0	17
11	BECK	BALDWIN	GAMBLING	WS	H	03/22/2002	17	0	17
12	GRACI	BALDWIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/18/2002	30	0	30
13	GRACI	BALDWIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/25/2002	30	0	30
BLAIR									
1	MUSMANNO	GORMAN	MURDER	WS	H	04/12/2002	30	0	30
CHESTER									
1	CAVANAUGH	CARROLL	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/28/2002	30	0	30
2	CAVANAUGH	CARROLL	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/12/2002	30	2	90
3	CAVANAUGH	CARROLL	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/19/2002	30	0	30
4	CAVANAUGH	CARROLL	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/01/2002	30	0	30
5	CAVANAUGH	CARROLL	MURDER	WC	D	03/11/2002	30	0	30
6	CAVANAUGH	CARROLL	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/11/2002	30	0	30
7	CAVANAUGH	CARROLL	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/11/2002	30	1	60
8	CAVANAUGH	CARROLL	MURDER	WC	D	03/11/2002	30	0	30

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
ALLEGHENY													
1	47	9	18	400	45	RELATED TO NO. 6		RELATED TO NO. 6					
2	40	31	24	1,224	299	RELATED TO NO. 6		RELATED TO NO. 6					
3	7	18	16	126	7	RELATED TO NO. 6		RELATED TO NO. 6					
4	17	32	16	536	98	RELATED TO NO. 6		RELATED TO NO. 6					
5	8	31	20	245	44	RELATED TO NO. 6		RELATED TO NO. 6					
6	8	6	5	45	2	454,937	59,177	22	-	-	-	-	-
BERKS													
1	27	121	58	3,256	105	200,731	8,000	15	-	-	-	-	9
2	25	7	13	163	NR	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
3	51	46	36	2,348	206	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
4	30	45	30	1,345	105	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
5	18	113	67	2,027	1,566	162,891	5,000	21	-	-	-	-	20
6	13	12	20	157	35	RELATED TO NO. 5		RELATED TO NO. 5					
7	13	11	30	147	40	RELATED TO NO. 5		RELATED TO NO. 5					
8	17	34	33	571	324	RELATED TO NO. 5		RELATED TO NO. 5					
9	17	18	16	308	190	RELATED TO NO. 5		RELATED TO NO. 5					
10	17	113	181	1,913	549	RELATED TO NO. 5		RELATED TO NO. 5					
11	17	64	74	1,095	185	RELATED TO NO. 5		RELATED TO NO. 5					
12	20	50	52	1,009	303	60,317	3,241	6	-	-	-	-	-
13	13	41	46	528	164	RELATED TO NO. 12		RELATED TO NO. 12					
BLAIR													
1	7	24	27	165	23	20,000	5,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHESTER													
1	19	5	41	93	44	340,489	6,595	12	-	-	-	-	1
2	86	7	47	640	192	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
3	8	3	15	26	8	RELATED TO NO. 1		-	-	-	-	-	-
4	1	-	-	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 1		-	-	-	-	-	-
5	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	23	14	19	331	11	RELATED TO NO. 1		-	-	-	-	-	-
7	58	22	47	1,283	239	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
8	2	6	11	11	1	3,595	600	1	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
CHESTER (CONTINUED)									
9	CAVANAUGH	CARROLL	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/03/2002	30	0	30
10	CAVANAUGH	CARROLL	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/23/2002	30	0	30
ELK									
1	ROOF	KRAUS	NARCOTICS	OM	H	04/05/2002	30	0	30
LANCASTER									
1	GEORGELIS	TOTARO	NARCOTICS	OM	H	01/22/2002	30	0	30
2	GEORGELIS	TOTARO	NARCOTICS	OM	H	07/18/2002	30	0	30
3	GEORGELIS	TOTARO	NARCOTICS	OM	B	09/11/2002	30	2	90
LEHIGH									
1	MCEWEN	MARTIN	OTHER	OM	O	12/12/2001	30	1	60
LUZERNE									
1	STEVENS	LUPAS	GAMBLING	WS	H	01/07/2002	30	0	30
2	STEVENS	LUPAS	GAMBLING	WS	B	01/22/2002	30	0	30
MONROE									
1	VICAN	MANCUSO	MURDER	OM	H,B,D	03/04/2002	20	0	20
MONTGOMERY									
1	CAVANAUGH	FERMAN	MURDER	OM	O	01/02/2002	30	0	30
2	BECK	CASTOR	NARCOTICS	WS	H	01/18/2002	30	0	30
3	BECK	CASTOR	NARCOTICS	OM	H	01/18/2002	30	0	30
4	BECK	CASTOR	NARCOTICS	OM	H	01/18/2002	30	0	30
5	STEVENS	FERMAN	NARCOTICS	OM	B	02/11/2002	30	2	90
6	STEVENS	FERMAN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/06/2002	30	1	60
7	STEVENS	FERMAN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/06/2002	30	0	30
8	STEVENS	FERMAN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/15/2002	30	1	60
9	MCEWEN	CASTOR	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	05/31/2002	30	0	30
10	MCEWEN	CASTOR	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	05/31/2002	30	0	30
11	MCEWEN	CASTOR	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	05/31/2002	30	0	30
12	MCEWEN	CASTOR	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/12/2002	30	0	30
13	MCEWEN	CASTOR	NARCOTICS	WS	H	07/12/2002	30	0	30
14	MCEWEN	CASTOR	NARCOTICS	OM	H	07/12/2002	30	0	30
15	MCEWEN	CASTOR	NARCOTICS	OM	H	07/12/2002	30	0	30
16	KLEIN	CASTOR	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	11/27/2002	30	0	30
17	KLEIN	CASTOR	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	11/27/2002	30	0	30

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
CHESTER (CONTINUED)													
9	30	4	13	111	73	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
10	15	50	23	756	334	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
ELK													
1	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LANCASTER													
1	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
3	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LEHIGH													
1	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LUZERNE													
1	29	134	91	3,897	2,476	111,488	200	6	-	-	-	5	
2	13	21	8	271	82	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
MONROE													
1	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MONTGOMERY													
1	7	1	1	7	1	2,908	10	2	1	-	-	1	
2	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
3	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
4	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
5	56	15	34	840	186	397,149	3,665	10	1	-	-	5	
6	41	27	33	1,121	95	RELATED TO NO. 5		RELATED TO NO. 5					
7	6	5	12	29	-	RELATED TO NO. 5		-	-	-	-	-	
8	32	25	213	798	53	RELATED TO NO. 5		RELATED TO NO. 5					
9	15	98	58	1,464	336	67,400	950	16	-	-	-	-	
10	15	98	58	1,464	336	RELATED TO NO. 9		RELATED TO NO. 9					
11	15	98	58	1,464	336	RELATED TO NO. 9		RELATED TO NO. 9					
12	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
13	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
14	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
15	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
16	8	44	121	351	21	39,353	1,000	2	-	-	-	-	
17	8	44	121	351	21	RELATED TO NO. 16		RELATED TO NO. 16					

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL									
1	TODD	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WS	H	01/25/2002	30	0	30
2	ACKERMAN	HELLEIN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/21/2002	30	5	180
3	KELLY	FISHER	OTHER	OM	B	02/21/2002	30	0	30
4	JOYCE	FISHER	RACKETEERING	WC	D	03/05/2002	30	0	30
5	JOYCE	FISHER	RACKETEERING	WC	D	03/29/2002	30	0	30
6	MONTEMURO	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/28/2002	30	0	30
7	HUDOCK	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/15/2002	30	0	30
8	MONTEMURO	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/17/2002	30	0	30
9	STEVENS	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WS,EO	H,D	08/09/2002	30	0	30
10	ELLIOTT	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/12/2002	30	0	30
11	STEVENS	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/08/2002	30	0	30
12	KLEIN	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/12/2002	30	0	30
13	KLEIN	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/12/2002	30	0	30
14	KLEIN	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/12/2002	30	0	30
15	STEVENS	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WS	H	09/19/2002	30	0	30
16	LALLY-GREEN	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/05/2002	30	0	30
17	STEVENS	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/11/2002	30	0	30
18	JOHNSON	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WS	H	10/28/2002	30	0	30
19	CAVANAUGH	PAPPERT	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/12/2002	30	0	30
20	CAVANAUGH	PAPPERT	NARCOTICS	ED	D	11/12/2002	30	0	30
21	STEVENS	PAPPERT	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/14/2002	30	0	30
22	JOHNSON	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/15/2002	30	0	30
23	JOHNSON	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WS	H	11/15/2002	30	0	30
24	CAVANAUGH	PAPPERT	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/03/2002	30	0	30

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL													
1	13	23	19	305	120	15,340	5,850	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	20	93	115	1,854	473	175,326	4,775	17	1	-	-	-	12
5	20	54	154	1,087	183	RELATED TO NO. 4		-	-	-	-	-	-
6	14	46	35	650	194	94,907	1,885	7	-	-	-	-	-
7	15	160	76	2,397	719	98,850	3,720	47	-	-	-	-	26
8	5	25	11	127	52	RELATED TO NO. 6		-	-	-	-	-	-
9	30	8	32	254	109	333,195	15,829	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	7	63	28	440	108	79,551	2,720	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	30	95	78	2,856	986	563,788	20,156	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	29	9	121	275	186	197,261	10,350	8	-	-	-	-	-
13	29	11	87	305	184	RELATED TO NO. 12		RELATED TO NO. 12					
14	29	24	187	699	418	RELATED TO NO. 12		RELATED TO NO. 12					
15	21	5	14	109	26	RELATED TO NO. 9		-	-	-	-	-	-
16	14	34	11	478	243	9,800	3,125	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	16	35	33	558	140	RELATED TO NO. 11		-	-	-	-	-	-
18	14	27	102	378	164	58,885	607	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	15	56	94	847	351	185,822	67,327	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	24	10	242	244	NR	RELATED TO NO. 19		-	-	-	-	-	-
21	29	31	62	910	298	RELATED TO NO. 11		-	-	-	-	-	-
22	27	69	182	1,850	841	88,852	1,295	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	28	47	220	1,305	58	52,010	510	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	5	37	60	185	125	RELATED TO NO. 19		-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
BEXAR									
1	MACRAE	REED	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	06/14/2002	30	0	30
LAMAR									
1	LOVETT	BURTNER	MURDER	WS	H	05/10/2002	30	0	30

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
BEXAR													
1	27	45	58	1,227	99	38,421	500	2	-	-	-	-	-
LAMAR													
1	3	281	14	843	2	20,718	1,000	2	1	-	-	-	1

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Prosecutor ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
SALT LAKE									
1	PEULER	PLATT	OTHER	WS,WC	H,B,D	05/03/2002	30	0	30
2	PEULER	PLATT	OTHER	WS,WC	H,B,D	05/06/2002	30	0	30
3	PEULER	PLATT	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/10/2002	30	2	90
4	PEULER	PLATT	NARCOTICS	WS	B	07/31/2002	30	1	60

¹ The prosecuting official authorized the filing of the application under provisions of the state's statute. (See Table 1 for this state's statutory citation.)

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number Of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
SALT LAKE													
1	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	20	51	155	1,018	63	19,000	2,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	69	71	110	4,918	479	53,100	12,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	50	148	355	7,405	158	RELATED TO NO. 3		-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴ NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used. NP indicates no prosecutor's report.

⁵ NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

*This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.