Table 5
Average Cost per Order
January 1 Through December 31, 2001*

Reporting Jurisdiction	Authorized Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Intercept Orders for Which Cost Reported**	Average Cost per Order in \$
TOTAL	1,405	1,327	48,198
FEDERAL	481	476	74,207
ARIZONA			404 707
MARICOPA	. 2	2 8	461,797 103,845
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	8	O	100,040
CALIFORNIA			
OS ANGELES	103	100	47,730
MONTEREY	2	2	4,050
RIVERSIDE	5	5	115,31 1
SACRAMENTO	1	1	6,172
SAN BERNARDINO	1	1	61,616
SAN DIEGO	2	2	27,623
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1	1	189,040
SANTA BARBARA	2	3	39,088
SANTA CLARA	3 2	2	22,500
SHASTA	3	3	50,000
TEHAMA	3	. 3	92,010
/ENTURA	, 3		,-
COLORADO 1ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (JEFFERSON) 21ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (MESA)	1	1	20,700
(MESA)	·		
CONNECTICUT			
HARTFORD	2	2	4,305
LITCHFIELD	3	3	41,417
NEW BRITAIN	2	2	61,034
FLORIDA			
4TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT			
(DUVAL)	. 4	4	32,750
5TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT			
(LAKE/MARION)	3	3	60,333
BTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT			AT 000
(ALACHUA)	1	1	27,863
9TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT	•		. 04.047
(ORANGE/OSCEOLA)	11	11	34,947
11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT		A	70 074
(DADE)	4	4	70,874
17TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT			
(BROWARD)	2	-	-
18TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT		7	23,934
(BREVARD/SEMINOLE)	7	1	۷۵,504
19TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT	40	11	15,073
(SAINT LUCIE)	18	11	15,070
GEORGIA			
	•		
3IBB	6	- 1	28,154

Table 5
Average Cost per Order
January 1 Through December 31, 2001 (Continued)*

	Authorized Orders for Which	Intercept Orders	Average Cost
Reporting Jurisdiction	Intercepts Installed	for Which Cost Reported**	per Order in \$
ILLINOIS	1	÷	
COOK	1 2	-	•
DE WITT	3	3	103
EDGAR	3	3	468
FAYETTE HAMILTON	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-
HENRY	32	32	661
LEE	14	14	653
WASHINGTON	24	24	268
WHITE	5	-	<i>-</i>
WILL	15	15	2,600
MARYLAND		·	
BALTIMORE	24	24	13,776
BALTIMORE CITY	23	23	42,268
HOWARD	2	2	49,463
MASSACHUSETTS			
BARNSTABLE	1	1	62,000
HAMPDEN	1	1	83,000
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	9	9 `	33,225
MINNESOTA			
HENNEPIN	1	1	20,816
MISSISSIPPI	•	•	40 F05
15TH CIRCUIT COURT DISTRICT	3	3	19,525
22ND CIRCUIT COURT DISTRICT HARRISON	2 1	2 1	49,170 21,560
NEBRASKA	•		
DOUGLAS	1	1	88,049
LINCOLN	1	1	205,000
•	•		200,000
NEW HAMPSHIRE STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	.1	. 1	78,030
NEW JERSEY			
BERGEN	2	2	46,229
BURLINGTON	2	<u>•</u>	
CAMDEN	5	5	38,112
CAPE MAY	1 7	_ 1	34,069
CUMBERLAND GLOUGESTER	7	7	114,286
GLOUCESTER HUDSON	4 NP	4 ND	14,300 NP
HUNTERDON	NP 3	NP 3	
MERCER	NP .	NP	25,750 NP
MIDDLESEX	2	2	8,185
MORRIS	1	1	133,627
PASSAIC	11	11	99,436
SOMERSET	5	5	9,934
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	. 13	12	33,296
UNION	14	14	64,009

Table 5
Average Cost per Order
January 1 Through December 31, 2001 (Continued)*

Reporting Jurisdiction	Authorized Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Intercept Orders for Which Cost Reported**	Average Cost per Order in \$
NEW YORK		•	
DUTCHESS	1	1	283,700
KINGS	45	44	23,773
MONROE	20	-	20,770
NASSAU	5	. 4	45,383
NEW YORK	9	. 9	28,894
NY ORGANIZED CRIME			20,00
TASK FORCE	28	28	80,881
NYC SPECIAL NARCOTICS			,
BUREAU	109	. 98	12,911
ONEIDA	3	3	20,637
ONONDAGA	5	5	35,648
QUEENS	117	111	9,575
RENSSELAER	1	1	91,500
ROCKLAND	13	13	21,880
SUFFOLK	10	10	14,927
TOMPKINS	3	3	16,608
WESTCHESTER	42	42	38,702
оню			
DARKE	1	1	10,331
PUTNAM	1	1	59,000
OKLAHOMA			
OKLAHOMA	2	2	250,000
OREGON			
DESCHUTES	1	1	3,560
MULTNOMAH	i	<u> </u>	23,450
PENNSYLVANIA			
BERKS	4		4.4.0
CHESTER	1 2	1 2	1,140
DAUPHIN	NP	NP	1,357
LEHIGH	3	3	NP 38,437
MONTGOMERY	3	3	41,795
PHILADELPHIA	7	7	24,550
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	36	36	57,703
TEXAS	,		•
LUBBOCK	. 1	1	329,269
UTAH			
SALT LAKE	3	3	40,167
VIRGINIA	•		
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	3	. 3	44,460
WASHINGTON			
STEVENS	1	1	125
	•		•

Table 5 Average Cost per Order January 1 Through December 31, 2001 (Continued)*

Reporting Jurisdiction	Authorized Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Intercept Orders for Which Cost Reported**	Average Cost per Order in \$
WISCONSIN MILWAUKEE RACINE	<u>2</u> 1	2	83,500 -

NR = Not reported or no response or not available. NP = No prosecutor's report. Includes costs for orders for which intercepts were installed but not used.